Interactive Methods For Working With Alalia Speech Disorder

S.M. Voxobova

Lecturer at the Department of Creative Pedagogy and Psychology at Faculty of Pedagogy at Namangan State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Alalia, a neurogenic speech disorder characterised by a profound delay or absence of expressive and/or receptive language, presents a complex challenge for speech-language pathologists and special-needs educators. Traditional drill-based correction tends to neglect the socio-cognitive underpinnings of language acquisition, limiting long-term generalisation. This study investigates how interactive methods—defined as dialogic, game-mediated, and technology-supported interventions—facilitate neural and behavioural plasticity in children aged 4 to 7 diagnosed with motor or sensory alalia. Drawing on socio-cultural theory and contemporary neurolinguistic models, the research employs a mixed-method, embedded-design study across two clinical centres in Tashkent and Namangan. Quantitative analysis of the adapted Preschool Language Scale (PLS-5) and the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT-4) demonstrates statistically significant improvements in expressive vocabulary, syntactic complexity, and pragmatic turn-taking compared with a matched control cohort receiving conventional therapy. Qualitative micro-analysis of therapy video transcripts reveals that multimodal feedback loops, peer scaffolding, and augmented-reality prompts jointly create a "linguistic playground" in which children rehearse—and automatise—core speech acts. The discussion integrates these findings within a neuroconstructivist framework, arguing that interactive methods not only accelerate cortical language network activation but also nurture socio-emotional competence critical for sustainable communicative growth. The article concludes with recommendations for curriculum designers, clinicians, and policy makers seeking to embed interactive paradigms in early intervention programmes.

Introduction: Alalia occupies a unique niche among developmental language disorders because its aetiology is linked to diffuse cortical dysfunction rather than peripheral impairments of the articulatory apparatus. The phenomenon was first systematised by Russian neuropsychologist Alexander R. Luria, who identified motor and sensory sub-types corresponding to disrupted efferent and afferent language pathways. Despite advances in neuroimaging that have sharpened diagnostic criteria, remediation remains arduous, with many children failing to achieve age-appropriate linguistic competence when subjected solely to conventional exercises that isolate phonemic articulation or lexical drilling. A growing body of evidence indicates that language emerges through interactive exchanges that stimulate neural synchronisation between interlocutors; thus, therapy approaches that reproduce authentic, emotionally salient communication environments may unlock latent plasticity in the developing brain.

Theoretical lenses drawn from Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory, Bruner's concept of scaffolding, and Tomasello's usage-based model converge on the premise that social interaction is the cradle of linguistic construction. Augmented reality (AR) and gamified digital platforms further extend this interactive continuum by providing multimodal cues and adaptive feedback impossible within static workbook paradigms. Yet empirical evaluations of these methods for children with alalia remain sparse, particularly in Central Asian contexts where resource constraints and linguistic diversity add layers of complexity. This study addresses the lacuna by systematically analysing the efficacy of interactive methods and elucidating the mechanisms through which they mediate speech development.

Three research objectives guide the investigation. The

first is to quantify the magnitude of expressive and receptive language gains when interactive interventions are applied over a fifteen-week cycle. The second is to compare outcome trajectories between motor and sensory alalia sub-groups to ascertain differential responsiveness. The third is to unpack the qualitative micro-processes—such as gesture-speech coupling, joint attention episodes, and corrective recasts—through which interactive therapy transmits linguistic knowledge. By integrating quantitative metrics with fine-grained discourse analysis, the research seeks to construct a comprehensive picture of how interactive methods reshape both neural circuitry and behavioural routines.

The research followed an embedded mixed-methods design, wherein a quantitative quasi-experiment constituted the primary strand and qualitative ethnography provided contextual interpretation. Participants comprised sixty-four Uzbek- and Russian-speaking children aged 4 to 7 diagnosed with alalia by multidisciplinary teams at the Centre for Neurocognitive Rehabilitation, Tashkent, and the Namangan State Pedagogical Institute's speech therapy clinic. The experimental group (n = 32) received interactive therapy, while the control group (n = 32) underwent standard articulatory-motor exercises and lexical rehearsal. Sub-classification yielded sixteen children with motor alalia and sixteen with sensory alalia in each cohort, ensuring balanced representation.

Intervention design integrated three interactive modalities. First, dialogic play sessions employed story-based scenarios enacted with puppets and tangible objects, prompting spontaneous utterances and reciprocal feedback. Second, collaborative digital games on tablet devices utilised adaptive algorithms to modulate phonological complexity and semantic fields based on real-time performance, incorporating visual rewards and haptic cues. Third, augmented-reality scenes projected three-dimensional animations onto the therapy room floor, encouraging children to navigate, label, and narrate virtual objects collectively, thereby forging situated language use. Each child attended three forty-minute sessions per week over fifteen weeks, totalling thirty hours. Control-group sessions matched duration and therapist contact time but excluded digital and AR components, relying instead on mirror-based articulatory modelling and repetition drills.

Outcome measures included the Uzbek-Russian adaptation of the Preschool Language Scale, Fifth Edition (PLS-5), assessing auditory comprehension and expressive communication, and the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Fourth Edition (PPVT-4), gauging receptive vocabulary. Speech-act diversity and mean length of utterance (MLU) were extracted from ten-minute spontaneous-play recordings at baseline and post-intervention, using ELAN software with double-blind transcription. Reliability exceeded 0.92 for coding categories.

Qualitative data encompassed 240 hours of video-recorded therapy sessions, therapist field notes, and semi-structured caregiver interviews. Discourse-pragmatic analysis focused on turn-taking intervals, repair sequences, and gestural synchrony. Ethical clearance conformed to the Helsinki Declaration; parental consent and child assent were secured, and all digital materials were stored on encrypted servers.

Statistical analysis employed repeated-measures ANCOVA with baseline scores as covariates, applying Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Effect sizes were reported via partial eta squared (η^2). Qualitative themes were generated through inductive coding, with intercoder agreement at 0.87. Integration of strands followed a side-by-side comparison matrix, facilitating the identification of convergence, complementarity, and divergence across data sources.

Quantitative outcomes revealed robust advantages for the interactive intervention. Children in the experimental group exhibited a mean gain of 18.4 points (SD = 4.2) on the PLS-5 Expressive Communication sub-scale, compared with 9.7 points (SD = 4.8) in the control group, yielding a significant group × time interaction, $F(1, 61) = 33.27$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.353$. Gains in auditory comprehension followed a similar pattern. PPVT-4 receptive vocabulary scores increased by an average of 14.1 points (SD = 3.6) among experimental participants versus 6.3 points (SD = 3.9) in controls, $F(1, 61) = 41.02$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.402$.

Analysis of spontaneous-speech corpora showed mean length of utterance rising from 1.8 to 3.4 morphemes in the experimental group, while controls improved from 1.9 to 2.5 morphemes. Speech-act diversity, operationalised as the proportion of unique illocutionary functions per 100 utterances, grew by 45 per cent in the interactive cohort compared with 18 per cent in controls. Sub-group analysis indicated that children with motor alalia benefited marginally more in articulatory accuracy, whereas sensory-alalia participants showed pronounced receptive vocabulary gains; however, interaction terms did not reach statistical significance after correction, suggesting broadly comparable responsiveness across sub-types.

Qualitative examination illuminated the dynamics underlying these gains. Interactive sessions generated extended joint-attention frames averaging 27 seconds, double the duration observed in control sessions.

Within these frames, therapists capitalised on children's spontaneous interest—such as chasing an AR butterfly or rescuing a digital cartoon character—to embed target phonemes and syntactic constructions. Corrective feedback occurred within conversational turns rather than in isolated drill sequences, creating an immediate, context-bound repair environment. Gestural synchrony—instances where child and therapist gestures aligned temporally and semantically—was linked to successful uptake of new lexemes, corroborating theories of embodied cognition. Caregiver interviews revealed enhanced carry-over, with parents reporting that children imitated gameplay dialogues at home and initiated question-asking episodes previously absent from their repertoire.

Complementarity analysis demonstrated that statistical improvements in expressive scores aligned with qualitative patterns of increased turn-taking and reduced echolalia. Divergences were minimal but informative; one child exhibited high vocabulary gains yet remained pragmatically rigid, an outlier case traceable to concurrent ASD diagnosis, highlighting the need for differential diagnostics in therapy planning.

The findings substantiate the hypothesis that interactive methods catalyse more substantial and holistic language development in children with alalia than conventional drills. Consistent with socio-cultural theory, the observed acceleration in linguistic gains can be attributed to the mediation of language through socially meaningful tools—namely, play narratives, peer collaboration, and digital-augmented affordances—thereby positioning speech not as an isolated motor act but as a vehicle of intentional communication embedded in shared activity.

Neurolinguistic frameworks provide complementary explanations. Research employing functional MRI has shown that interactive storytelling activates the child's mirror-neuron system and Broca's area synchronously, fostering cortico-cortical connectivity essential for speech planning. The digital games used in this study employed adaptive algorithms that maintained challenge within each child's zone of proximal development, keeping dopaminergic reward circuits engaged without triggering frustration. Augmented-reality environments further amplified multimodal sensory integration, known to enhance hippocampal encoding and retrieval of lexical items.

The comparative parity of treatment effects across motor and sensory alalia sub-groups suggests that interactive therapy addresses both efferent-plan and afferent-perceptual deficits by intertwining production and comprehension demands within each task. Dialogic play prompted expressive experimentation, while real-time peer feedback sharpened auditory discrimination, pointing toward an integrative remediation model.

Implications for clinical practice include the necessity of therapist training in digital pedagogy and multimodal cueing strategies. Interactive platforms must be linguistically localised to reflect phonotactic and cultural particularities of Uzbek-Russian bilingual contexts; generic English-language applications risk introducing phonological contrasts absent from the target languages. Institutional adoption requires investment in hardware and stable internet infrastructure, but cost-benefit analysis indicates favourable returns if therapy hours can be partially migrated to semi-supervised home practice monitored via tele-consultations.

Limitations of the study encompass its quasi-experimental design and fifteen-week horizon, constraining causal inference and long-term outcome assessment. The sample size, while adequate for medium-effect detection, may not capture subtler interactions between therapy modality and neurodevelopmental comorbidities. Future research should employ randomised controlled trials, integrate neuroimaging biomarkers, and explore the longitudinal sustainability of gains through follow-up into primary school years.

CONCLUSION

Interactive methods grounded in dialogic play, adaptive digital games, and augmented-reality experiences substantially enhance both expressive and receptive language abilities in children with alalia. By embedding linguistic targets within emotionally engaging, socially contingent activities, these interventions satisfy the neural and psychosocial prerequisites for robust speech acquisition. The convergence of quantitative and qualitative evidence underscores the transformative potential of interactivity to transcend the constraints of traditional therapy, offering a blueprint for comprehensive early intervention models in multilingual contexts such as Uzbekistan. Stakeholders should thus prioritise the integration of interactive technologies into speech-language curricula, support therapist professional development, and promote caregiver involvement to maximise generalisation and long-term communicative competence.

REFERENCES

1. Лурия А. Р. Травматические нарушения речи. — Москва : МГУ, 1970. — 256 с.

2. Vygotsky L. S. Thought and Language. — Cambridge : MIT Press, 1986. — 287 p.

3. Bruner J. S. The Social Context of Language Acquisition // Language and Communication. — 1983. — Vol. 3, No. 2. — P. 155-178.

4. Tomasello M. Constructing a Language : A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition. — Cambridge : Harvard University Press, 2003. — 388 p.

5. Zaretsky Y. V. Early Childhood Language Disorders : Assessment and Intervention. — Moscow : Buki-Vedi, 2015. — 304 p.

6. Колесникова Е. А. Моторная алалия у дошкольников : методы коррекции. — Санкт-Петербург : Речь, 2019. — 192 с.

7. Shklovsky V. M., Ivanova N. L. Augmented Reality Tools in Speech Therapy Practice // Journal of Neurolinguistics. — 2021. — Vol. 59. — P. 1-15.

8. Kuhl P. K. Brain Mechanisms in Early Language Acquisition // Neuron. — 2010. — Vol. 67, No. 5. — P. 713-727.

9. Cheung C., Hamilton L. S. Interpersonal Neural Entrainment during Child-Adult Communication // Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience. — 2022. — Vol. 56. — P. 101-114.

10. Глухов А. М., Сафонова О. В. Игровая терапия при сенсорной алалии // Дефектология. — 2020. — № 4. — С. 12-19.

11. Clark H. H. Using Language. — Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 1996. — 432 p.

12. Chorna O. D., Heathcock J. C. The Effect of Multisensory Stimulation on Speech Outcomes in Neurodevelopmental Disorders // Infant Behavior and Development. — 2018. — Vol. 50. — P. 278-287.

13. Ковригина С. А., Иванченко Е. Л. Интерактивные компьютерные программы в коррекции детской речи // Логопед. — 2017. — № 6. — С. 28-34.

14. Howard K. B., Munro N. M. Digital Game-Based Learning for Language Impairment : A Systematic Review // Computers & Education. — 2020. — Vol. 144. — P. 103-707.

15. Мухамеджанова Д. А. Роль родителей в переносе коррекционного эффекта интерактивной терапии // Психологическая наука и образование. — 2023. — Т. 28, № 5. — С. 59-70.