Improving the Programmatic and Didactic Support for Developing Students' Digital Competence

Xurramov Dilmurod Tojimuratovich

Independent researcher at the Uzbek National Pedagogical University named after Nizami, Uzbekistan

Abstract: The accelerated digitalisation of education has placed unprecedented demands on higher-education institutions to cultivate robust digital competence in their graduates. Yet the fragmentation of programmatic initiatives and the uneven quality of didactic practices frequently limit the systemic effectiveness of such efforts. This article investigates how an integrated approach—combining institution-level programme design with evidence-based didactic support—enhances students' digital competence. Building on a two-year mixed-methods study conducted across three Uzbek universities, the research measures baseline skills with a DigComp-aligned diagnostic, pilots a scaffolded digital-skills curriculum, and analyses the impact of targeted pedagogical interventions on learning outcomes and learner self-efficacy. Findings demonstrate statistically significant gains in all five DigComp areas, sustained improvement in students' ability to transfer digital problem-solving skills to disciplinary contexts, and a marked increase in lecturers' readiness to embed digital tools in their teaching practices. The discussion positions these results within current international scholarship and outlines policy recommendations for curricular design, staff development, and technology governance.

Keywords: Digital competence; digital literacy; higher education; didactic design; programme development; DigComp; Uzbekistan.

Introduction: Digital competence has progressed from a peripheral graduate attribute to an essential component of twenty-first-century citizenship, employability, and lifelong learning. International frameworks such as DigComp and DigCompEdu organise this competence into dimensions of information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem-solving. Global benchmarking studies reveal that while students' informal use of digital applications is highly developed, their capacity to exploit technology for academic thinking, knowledge production, and ethical participation remains variable. Recent systematic reviews confirm that valid assessment instruments exist, yet implementation is inconsistent across regions and disciplines.

Within Uzbekistan's rapidly modernising higher-education landscape, ministries mandate the integration of digital-skills modules, but universities often lack coherent programme architectures, faculty development strategies, and sustainable technological ecosystems to realise these ambitions. Consequently, digital-competence attainment is frequently treated as an extracurricular add-on rather than embedded as a transversal learning outcome. The gap between national policy aspirations and classroom-level realities is further widened by resource constraints, heterogeneous lecturer expertise, and misalignment between software provision and pedagogical objectives.

This study addresses the central question: How can the simultaneous refinement of programmatic structures and didactic support mechanisms produce measurable improvements in students' digital competence? Drawing on prior scholarship that foregrounds the interdependence of institutional policy, curricular coherence, and instructional practice, the research adopts an integrated intervention model. By combining systematic curricular design, faculty training, and reflective classroom experimentation, the project seeks to move beyond isolated initiatives towards a sustainable ecosystem in which digital competence is

consistently nurtured across programmes and disciplines.

The investigation employed a convergent mixed-methods design in three public universities representing pedagogical, technological, and humanities orientations. Ethical approval was secured from each institution's research committee, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

First, baseline digital-competence levels were measured using a locally adapted instrument calibrated against DigComp 2.2 proficiency descriptors. The diagnostic captured quantitative data from 642 first-year undergraduates and provided a multi-dimensional competence profile. Concurrently, qualitative focus-group interviews with 48 lecturers explored perceptions of curricular gaps, infrastructural limitations, and professional-development needs.

Building on these diagnostics, an integrated programme was designed: (a) a scaffolded sequence of compulsory digital-skills modules embedded across the first three semesters, mapped to discipline-specific learning outcomes; (b) an e-portfolio system enabling students to document progressive mastery and reflect on transfer to subject knowledge; (c) a faculty-development track including workshops on digitally enhanced pedagogy, peer micro-teaching, and one-to-one coaching; and (d) governance guidelines aligning software procurement, data-protection policies, and student-support services.

Implementation unfolded over four academic semesters (2023-2025). Quantitative progress was monitored through interim diagnostics at the end of semesters two and four. Qualitative classroom observations, lecturer reflection journals, and student narrative responses complemented the statistical data. An explanatory sequential analysis triangulated findings: descriptive and inferential statistics (paired-sample t-tests and ANCOVA) assessed learning gains, while thematic coding illuminated the pedagogical processes underpinning change. Reliability was ensured through inter-coder agreement procedures, and validity was strengthened by participant member-checking of emergent interpretations.

At baseline, mean competence scores placed the majority of students at DigComp "Foundation" level, with pronounced weaknesses in digital content creation and data literacy. After two semesters, statistically significant improvement ($p < 0.01$) was recorded in all dimensions, with the largest effect size in information and data literacy (Cohen's d = 0.87) and the smallest in safety (Cohen's d = 0.46). By semester four, 63 % of participants had reached "Intermediate" level or higher, and 18 % demonstrated "Advanced" proficiency.

Regression analysis indicated that participation in the faculty-supported curricular strand was the strongest predictor of competence gain, outweighing prior self-reported ICT experience and socio-economic background. Qualitative entries corroborated quantitative trends: students described moving from "tool-centred" to "inquiry-centred" technology use, integrating data-analysis plugins into subject projects and collaboratively authoring multimedia artefacts that met disciplinary conventions.

Lecturers reported increased confidence and fluency in selecting and sequencing digital resources. Observation notes emphasised a shift from demonstration-oriented sessions to inquiry-based learning scenarios in which students iteratively refined outputs through peer feedback. Engagement metrics within the virtual learning environment more than doubled, and assignment analytics showed higher-order cognitive operations, such as data synthesis and critical evaluation, being enacted with digital tools.

Institutionally, convergence between curricular design and technology governance was reflected in revised procurement policies that prioritised open-source platforms supporting interoperability and multilingual access. The e-portfolio solution, initially introduced as a pilot, was adopted as a university-wide assessment tool, allowing departments to benchmark transversal skills alongside subject achievements.

The findings illuminate the transformative potential of coordinating programmatic and didactic measures. Where previous studies often address either curriculum design or teacher capacity in isolation, this research confirms that systemic improvement arises when both layers evolve in tandem. The pronounced gains in information and data literacy align with global trends recognising these skills as the linchpin of academic digital competence. However, the comparatively modest growth in safety underscores persistent challenges around digital ethics, data protection, and cybersecurity awareness—areas that require sustained instructional emphasis and policy reinforcement.

Moreover, the positive correlation between lecturer participation in coaching cycles and student competence trajectories substantiates assertions that faculty digital literacy serves as a critical mediating variable. The shift towards inquiry-centred pedagogy not only elevated student engagement but also fostered authentic disciplinary practices, bridging the oft-cited gap between generic digital-skills training and domain-specific application.

From a policy standpoint, the study reinforces the

necessity of aligning software procurement with curricular intentions. The transition to open-source, interoperable platforms reduced barriers to access and facilitated localised adaptation of learning materials. Furthermore, embedding e-portfolios as longitudinal assessment instruments provided a transparent evidence base for institutional quality assurance and accreditation processes.

Limitations include the geographically bounded sample and the reliance on self-reported data for certain constructs, which may introduce social-desirability bias. Future research should expand the sample to private universities and vocational colleges, incorporate longitudinal tracking of graduate outcomes, and experiment with adaptive learning analytics to personalise competence development pathways.

This study demonstrates that systematically aligning programme architecture, instructional design and technology governance yields substantive, durable gains in students' digital competence. By embedding a vertically sequenced digital-skills curriculum, equipping lecturers with iterative professional-development cycles and integrating an institution-wide e-portfolio system, the participating universities moved beyond episodic, tool-centred initiatives toward an ecosystem in which digital literacy is cultivated as a core academic practice. Quantitative diagnostics confirmed statistically robust improvements across all five DigComp domains, while qualitative evidence revealed a parallel cultural shift: students increasingly employed technology not merely to retrieve information but to interrogate data, co-construct knowledge and present discipline-specific arguments with greater epistemic rigour.

These findings carry three broad implications. First, institutional leaders intent on closing the policy-practice gap must treat digital-competence development as a longitudinal curriculum-design challenge rather than an adjunct training obligation. Second, faculty digital literacy is a decisive mediating variable; investment in sustained coaching and peer-supported experimentation catalyses pedagogical change more effectively than one-off workshops. Third, technology procurement and governance policies should be steered by pedagogical purpose and openness, ensuring that platforms remain interoperable, accessible and amenable to local language adaptation.

The research contributes to the global discourse by foregrounding the specificities of a rapidly digitalising higher-education system in Central Asia, thereby extending the predominantly Euro-centric evidence base. Nonetheless, two limitations warrant caution: reliance on self-reported measures in parts of the qualitative dataset may inflate perceptions of competence, and the absence of a longitudinal graduate-tracking component constrains insight into labour-market transferability. Addressing these gaps constitutes a fertile avenue for future inquiry, as does the exploration of adaptive analytics and artificial-intelligence tutors capable of individualising digital-skills scaffolding.

In sum, cultivating graduates who can navigate, critique and create within an increasingly complex digital landscape demands an orchestrated institutional response. When curricular sequencing, staff-development ecosystems and technology governance are synchronised, digital competence evolves from a peripheral add-on to an intrinsic dimension of disciplinary expertise and civic agency—fulfilling both national modernisation agendas and the broader mandate of higher education to empower lifelong, ethical learners in the digital age.

REFERENCES

Carretero, S.; Vuorikari, R.; Punie, Y. DigComp 2.1: The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2017. 68 p.

Kurniawan, H.; Zhang, Y. Digital competence in higher education: Students' perception and personal factors // Education and Information Technologies. 2025. Vol. 30, No. 2. P. 1141–1165.

Oksman, T. A call for digital literacy across the curriculum // Inside Higher Ed. 2024. 8 Oct. URL: https://www.insidehighered.com (accessed: 10.06.2025).

Ponte, E.; Ruiz, L.; Martínez-Pons, M. Assessment of digital competencies in higher-education students // Frontiers in Education. 2024. Vol. 9. Article 1497376.

de Oliveira, S.; Reis, V. Generative AI in higher education: Teachers' and students' perceptions // Education Sciences. 2025. Vol. 15, No. 4. Article 396.

Hernández, M.; Domínguez, M. A systematic review of digital competence evaluation in higher education // Education. 2024. Vol. 14, No. 11. Article 1181.

Joint IEA-ICILS. International Computer and Information Literacy Study 2023: International Report. Amsterdam: IEA, 2024. 212 p.

Ignition Consortium. Digital Literacy Agenda and Framework for Higher Education. Groningen: Hanze University Press, 2024. 54 p.

Jaramillo, A.; Ferreira, J. Digital transformation and literacy in higher education in the context of complexity

// Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. 2023. Vol. 10, Article 1875.

González, F.; Soto, A. The digital competence of academics in higher education: Challenges and recommendations // International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education. 2023. Vol. 20, Article 11.