

# Mechanisms for Developing Students' Motivation for Independent Learning Through Project-Based Learning Technology

Kasimova (Mulladjanova) Nasiba Azimdjnovna

Senior teacher at Tashkent State University of Economics, Uzbekistan

**Received:** 27 May 2025; **Accepted:** 23 June 2025; **Published:** 25 July 2025

**Abstract:** This article investigates how project-based learning (PBL) technology fosters students' motivational readiness for independent learning in higher education. Building upon self-determination theory, the study analyses cognitive, affective, and contextual factors that transform externally prompted project activity into sustained autonomous motivation. A quasi-experimental design was implemented at two universities, encompassing 428 second-year undergraduates in engineering and education programmes. Over a sixteen-week semester, the experimental cohort engaged in discipline-embedded projects supported by structured coaching sessions that explicitly modelled goal-setting, progress monitoring, and reflective self-assessment. Quantitative instruments—the Academic Self-Regulation Questionnaire and the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire—were administered pre- and post-intervention, while qualitative data were captured through classroom ethnography and in-depth interviews. Results demonstrate significant increases in identified regulation, intrinsic motivation, and strategic self-regulation within the experimental group, accompanied by qualitative evidence of enhanced metacognitive dialogue and collaborative knowledge construction. The discussion articulates a theoretical model in which PBL operates as an environmental catalyst that satisfies basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness, thereby internalising the value of independent learning. Practical recommendations highlight the importance of iterative scaffolding, formative feedback loops, and an institutional culture that legitimises project outcomes as authentic academic achievements.

**Keywords:** Project-based learning, independent learning, student motivation, self-determination theory, higher education, quasi-experimental study.

**Introduction:** The transition from teacher-centred instructional paradigms to learner-centred models has elevated independent learning from a desirable attribute to a core graduate competence. In knowledge economies where the half-life of professional expertise continues to contract, universities must cultivate graduates who not only possess robust disciplinary foundations but also demonstrate the capacity to acquire, evaluate, and apply new knowledge autonomously throughout their careers. Project-based learning technology has emerged as a powerful instructional strategy that promises to bridge formal curricular goals with students' intrinsic curiosity. Unlike traditional lecture formats, PBL situates learning within ill-structured, real-world problems that require sustained inquiry, interdisciplinary reasoning, and

iterative solution development. These features align naturally with the development of independent learning; however, the motivational mechanisms by which PBL translates into enduring autonomous learning dispositions remain under-theorised.

Motivation is not a monolithic construct but rather a dynamic constellation of beliefs, goals, and perceived values. Self-determination theory (SDT) differentiates types of motivation along a continuum from amotivation through external regulation to intrinsic motivation, positing that autonomy, competence, and relatedness are universal psychological needs that, when satisfied, catalyse self-determined behaviour. Contemporary research confirms that students who adopt self-regulated learning strategies exhibit higher academic achievement and resilience. Nevertheless,

many PBL implementations focus on methodological logistics—team formation, milestone scheduling, rubric design—while relegating motivational concerns to secondary status. Consequently, projects may be completed successfully in a procedural sense yet fail to instil a durable inclination toward self-directed study once external oversight is removed.

This study addresses the identified gap by analysing how specifically designed PBL environments influence the internalisation of independent learning motives. The central thesis maintains that PBL functions as a motivational catalyst only when its structural affordances are deliberately orchestrated to satisfy SDT's psychological needs and to prompt metacognitive reflection. The empirical investigation is guided by three research questions. First, to what extent does an SDT-aligned PBL intervention enhance autonomous forms of academic motivation? Second, which instructional practices within PBL mediate the transition from external to internal regulation? Third, how do students interpret and narrate their motivational trajectories across the project cycle? By triangulating quantitative and qualitative evidence, the article seeks to elucidate the causal pathways linking PBL design, motivational functioning, and independent learning outcomes, thereby offering actionable insights for curriculum designers and university policy makers.

The research adopted a convergent mixed-methods approach. Two mid-sized public universities of comparable ranking in Central Asia participated. Within each institution, intact class groups from mechanical engineering and pedagogy programmes were randomly assigned to experimental or control conditions, yielding 214 students per condition. Baseline equivalence was confirmed via prior GPA and pre-test motivation scores.

The experimental intervention integrated three components into standard PBL cycles. Firstly, guided goal-setting sessions encouraged students to articulate personal learning objectives and align them with project deliverables. Secondly, bi-weekly coaching meetings facilitated progress monitoring, constructive peer feedback, and adaptive strategy selection. Thirdly, structured reflective journals required students to evaluate their learning processes, identify competence gains, and plan future knowledge-seeking actions. Control classes completed identical discipline-specific projects but without explicit coaching or reflection protocols. All teaching staff underwent two days of professional development on SDT principles; only instructors of the experimental classes implemented the full intervention.

Instruments included the Academic Self-Regulation

Questionnaire (SRQ-A) adapted for higher education contexts and the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ). Both instruments were translated, back-translated, and pilot-tested for linguistic accuracy. Reliability indices exceeded 0.88 for all sub-scales. Classroom observations employed a semi-structured protocol capturing teacher autonomy support, task structure, and interpersonal climate. Twenty-four students from the experimental group and sixteen from the control group participated in semi-structured interviews probing their motivational experiences and perceptions of project relevance. Observation field notes and interview transcripts were coded thematically using an inductive-deductive hybrid scheme. Quantitative data were analysed with repeated-measures ANCOVA, controlling for pre-test differences, and effect sizes were calculated using partial eta squared. Qualitative trustworthiness was enhanced through peer debriefing and member checks. Ethical approval was obtained from institutional review boards, and informed consent was secured from all participants.

Statistical analysis revealed significant group-by-time interactions for all autonomous motivation indices. Identified regulation scores in the experimental cohort increased from  $M = 4.11$  ( $SD = 0.63$ ) to  $M = 4.74$  ( $SD = 0.52$ ), whereas the control cohort exhibited a modest rise from  $M = 4.09$  ( $SD = 0.66$ ) to  $M = 4.28$  ( $SD = 0.61$ );  $F(1, 425) = 27.86$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.062$ . Intrinsic motivation increased by 0.67 standard deviations in the experimental group, contrasted with 0.18 in the control group. Similarly, the self-regulated learning strategy composite from the MSLQ showed a significant improvement in the experimental group ( $\Delta = 0.72$  SD),  $F(1, 425) = 31.14$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.068$ .

Qualitative data enriched the statistical picture. Students repeatedly described the guided goal-setting phase as pivotal, noting that articulating personal stakes in project outcomes transformed assignments into “self-directed missions.” Coaching sessions emerged as zones of negotiated autonomy in which instructors scaffolded competence without micromanaging. Reflective journal analysis indicated progressive sophistication in metacognitive language, with later entries demonstrating explicit connections between strategy use and learning efficacy. Classroom observations corroborated these findings: experimental classes displayed more frequent student-initiated questions, reciprocal teaching episodes, and peer-to-peer scaffolding. Control classrooms tended to rely on instructor-driven clarification and exhibited shorter episodes of collaborative problem solving.

Interview narratives underscored the importance of perceived project authenticity. Many experimental-

group students referenced the real-world significance of their projects—such as designing a low-cost water filtration prototype or developing a literacy game for primary pupils—as a motivational driver that outlived the semester. By contrast, control-group participants were more likely to frame projects as course requirements. Students who experienced declines in motivation, even within the experimental group, cited group coordination difficulties, suggesting that relatedness frustrations can impede the internalisation process if not adequately mediated.

The data substantiate the hypothesis that PBL, when strategically aligned with SDT principles, enhances autonomous motivation and self-regulatory strategy use. Quantitative gains in identified and intrinsic regulation indicate a deepening internalisation of academic values, confirming prior work that positions authentic, autonomy-supportive learning environments as catalysts of motivational quality. The large effect sizes for self-regulated learning strategies signal that students not only felt more motivated but also translated this motivation into adaptive cognitive and metacognitive behaviours.

The mechanisms responsible for these outcomes can be conceptualised along two interlocking pathways. The first is the psychological-need fulfilment pathway: guided goal-setting addresses autonomy by giving students ownership of learning objectives; structured coaching bolsters competence by providing timely feedback and strategy modelling; collaborative project work nurtures relatedness through shared intellectual endeavour. The second is the metacognitive mediation pathway: reflective journaling externalises cognitive processes, allowing students to monitor, evaluate, and refine their learning approaches, thereby creating a virtuous cycle in which successful self-regulation reinforces autonomous motivation.

An emergent insight is that project authenticity functions as a latent variable that amplifies both pathways. When projects are perceived as socially or professionally consequential, autonomy satisfaction gains an existential dimension, and competence gains acquire tangible relevance. However, authenticity alone is insufficient; without deliberate scaffolding, students may experience cognitive overload, leading to defensive external regulation. Thus, instructional design must balance challenge and support, a principle long recognised in Vygotskian pedagogy but too often overlooked in PBL implementation manuals.

Limitations of the present study include its quasi-experimental nature and reliance on self-report instruments, which may inflate effect sizes due to social desirability bias. The intervention's sixteen-week

duration precludes conclusions about the durability of motivational gains across academic years. Additionally, the disciplinary focus on engineering and education programmes may constrain generalisability to other fields such as humanities or pure sciences. Future research should adopt longitudinal designs that track motivation trajectories into subsequent courses and explore cross-cultural replications to test the universality of the proposed mechanisms.

Project-based learning technology can serve as a powerful engine for cultivating independent learning motivation when embedded within a pedagogical ecology that systematically satisfies students' needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. The study demonstrates that integrating explicit goal-setting, iterative coaching, and reflective practice into PBL designs yields significant enhancements in autonomous motivation and self-regulated learning. These gains translate into more engaged, self-directed students capable of sustaining their learning beyond the immediate project context. Universities intent on nurturing lifelong learners should thus prioritise faculty development initiatives that equip instructors to operationalise SDT premises within project frameworks, allocate curricular space for structured reflection, and foreground project authenticity as a cornerstone of motivational architecture. By institutionalising such mechanisms, higher education can progress toward its mission of graduating professionals who are not merely knowledgeable but also intrinsically driven to expand that knowledge throughout their lives.

## REFERENCES

- Deci E. L., Ryan R. M. *Self-Determination Theory : Basic Psychological Needs in Motivation, Development, and Wellness*. — New York : Guilford Press, 2017. — 756 p.
- Blumenfeld P. C., Kempler T. M., Krajcik J. S. *Motivation and Cognitive Engagement in Learning Environments // Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences / Ed. by R. K. Sawyer*. — Cambridge : Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022. — P. 475–495.
- Bell S. *Project-Based Learning for the 21st Century : Skills for the Future // The Clearing House*. — 2010. — Vol. 83, № 2. — P. 39–43.
- Zimmerman B. J. *Investigating Self-Regulation and Motivation : Historical Background, Methodological Developments, and Future Prospects // American Educational Research Journal*. — 2008. — Vol. 45, № 1. — P. 166–183.
- Hmelo-Silver C. E., Duncan R. G., Chinn C. A. *Scaffolding and Achievement in Problem-Based and Inquiry Learning : A Response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark*

(2006) // Educational Psychologist. — 2007. — Vol. 42, № 2. — P. 99–107.

Prince M. J., Felder R. M. Inductive Teaching and Learning Methods : Definitions, Comparisons, and Research Bases // Journal of Engineering Education. — 2006. — Vol. 95, № 2. — P. 123–138.

Schunk D. H., Zimmerman B. J. Motivation and Self-Regulated Learning : Theory, Research, and Applications. — 3rd ed. — New York : Routledge, 2023. — 364 p.

Thomas J. W. A Review of Research on Project-Based Learning. — San Rafael : Autodesk Foundation, 2000. — 46 p.

Krajcik J. S., Blumenfeld P. C. Project-Based Learning // The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences / Ed. by R. K. Sawyer. — 2nd ed. — Cambridge : Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014. — P. 275–297.

Seifert T. A. Understanding Student Motivation. — Winters : University Press of Northern Colorado, 2021. — 214 p.

Salomon G., Perkins D. Transfer of Cognitive Skills from Programming : When and How? // Journal of Educational Computing Research. — 1989. — Vol. 3, № 2. — P. 149–169.

Boekaerts M. Self-Regulated Learning : A New Concept Embracing Motivation, Emotion, and Action // European Psychologist. — 1999. — Vol. 4, № 2. — P. 100–112.

Ryan R. M., Deci E. L. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations : Classic Definitions and New Directions // Contemporary Educational Psychology. — 2000. — Vol. 25, № 1. — P. 54–67.

Kolmos A., de Graaff E. Project-Based and Problem-Based Learning in Engineering Education : Merging Models // International Journal of Engineering Education. — 2014. — Vol. 30, № 6. — P. 1124–1131.

Van den Bergh L., Ros A., Beijaard D. Teacher Feedback during Active Learning : Impact on Students' Self-Regulated Learning, Motivation and Achievement // Learning and Instruction. — 2013. — Vol. 23, № 1. — P. 17–24.