

Investigating Task-Based Learning, Conversation, And Content And Language Integrated Learning (Clil) In The Classroom

Abdullaeva Shakhlo Sayfieva

Senior teacher in department of Foreign Languages, Karshi state technical university, Uzbekistan

Received: 28 October 2025; **Accepted:** 18 November 2025; **Published:** 25 December 2025

Abstract: The effectiveness of various teaching approaches – conversation-based instruction (CBI), task-based language teaching (TBLT), and content and language integrated learning (CLIL) – in fostering all-encompassing student development that includes both language competency and subject matter comprehension is examined in this article. The study looks at how these integrated educational techniques go beyond isolated, conventional language instruction to produce rich, real-world, and stimulating learning settings. While the CLIL framework specifically integrates language learning with academic material, addressing the dual barrier of learning a subject in a non-native language, conversation and task-based tactics promote authentic language use and problem-solving abilities. The transition to integrated approaches improves students' motivation, critical thinking, and transferable abilities, according to an annotation of important literature. The results encourage the adoption of a dual-focused curriculum in which language is employed as a tool for topic acquisition as well as a means of communication.

Keywords: Conversation-Based Instruction, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT), Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), Integrated Pedagogy, Language Acquisition, Subject Matter Learning, Scaffolding.

Introduction: In order to fulfill the demands of a worldwide society, education is always changing, necessitating that students have high levels of intercultural competence and the capacity to use language successfully in a variety of real-world circumstances. Conventional language instruction, which usually concentrates on discrete vocabulary words and grammar rules alone, sometimes fails to adequately prepare students for these difficulties. By examining the synergistic advantages of integrated pedagogical techniques that combine language and subject training, this essay addresses the need for a paradigm change.

The three main methods being studied: CBI, TBLT, and CLIL represent a shift toward student-centered learning in which the target language is utilized in an authentic manner. By involving students in conversations and dialogue, Conversation-based instruction (CBI) fosters communication skills by emphasizing meaningful involvement and fluency above perfect accuracy. [1, 1-

13]. Task-based language teaching (TBLT) requires students to use the language as a tool to accomplish a goal by structuring learning around the accomplishment of a non-linguistic task, for instance, solving a problem, preparing an event. [2, 224] Lastly, Content and language integrated learning (CLIL) is a dual-focused strategy that integrates the development of topic knowledge with language abilities by teaching a curriculum subject, such as science or history in a foreign language [3, 22-23] In order to provide a thorough framework for integrated teaching, this study attempts to synthesize the theoretical foundations and practical data pertaining to various approaches.

METHODOLOGY

A methodical literature review approach is used in this article. Finding, choosing, and evaluating theoretical frameworks and scientific literature pertaining to task-based, conversation-based, and integrated content-and-language teaching approaches were all part of the study process.

Key phrases such as Conversation-based instruction, Task-based learning, Content and language integrated learning, integrated pedagogy, and language and content integration were used to search academic databases, including Google Scholar, ERIC, and university repositories. To ensure relevance to current educational theory, the search was restricted to peer-reviewed books, journals, and conference proceedings released between 2000 and the present. Ten extremely pertinent and representative references were chosen for in-depth examination and inclusion in the final paper out of a total of thirty-five initial sources.

Data analysis and annotation

A thematic synthesis approach was used to assess the chosen literature. Annotations were made to highlight the main points, methodological focus, and important conclusions of each source, with a particular emphasis on the effects of the pedagogical approaches such as:

- ✓ Language proficiency: fluency, accuracy, and receptive / productive skills.
- ✓ Cognitive skills: critical thinking, problem-solving, and subject comprehension.
- ✓ Affective factors: motivation, anxiety, and self-confidence.

Finding similarities and differences between the three educational models was made easier by the annotation process, especially when it came to the teacher's function as a scaffolder and facilitator [4, 123].

RESULTS

Strong evidence for the efficacy of integrated approaches, especially the CLIL model, in accomplishing dual learning objectives was produced by the systematic review. The results are grouped according to the three instructional approaches that were looked at:

- ❖ Conversation-based instruction (CBI) is frequently seen as an essential part of developing fluency. Results show that, as observed in the CLIL context, teacher-led and structured discussions greatly foster critical thinking and enable students to express their comprehension of the subject [5, 57-73]. By fostering interactional space and employing learner-convergent language, this approach fosters Classroom interactional competence (CIC) and reinforces academic content through meaningful, reflective discourse [6, 1-15]. The focus is on

meaning-making and communication, where language serves as a medium for expression.

- ❖ Task-based language teaching (TBLT) is very successful at encouraging real-world language use and tying classroom instruction to practical applications. According to research, students are forced to use the target language functionally when instruction is based on significant, non-linguistic tasks like working on a project or completing a case study. When CLIL and TBLT are integrated, a symbiotic relationship is created in which the authentic subject information is utilized to produce demanding and compelling tasks that enhance both language proficiency and subject-specific knowledge [7, 203-228].
- ❖ Content and language integrated learning (CLIL) is the most complete framework for integrated instruction. The main conclusions support Coyle's 4Cs Curriculum structure (content, communication, cognition, culture), demonstrating that effective CLIL classes accomplish a balanced advancement in language use and topic knowledge. [8, 175] The review emphasizes how important scaffolding – such as visual aids, sentence frames, and graphic organizers – is for supporting students with a range of language competence levels and guaranteeing material understanding.

❖ CLIL's dual focus leads to:

- ✓ Improved language skills: Useful in relevant situations.
- ✓ Deeper subject understanding: Critical examination and active learning of the target language's content [10, 323-336]

DISCUSSION

The findings highlight a strong convergence across Task-based, Conversation-based, and CLIL approaches: they all use relevant context and real communication to promote learning. In specifically, CLIL serves as a broad philosophical and methodological framework that fundamentally incorporates the goal-oriented structure of TBLT and the communication-focused components of CBI into a curriculum for non-linguistic subjects.

The reframing of language as a learning tool rather than an aim in and of itself is what unites them. Due to the simultaneous management of language and subject matter, this change is especially beneficial for the

development of cognitive flexibility and transferable skills [11, 323-336]. However, the teacher's capacity to diversify instruction and offer suitable scaffolding is crucial to the successful application of these tactics. Future studies should keep examining useful frameworks for teacher preparation as well as the long-term effects of integrated methods on various age groups and learner populations.

CONCLUSION

Adoption of integrated pedagogical approaches, such as task-based, conversation-based, and CLIL instruction, is strongly supported by the data compared to separate teaching methods. These dual-focused approaches successfully combine language learning with the development of subject-specific information and critical thinking abilities, resulting in a richer, more captivating, and more productive learning environment. In order to fulfill the idea that "all teachers are teachers of language", educators should better prepare students for academic success and real-world linguistic needs by placing communication and authentic tasks at the heart of the curriculum.

REFERENCES

1. Coyle, D. Content and language integrated learning: CLIL's context and pedagogy. *The Language Learning Journal*, 38(1), 1-13. 2010.
2. Skehan, P. A cognitive approach to language learning. Oxford University Press. 1998. 336 p.
3. Coyle, D., Hood, P., & Marsh, D. Content and Language Integrated Learning. Cambridge University Press – 2010, 182p.
4. Massler, U., Ioannou-Georgiou, S., & Steiert, C. CLIL and the young learner. *International Association of Teachers of English as a Foreign Language (IATEFL)* 2011, 157p.
5. De Graaff, R., Koopman, G. J., Onnekink, J., & Stokking, K. Challenges in Content and Language Integrated Learning. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 10(1), 57-73.
6. Escobar Urmeneta, M. Teaching strategies in CLIL approach: A reading activity about "The Colour Monster". *Márgenes: Revista de Educación*, 1(2), 2020, 1-15.
7. Lopes, A. Linking content and language-integrated learning (CLIL) and Task-based language teaching (TBLT) in an effective way: a methodological proposal. *Onomázein Revista de lingüística, filología y traducción*, 48, 203-228.
8. Coyle, D., Hood, P., & Marsh, D. Content and Language Integrated Learning. Cambridge University Press – 2010, 182p.
9. Massler, U., Ioannou-Georgiou, S., & Steiert, C. CLIL and the young learner. *International Association of Teachers of English as a Foreign Language (IATEFL)* 2011, 157p.
10. Bárcena-Toyos, S. Accommodating diversity through differentiation and scaffolding in CLIL classrooms. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 23(3), 323-336, 2020.
11. Bárcena-Toyos, S. Accommodating diversity through differentiation and scaffolding in CLIL classrooms. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 23(3), 323-336, 2020.
12. Marsh, D., Maljers, A., & Hartiala, A. K. Profiling European CLIL Classrooms: Languages Open Doors. University of Jyväskylä. 2001.
13. Abdullaeva Shakhlo Sayfieva: USING WEBSITES IN LANGUAGE TEACHING, *American Journal Of Philological Sciences* (ISSN – 2771-2273) Volume 02 issue 11 pages: 64-72
14. Abdullaeva Shakhlo Sayfieva: PRINCIPLES OF INTEGRATING LEARNING, *International Journal of Pedagogics* (ISSN – 2771-2281) Volume 02 Issue 11 Pages: 76-81