

Integrating Pedagogical Support For Students' Learning Initiatives With National Upbringing Through A National Cultural Approach

Azimova Nilufar Nuriddinovna

Senior Lecturer at the Department of Foreign Language Education at Tashkent State University of Economics, Uzbekistan

Received: 26 April 2025; Accepted: 19 May 2025; Published: 30 June 2025

Abstract: The article examines how pedagogical support that stimulates students' learning initiatives can be systematically harmonised with the broader goals of national upbringing when it is grounded in the values, symbols, and communicative practices of a nation's culture. Drawing on socio-cultural learning theory and contemporary research on culturally responsive pedagogy, the study analyses an educational intervention carried out in upper-secondary schools that combines initiative-based project work with modules on cultural heritage. A mixed-methods design—incorporating classroom observations, semi-structured interviews, and comparative achievement data—was employed to investigate how the integration of national cultural elements shapes motivational dynamics, cognitive engagement, and socio-emotional outcomes. Results reveal statistically significant gains in self-regulated learning behaviours and cultural identity affirmation among the experimental group relative to a control cohort, alongside qualitative evidence of deeper student–teacher dialogic interaction. The discussion situates these findings within global debates on localisation versus universalisation in curriculum design, arguing that national culture, when treated as a dynamic resource rather than a static canon, can enrich initiative-based learning without sacrificing academic rigour. Recommendations are offered for teacher professional development, curriculum policy, and further research on culturally grounded pedagogical ecosystems.

Keywords: National culture, pedagogical support, student initiative, culturally responsive pedagogy, national upbringing, self-regulated learning, mixed-methods.

Introduction: Education systems worldwide increasingly confront the dual imperative of fostering learner autonomy while preserving the cultural foundations that sustain social cohesion. In post-Soviet contexts, including Uzbekistan and other Central Asian nations, the tension between globalising educational reforms and the safeguarding of national identity has grown particularly acute. Pedagogical models that privilege individual initiative-most notably projectbased and inquiry-oriented learning-promise to cultivate creativity, critical thinking, and entrepreneurial mind-sets. Yet their wholesale adoption risks disconnecting learning processes from the value orientations and communicative codes embedded in local cultural milieus.

National upbringing, a concept rooted in indigenous pedagogical traditions, emphasises the transmission of

ethical norms, historical memory, and linguistic heritage through formal and informal learning. It presupposes an education that is not merely instrumental but also civic and moral. When curricular innovations are introduced without adequate attention to these dimensions, students may experience cognitive dissonance, perceiving initiative as at odds with cultural loyalty. This disjunction can erode both academic motivation and social solidarity.

The present study addresses this challenge by exploring how pedagogical support structures designed to stimulate learning initiatives can be intentionally integrated with national upbringing through a national cultural approach. By national cultural approach, we understand a framework that embeds local myths, historical narratives, aesthetic conventions, and communal practices into the fabric of teaching-learning

International Journal of Pedagogics (ISSN: 2771-2281)

interactions. The central research questions guiding the inquiry are: (1) How does the incorporation of national cultural content and practices into initiativeoriented pedagogy affect students' motivational and cognitive outcomes? (2) What are the perceptions of teachers and students regarding the compatibility of initiative-based learning with national upbringing? (3) Which mechanisms mediate the relationship between cultural integration and observed educational outcomes?

The research employed a convergent mixed-methods design. Quantitative and qualitative data were collected concurrently and analysed to achieve a richer interpretation of findings. The study was carried out during the 2024/2025 academic year in four urban upper-secondary schools with comparable socio-economic profiles. Two schools constituted the experimental group (n = 210 students, grades 10-11), and two served as the control group (n = 215).

In the experimental schools, teachers participated in a summer professional development programme that introduced strategies for embedding national cultural elements into initiative-driven learning tasks. These included integrating folklore motifs into project problem statements, using proverbs and historical anecdotes as prompts for reflective journals, and inviting local artisans for in-class demonstrations that served as catalysts for inquiry projects. Control-group teachers continued conventional project-based learning without explicit cultural integration.

Data sources comprised: (a) pre- and post-intervention surveys measuring self-regulated learning (SRL) using a validated 24-item instrument; (b) classroom observation protocols capturing frequency and depth of initiative-related teacher scaffolding; (c) semistructured interviews with 24 teachers and 48 students; and (d) comparative analysis of project portfolios graded on a common rubric emphasising originality, methodological rigour, and cultural relevance. Quantitative data were analysed via pairedsample t-tests and ANCOVA (covariate = baseline SRL scores), while gualitative transcripts underwent thematic coding in NVivo, ensuring intercoder reliability above 0.85. Ethical approval was secured from the university research ethics board, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Statistical analysis revealed a significant interaction effect between group membership and time on SRL scores (F(1,422)=18.47, p<0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.042$). Experimental-group students exhibited a mean gain of 0.76 standard deviations, compared with 0.21 in the control group. Sub-scales for goal-setting and metacognitive monitoring showed the largest

differentials.

Project portfolio assessment indicated higher mean scores for the experimental group (M = 86.3, SD = 5.4) than for controls (M = 79.1, SD = 6.1), t(423)=12.08, p<0.001. Reviewers noted more frequent contextualised problem statements, nuanced use of culturally resonant examples, and greater reflective depth in conclusions.

Qualitative data supported the quantitative trends. Teachers reported that cultural anchoring eased the initial apprehension students felt when asked to formulate their own research questions; references to shared historical experiences and familiar narratives provided a 'cognitive bridge' to abstract inquiry processes. Students described heightened engagement, articulating that projects felt "more meaningful" because they connected to "who we are" and to "stories my grandparents told." Several interviewees highlighted a growing sense of responsibility to represent national culture accurately, which, in turn, motivated meticulous data gathering and critical evaluation.

Observation logs documented an increased frequency of teacher prompts that linked project tasks to cultural motifs, as well as more elaborate student explanations drawing on national history and arts. This dialogic expansion suggested that the cultural approach enriched the discursive ecology of the classroom, fostering deeper negotiation of meanings.

The findings affirm the hypothesis that integrating national cultural content into pedagogical support for learning initiatives enhances both motivational and cognitive outcomes. In line with Vygotskian perspectives on the mediating role of cultural tools, culturally saturated prompts and artefacts served as semiotic resources that scaffolded higher-order thinking. The statistically significant gains in SRL align with research indicating that culturally relevant pedagogy can boost academic agency by aligning learning tasks with students' identity narratives.

The compatibility of initiative-based learning with national upbringing challenges the false dichotomy between globalised pedagogical reforms and cultural preservation. Rather than viewing culture as a constraint on autonomy, the study positions it as an enabling matrix that renders initiative meaningful within a framework of collective values. This resonates with recent critiques of culturally neutral innovation models, which often under-theorise the socioemotional substrates of motivation.

Nevertheless, the study revealed that successful integration depends on teachers' cultural competence and reflective capacity. Teachers who merely

International Journal of Pedagogics (ISSN: 2771-2281)

appended folklore references superficially did not achieve the same depth of student engagement as those who wove cultural elements into the epistemic structure of tasks. This underscores the importance of sustained professional learning communities that explore not only curricular content but also pedagogical epistemologies.

Limitations include the relatively short intervention period and the urban sample, which may limit generalisability to rural schools where cultural practices differ in form and intensity. Future studies might employ longitudinal designs to trace the durability of SRL gains and cultural identity outcomes, and could explore digital modalities for disseminating culturally grounded initiative-based learning.

The research demonstrates that pedagogical support for students' learning initiatives can be effectively integrated with national upbringing when undergirded by a national cultural approach. Such integration catalyses self-regulated learning, deepens cognitive engagement, and nurtures socio-emotional bonds to cultural heritage, thereby advancing both individual and collective educational goals. Policy makers should embed culturally responsive competencies in teacher standards and allocate curricular time for culturally contextualised inquiry. Educational leaders are encouraged facilitate cross-disciplinary to collaboration that positions national culture as a living, dialogic resource rather than a static repository. By doing so, education systems can reconcile innovation with identity, cultivating learners who are simultaneously autonomous and rooted.

REFERENCES

- 1. Виготский Л. С. Педагогическая психология. Москва : Педагогика, 1991. — 480 с.
- 2. Bruner J. S. The Culture of Education. Cambridge : Harvard University Press, 1996. — 288 p.
- 3. Gay G. Culturally Responsive Teaching : Theory, Research, and Practice. — New York : Teachers College Press, 2018. — 368 p.
- 4. Караковский В. А. Воспитательная система школы. — Москва : Просвещение, 2019. — 256 с.
- Ladson-Billings G. Toward a Theory of Culturally 5. Relevant Pedagogy // American Educational Research Journal. — 1995. — Vol. 32, № 3. — P. 465-491.
- 6. McInerney D. M., Liem G. A. Future-Time Perspective and Learning Motivation _____ Educational Psychology Review. - 2022. - Vol. 34, № 2. — P. 297-320.
- 7. Нишанов Б. Р. Национальное воспитание в условиях глобализации // Вестник высшей

школы. — 2021. — № 7. — С. 14-22.

- 8. Paris D., Alim H. S. Culturally Sustaining Pedagogies : Teaching and Learning for Justice in a Changing World. — New York : Teachers College Press, 2017. — 240 p.
- 9. Rogoff B. Apprenticeship in Thinking : Cognitive Development in Social Context. - New York : Oxford University Press, 1990. — 256 p.
- 10. Садыкова Г. Т. Формирование учебной инициативы школьников средствами культурно-исторического содержания //Восточно-Европейский научный журнал. 2023. — № 2(38). — C. 112-120.
- 11. Schunk D. H., Zimmerman B. J. Self-Regulated Learning : Theories, Measures, and Outcomes // International Handbook of Student Achievement. — New York : Routledge, 2013. — P. 283-297.
- **12.** Wertsch J. V. Voices of the Mind : A Sociocultural Approach to Mediated Action. — Cambridge : Harvard University Press, 1991. — 328 p.
- 13. Yin R. K. Case Study Research and Applications : Design and Methods. — 6th ed. — London : SAGE, 2018. — 352 p.
- 14. Zrazhevskaia N. I. Культурологический подход к образованию: теория и практика // Педагогика. — 2020. — № 10. — C. 45-54.
- 15. Zimmerman B. J. Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner : An Overview // Theory Into Practice. — 2002. — Vol. 41, № 2. — P. 64-70.