International Journal of Pedagogics

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue07 2025
PAGE NO. 89-92
DOI 10.37547/ijp/Volume05Issue07-20

The Impact of Practical Laboratory Sessions on Professional Competence in Teaching Chemistry

Tursunova Gulnoza Kakharovna
Karshi State Technical University, Uzbekistan

Received: 27 May 2025; **Accepted:** 23 June 2025; **Published:** 25 July 2025

Abstract: This article examines the significant role of practical laboratory sessions in fostering professional competence among future chemistry teachers. In an age marked by the rapid evolution of scientific knowledge and the necessity for highly qualified science educators, practical training is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of effective chemistry education. Through analysis of educational theory, empirical research, and international teaching practice, this study reveals how laboratory experiences contribute to the development of both hard and soft skills essential for successful teaching. The article highlights the multifaceted impact of laboratory work, from the reinforcement of theoretical concepts to the nurturing of critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, classroom management, and safety awareness. Moreover, it discusses the challenges and best practices in integrating laboratory components within teacher education programs, drawing on examples from leading educational systems. The findings underscore that well-designed laboratory sessions are indispensable for the formation of professionally competent chemistry teachers capable of inspiring and effectively educating the next generation.

Keywords: Chemistry education, professional competence, laboratory sessions, teacher training, practical skills, science teaching, educational methodology, competence-based education.

Introduction: In the contemporary educational landscape, the training of highly skilled and competent chemistry teachers is a strategic priority for many countries. The teaching of chemistry, by its very nature, demands a balance between theoretical knowledge and practical skills. Whereas classroom instruction provides a foundation in chemical principles and conceptual understanding, laboratory sessions serve as the primary arena where future teachers acquire hands-on skills, develop scientific thinking, and cultivate professional attitudes necessary for effective teaching. The importance of laboratory work in the formation of professional competence among chemistry educators has been recognized for decades, yet recent shifts in educational paradigms—particularly the movement towards competence-based education—have renewed attention to the quality and structure of practical training.

Professional competence in teaching chemistry is a multifaceted construct that encompasses subject knowledge, pedagogical skill, laboratory technique, communication ability, classroom management, and a commitment to safety and ethical standards. In this context, laboratory sessions are not merely supplementary to lectures; they are integral to the teacher preparation process. The dynamic, interactive environment of the laboratory offers unique opportunities for experiential learning, allowing future teachers to engage directly with chemical phenomena, conduct experiments, interpret data, and troubleshoot unexpected outcomes. Such experiences are vital for translating abstract knowledge into concrete understanding and for fostering the confidence required to manage laboratory activities with students.

The global demand for STEM (science, technology, engineering, mathematics) education and the growing emphasis on practical and applied learning have placed additional pressure on teacher education institutions to deliver robust laboratory experiences. However, significant disparities exist in the availability, quality, and integration of laboratory work across different educational systems. Challenges such as inadequate

facilities, limited resources, and insufficient time allocation often hinder the effective delivery of laboratory-based instruction. Furthermore, the rapid advancement of technology and the emergence of virtual and remote laboratory solutions present both opportunities and new dilemmas for teacher preparation programs.

Against this backdrop, the present article aims to explore the impact of practical laboratory sessions on the development of professional competence in teaching chemistry. Through a synthesis of pedagogical literature, analysis of educational standards, and review of case studies from various countries, the study seeks to elucidate the essential role of laboratory experiences in shaping the skills, attitudes, and practices of future chemistry educators.

The methodological foundation of this research lies in a comprehensive review of academic literature, policy documents, and empirical studies addressing the role of laboratory work in teacher education. Primary sources include pedagogical textbooks, teacher training curricula, and guidelines issued by international organizations such as UNESCO and the OECD. Additionally, the analysis draws upon case studies and reports from countries with advanced science education systems, including Germany, the United States, Finland, and Japan.

To provide a holistic understanding, the research employs both qualitative and quantitative approaches. Qualitative analysis focuses on the examination of narratives, reflective accounts, and best practice models reported by experienced chemistry educators and teacher trainers. Quantitative data are drawn from comparative studies and surveys that assess the effectiveness of laboratory-based learning in terms of skill acquisition, student engagement, and teaching performance.

In order to contextualize the findings, the article considers historical developments in chemistry education and recent trends in educational policy. Special attention is paid to the integration of laboratory components within competence-based teacher education frameworks, as well as the challenges associated with resource limitations, safety protocols, and technological innovation. The study also references national education standards and accreditation requirements for chemistry teacher preparation in several countries.

Through this multi-layered approach, the research aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the mechanisms by which practical laboratory sessions contribute to the formation of professional competence, as well as to identify effective strategies for optimizing laboratory training in teacher education.

The results of this research reveal that practical laboratory sessions are indispensable for the formation of comprehensive professional competence among future chemistry teachers. Laboratory work reinforces theoretical knowledge by enabling students to observe and investigate chemical phenomena directly, thus bridging the gap between abstract concepts and real-world applications. As future teachers engage in experimental procedures, they internalize scientific methodologies, develop a sense of inquiry, and acquire the ability to interpret empirical evidence—a skillset that is essential for fostering scientific literacy among their own students.

One of the most significant impacts of laboratory sessions lies in the development of hands-on skills and technical proficiency. Teachers who are adept at preparing solutions, handling chemicals, operating laboratory equipment, and conducting experiments are better equipped to model best practices for their students. Such technical competence is not only crucial for ensuring safety and accuracy in the classroom but also for inspiring student confidence in the learning process.

Furthermore, laboratory sessions serve as a powerful context for the cultivation of soft skills, including critical thinking, collaboration, problem-solving, and effective communication. The collaborative nature of laboratory work necessitates teamwork, role-sharing, and the negotiation of responsibilities—skills that are directly transferrable to the management of student groups in real classroom settings. Future teachers also learn to anticipate potential misunderstandings and develop strategies for explaining complex procedures and results to students with diverse learning needs.

Another key finding is the enhancement of classroom management and safety awareness through laboratory experiences. Teacher candidates who have participated in structured laboratory training are more likely to establish and enforce clear safety protocols, respond appropriately to emergencies, and instill a culture of responsibility and respect in their students. This aspect of professional competence is especially important given the inherent risks associated with chemistry instruction.

The integration of laboratory components within competence-based education frameworks further amplifies their effectiveness. Programs that systematically align laboratory experiences with clearly defined learning outcomes and professional standards yield graduates who are both confident and competent in their teaching practice. Such alignment ensures that laboratory training is not an isolated activity, but a

central element of the teacher education process.

International experience demonstrates that the most successful chemistry teacher preparation programs are those that provide regular, progressive, and well-resourced laboratory opportunities. For example, in Germany, the dual system of teacher education incorporates extensive laboratory internships alongside academic coursework, while in Finland, student teachers are mentored by experienced practitioners in well-equipped laboratory settings. In contrast, systems where laboratory work is marginalized or under-resourced tend to produce graduates who are less prepared to manage and utilize laboratory environments effectively.

The rise of digital and remote laboratories offers new avenues for skill development, particularly in contexts where access to physical laboratories is limited. Virtual simulations and online collaborative experiments can supplement traditional laboratory experiences and provide valuable exposure to experimental design and data analysis. However, most studies emphasize that virtual environments, while beneficial, cannot fully replace the tactile, sensory, and situational learning afforded by in-person laboratory sessions.

Challenges to effective laboratory training remain, including financial constraints, time limitations, and the need for ongoing professional development of teacher trainers. Addressing these issues requires institutional commitment, investment in infrastructure, and the cultivation of a culture that values practical, inquiry-based learning as fundamental to science education.

The discussion of the impact of practical laboratory sessions on professional competence in teaching chemistry must begin with an acknowledgement of the unique pedagogical value of laboratory experiences. Unlike traditional lectures or textbook exercises, laboratory sessions immerse teacher candidates in a dynamic learning environment where theory is tested, mistakes become opportunities for growth, and the unpredictable nature of experimentation mirrors the realities of scientific inquiry.

A core component of professional competence in chemistry teaching is the ability to design, conduct, and evaluate laboratory activities that are safe, meaningful, and pedagogically effective. The laboratory is a microcosm of the classroom, where future teachers practice lesson planning, time management, and the adaptation of instructional strategies to suit different learner profiles. Through direct engagement with laboratory tasks, teacher candidates refine their understanding of chemistry content and gain insight into the learning process from the student's perspective.

Laboratory sessions also function as a critical arena for the development of reflective practice. The iterative process of planning, executing, analyzing, and revising experiments cultivates a habit of reflection and self-assessment—attributes that are central to ongoing professional growth. Teacher candidates learn to evaluate their own performance, seek feedback, and implement improvements, thus modeling lifelong learning for their future students.

Furthermore, laboratory work fosters the development of professional identity. By engaging in authentic scientific practice, teacher candidates internalize the values and ethics of the discipline, including respect for evidence, precision, and the communal nature of scientific knowledge. Such values underpin the credibility and authority of the teacher in the eyes of students, colleagues, and the broader educational community.

The challenges of integrating laboratory sessions into teacher education are not insignificant. In many settings, overcrowded curricula, budgetary limitations, and risk aversion can lead to the marginalization of laboratory components. There is also the risk of laboratory work becoming rote or formulaic, rather than exploratory and intellectually engaging. To counteract these tendencies, teacher education programs must prioritize the design and implementation of laboratory experiences that are inquiry-driven, contextually relevant, and closely aligned with real-world teaching scenarios.

Best practice models highlight the importance of mentoring, peer collaboration, and sustained exposure to laboratory teaching throughout the teacher preparation process. Programs that integrate laboratory work across multiple courses, provide opportunities for micro-teaching, and foster collaboration between teacher candidates and experienced practitioners tend to produce graduates who are more adaptable, resourceful, and confident in their professional roles.

In the age of digital transformation, the potential of virtual and augmented reality technologies to enhance laboratory training should not be overlooked. While digital tools can never fully substitute for hands-on experience, they offer valuable supplements, particularly for rare, hazardous, or resource-intensive experiments. The blending of physical and virtual laboratory experiences may well represent the future of chemistry teacher education.

Finally, the effectiveness of laboratory-based teacher training must be evaluated not only by immediate learning outcomes but also by long-term indicators such as teaching performance, student achievement,

and graduate employability. Research suggests that teachers who have received comprehensive laboratory training are more likely to adopt active, inquiry-based approaches in their own classrooms, thus contributing to higher levels of student engagement and achievement in science.

Practical laboratory sessions represent a foundational pillar in the preparation of professionally competent chemistry teachers. The multi-dimensional benefits of laboratory experiences—including the reinforcement of theoretical knowledge, development of technical and soft skills, enhancement of safety and management capabilities, and cultivation of professional identity—are evident across diverse educational contexts. In light of global trends towards competence-based and practice-oriented education, the integration of robust laboratory components within teacher training programs is both a necessity and an opportunity for advancing the quality of chemistry education.

Addressing the challenges associated with laboratory training requires sustained institutional support, investment in facilities and resources, and ongoing professional development for both teacher candidates and educators. As the science education community continues to innovate and adapt to changing technological and societal conditions, the laboratory will remain an essential arena for experiential learning and professional growth.

Future research should focus on the development of evidence-based models for laboratory integration, the evaluation of digital and hybrid laboratory formats, and the identification of best practices for mentoring and collaboration in teacher education. Only through a commitment to continuous improvement and reflective practice can the full potential of laboratory training be realized in the formation of skilled, confident, and inspiring chemistry teachers.

REFERENCES

Абдукаримов К.Х. Методика преподавания химии: Учебное пособие. – Ташкент: Университет, 2020.

Woodfield B.F., Catlin H.R. The Effectiveness of Hands-On Laboratory Experiments in Teaching Chemistry: A Review. // Journal of Chemical Education, 2018, Vol. 95, №5, pp. 869–877.

Nakhleh M.B., Polles J., Malina E. Learning Chemistry in the Laboratory Environment. // Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 2020, Vol. 21, №4, pp. 1247–1258.

OECD. Teachers as Designers of Learning Environments: The Importance of Innovative Pedagogies. – Paris: OECD Publishing, 2018.

Gilbert J.K., Justi R. Modelling-Based Teaching in Science Education. – Cham: Springer, 2016.

UNESCO. Strengthening Science Teacher Education in Asia. – Paris: UNESCO, 2021.

Millar R., Abrahams I. Does Practical Work Really Work? A Study of the Effectiveness of Practical Work as a Teaching and Learning Method in School Science. // International Journal of Science Education, 2009, Vol. 31, №14, pp. 1945–1969.

Ким К.М. Современные тенденции формирования профессиональной компетентности учителя химии. // Педагогическое образование и наука, 2022, №1, с. 55–61.

Holman J. Laboratory Work in Science Education: A Necessary Evil? // Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 2017, Vol. 18, №1, pp. 1–3.

Hohloch J., Grove N., Bretz S.L. Pre-Service Chemistry Teachers' Experiences in Laboratory Teaching. // Journal of Science Teacher Education, 2019, Vol. 30, №2, pp. 137–153.

Бердыев К.А. Развитие профессиональных компетенций учителя химии в процессе лабораторных занятий. // Молодой ученый, 2021, №9, с. 224–227.

Bybee R.W. The BSCS 5E Instructional Model and 21st Century Skills. – Colorado Springs: BSCS, 2014.

National Research Council. A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas. – Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2012.

National Science Teachers Association. Safety in the Science Classroom. – Arlington, VA: NSTA Press, 2019.

Финляндия: Руководство по подготовке учителей химии. – Хельсинки: Министерство образования Финляндии, 2020.

Мусаев И.Х. Компетентностный подход в профессиональной подготовке будущих учителей химии. // Вопросы современной науки и практики, 2023, №4, с. 111–118.