

Developing Cognitive Universal Learning Activities in Russian Language Lessons: A Methodological Approach Based on The Triadic Learning Framework

Jo'razoda Mokhinakhon Ibodulloxon qizi

PhD Student, Namangan State University, Uzbekistan

Received: 09 March 2025; **Accepted:** 05 April 2025; **Published:** 08 May 2025

Abstract: This article explores the development of cognitive universal learning activities (CULAs) in Russian language lessons for 5th-grade students in Uzbekistan through the application of the Triadic Learning Framework (TLF). The study combines theoretical analysis, methodological design, and experimental validation across multilingual classrooms. Results demonstrate the effectiveness of TLF in enhancing students' analytical, reflective, and metacognitive skills. The research contributes a localized, culturally responsive pedagogical model aligned with Uzbekistan's educational reform agenda and provides practical recommendations for implementing CULA-oriented instruction in diverse school environments.

Keywords: Cognitive universal learning activities, Triadic Learning Framework, metacognition, Russian language teaching, 5th grade, multicultural education, data-driven learning, digital storytelling, backward design, critical thinking, Uzbekistan education reform, multilingual classroom.

Introduction: In the context of contemporary educational reform, the formation of cognitive universal learning activities (CULAs) represents a pivotal objective in enhancing both subject mastery and meta-cognitive competence among students. The ongoing global shift toward competency-based education, especially in multilingual and multicultural contexts such as Uzbekistan, necessitates the development of instructional models that support students' ability to independently acquire, process, and apply knowledge. This study addresses the methodological foundations and pedagogical mechanisms essential for fostering CULAs in the framework of Russian language instruction in the 5th grade, a critical period for the development of analytical, reflective, and self-regulatory skills.

In Uzbekistan, the modernization of the education system is tightly linked with strategic national goals. Presidential decrees such as No. УП-5712 (April 29, 2019) aligned with global standards (e.g., PISA, TIMSS). The implementation of the Triadic Learning Framework (TLF) — a model integrating data-driven learning, digital storytelling, and reflective strategies — offers a compelling solution for the structured formation of

CULAs through language-based cognitive activities. These include analysis, synthesis, classification, comparison, and prediction.

Despite extensive theoretical groundwork laid by scholars such as A.G. Asmolov, L.S. Vygotsky, and V.V. Davydov, practical tools for developing cognitive learning skills in multilingual classrooms remain underdeveloped. Moreover, there is a lack of empirical data on how contextually adapted models like TLF perform in ethnically diverse educational settings. This study seeks to bridge this gap by offering a methodological model tailored to the Uzbek context.

Our research aims to design and validate a methodologically grounded approach for developing CULAs, considering the cultural and linguistic diversity of students. The goal is to align instruction in Russian language classes with pedagogical strategies that promote self-directed cognitive activity, metacognitive awareness, and functional literacy.

The originality of this work lies in reconceptualizing CULAs not as abstract constructs but as operational actions formed within a cultural-linguistic context. The study is designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the TLF-based methodology through a pedagogical

experiment across several Uzbek regions, offering a replicable model for international multilingual education systems.

METHODS

This research employed a mixed-method design encompassing theoretical modeling, pedagogical diagnostics, and experimental validation. The core methodology is built on the Triadic Learning Framework (TLF), adapted to foster CULAs in Russian language instruction for Grade 5 students. Participants included 484 students across Namangan, Andijan, and Fergana regions of Uzbekistan. Experimental and control groups were assessed using standardized diagnostic tools at pre- and post-intervention stages. Data were analyzed using Student's t-test, Pearson's chi-squared test, and correlation-regression analysis. Classroom observations and reflective interviews complemented quantitative findings.

Literature Review

The development of cognitive universal learning activities (CULAs) has emerged as a focal point in 21st-century pedagogy. Rooted in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory and the activity-based approach of Leontiev, CULAs are increasingly conceptualized as meta-subject competencies that transcend disciplinary boundaries and support lifelong learning. In Uzbekistan, where linguistic and cultural diversity is a hallmark of the educational landscape, the formation of such competencies requires methodological frameworks that integrate language learning with cognitive development, particularly in primary education.

The conceptual origins of CULAs can be traced back to the works of Vygotsky, who emphasized the significance of the "zone of proximal development" and the mediating role of language in cognitive growth. Galperin's theory of the phased formation of mental actions further operationalized this idea into pedagogical strategies, laying the foundation for structured cognitive instruction. More recently, Asmolov has framed universal learning activities as "tools of personal development" that promote autonomy and cognitive flexibility. In this regard, CULAs include such operations as analysis, synthesis, abstraction, classification, planning, and reflection, which are instrumental in cultivating critical thinking and metacognitive awareness.

In the Russian educational context, the Federal State Educational Standard (FGOS) formally introduced UUD (Universal Learning Actions), which include cognitive, personal, regulatory, and communicative dimensions. Scholars such as Volodarskaya, Molchanov and Salmina, and Smirnova have underscored the importance of cognitive UUDs in developing students'

intellectual autonomy. In multilingual contexts like Uzbekistan, however, few studies have explored the localized application of such frameworks. Our study seeks to fill this lacuna by integrating these principles within a model designed specifically for 5th-grade Russian language instruction.

Internationally, several theoretical frameworks have contributed to understanding and structuring cognitive learning. Howard Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences highlighted the need for differentiated instruction to address students' diverse cognitive profiles. Building on this, David Perkins and Lauren Resnick advocated for the development of thinking skills curricula that explicitly teach students how to learn. Similarly, Guy Claxton's Building Learning Power and John Hattie's Visible Learning provided empirical foundations for constructing learning environments that encourage deep cognitive engagement and metacognition.

Digital technologies have also become pivotal in fostering cognitive growth. As research by Linn and Eylon suggests, digital environments that incorporate visualization and inquiry-based learning promote deeper conceptual understanding. In the context of language education, scholars such as Warschauer and Kern have demonstrated how digital storytelling and multimodal composition can enhance linguistic competence while stimulating higher-order thinking.

The Triadic Learning Framework (TLF) employed in our research builds on these international models. It synthesizes data-driven learning (DDL), digital storytelling (DS), and backward design (BD), providing a multidimensional instructional approach. DDL, based on corpus linguistics, encourages learners to derive grammatical and semantic patterns through inductive reasoning. DS, as noted by Robin, promotes narrative competence and integrative thinking through multimodal expression. BD, as proposed by Wiggins and McTighe, ensures that learning activities are purposefully aligned with clearly defined cognitive outcomes. The integration of these methods within TLF enables a recursive, culturally responsive model of cognitive development.

Research from Central Asia, particularly in Tajikistan and Kazakhstan, offers additional insights. Norbaev, for example, focused on activating students' cognitive engagement through structured independent learning. His model emphasized the importance of motivational, reflective, and procedural components, which aligns with our study's emphasis on self-directed cognitive activity. Similarly, scholars in Uzbekistan, including Sattarov and Nishonova, have contributed to the field by exploring the integration of emotional and cognitive

strategies in the primary classroom, underscoring the need for holistic approaches that address both affective and intellectual dimensions of learning.

Empirical studies by Karaulov and Khutorskoy further support the necessity of implementing integrative educational technologies that develop analytical and interpretive skills through textual interaction. Their works underscore that reading and writing tasks in language classes provide fertile ground for cultivating CULAs. Text analysis, summarization, and argumentation tasks serve not only linguistic objectives but also promote inference-making, problem-solving, and self-regulation.

In the Uzbek educational system, recent reforms emphasize the development of 21st-century skills, including critical thinking, collaboration, and digital competence. Decrees such as the 2017 Presidential Strategy for Action and the 2023 Education Development Concept explicitly advocate for pedagogical modernization and curriculum innovation. These policies call for the integration of meta-subject competencies into classroom practice, particularly in language instruction. This institutional support provides fertile ground for implementing the TLF-based methodology.

Despite these advances, challenges remain. Many classrooms continue to employ traditional teacher-centered methods, limiting opportunities for cognitive autonomy. Furthermore, assessment practices often focus on rote memorization rather than evaluative or inferential thinking. As Smirnova (208) notes, aligning evaluation methods with cognitive instructional goals is a prerequisite for effective CULA formation. Moreover, the cultural-linguistic diversity of Uzbek classrooms presents additional complexity. As Robiddinova and Ataeva observe, multilingual learners require differentiated tasks that respect their linguistic backgrounds while promoting unified cognitive development.

In sum, while the theoretical and empirical foundations for fostering cognitive learning in language education are well-established globally, there remains a critical need for contextualized, empirically validated models suited to the Uzbek educational context. Our research responds to this need by offering a localized methodological model grounded in international theory and adapted to national priorities. The proposed TLF-based model enables the structured development of cognitive skills through culturally embedded, language-based tasks, contributing to both educational theory and classroom practice.

DISCUSSION

The findings of our study, grounded in both theoretical

modeling and experimental evidence, reinforce the notion that the development of cognitive universal learning activities (CULAs) must be contextually embedded, age-appropriate, and methodologically diverse. The Triadic Learning Framework (TLF), applied in Russian language lessons in the 5th grade of Uzbek schools, has demonstrated robust potential to foster essential cognitive actions such as analysis, synthesis, inference, classification, and reflection in linguistically and culturally diverse classrooms.

A key insight from the study is that language instruction, particularly in Russian as a second or heritage language in Uzbekistan, provides an optimal platform for cultivating higher-order thinking skills. Language is not merely a means of communication but the principal tool of thought and a central component of cognitive development. Our experimental observations confirmed that when students are engaged in structured language tasks—such as interpreting literary texts, comparing grammatical constructions, or composing personal narratives—they engage in mental operations that mirror core cognitive actions.

The effectiveness of the TLF model lies in its tripartite structure, which integrates data-driven learning (DDL), digital storytelling (DS), and backward design (BD). DDL enables students to identify patterns of usage in authentic linguistic data, a process that fosters inductive reasoning and abstraction (Johns, 1991). For instance, learners examining concordance lines or lexical clusters in real texts begin to form hypotheses about language structures, thereby engaging in active meaning-making. The work of Boulton and Cobb supports this view, arguing that “data-driven learning facilitates learner autonomy and cognitive engagement by inviting discovery, not mere reception”

Digital storytelling (DS) in our methodology served a dual function. First, it engaged students emotionally, which research has shown to be essential for deeper cognitive processing. Second, it allowed for multimodal expression, prompting students to organize, analyze, and present information coherently. According to Robin, “the process of constructing a digital story requires the synthesis of multiple cognitive skills—organization, critical thinking, emotional intelligence, and communication” —each of which is aligned with the goals of CULA formation.

Backward design (BD), as articulated by Wiggins and McTighe, provided the framework for ensuring that each instructional activity had a clear cognitive target. Learning goals were defined in advance (e.g., “students will be able to analyze character motives across texts”), and instruction was designed backward from these

goals. This design prevented the fragmentation of cognitive tasks and ensured continuity between skill development and subject content.

Another major contribution of our study lies in the contextual adaptation of these strategies to Uzbekistan's educational environment. While Western models like TLF are often conceived in monolingual, resource-rich settings, our research demonstrates their adaptability to multilingual, resource-limited contexts when appropriate scaffolding is applied. We contextualized tasks by embedding local cultural themes, selecting relevant vocabulary, and allowing students to draw on their heritage languages as cognitive scaffolds. As Cummins emphasized, "acknowledging and utilizing learners' linguistic repertoires enhances cognitive development and fosters identity affirmation".

Furthermore, the study showed that cognitive learning is most effective when embedded in dialogic interactions. In lessons organized around the TLF model, peer-to-peer collaboration—through pair discussions, group analysis tasks, and collective reflection—resulted in higher cognitive engagement. Additionally, the use of polylogues in multiethnic classrooms allowed students from different linguistic backgrounds to co-construct meaning, thereby enhancing both cognitive and social learning outcomes.

A notable challenge we encountered was the initial reluctance of some students to engage in metacognitive activities, particularly reflection and self-assessment. This resistance is consistent with findings by Zimmerman, who notes that "metacognition must be taught explicitly and scaffolded gradually". To address this, we incorporated reflective journals and self-rating checklists, which gradually familiarized students with introspective learning habits. Over time, these tools became integral to students' learning routines, suggesting that metacognition can be internalized through consistent modeling and reinforcement.

Statistical analysis of experimental results corroborated the qualitative findings. Using pre- and post-test measurements, we observed a statistically significant increase in the cognitive performance of students in experimental groups ($p < 0.01$), particularly in tasks involving analytical reasoning and argument construction. The control groups, which followed traditional explanatory instruction, showed marginal improvements mostly limited to rote-based knowledge retention. These findings support the conclusions of Hattie, who found that "visible learning," or instruction that makes thinking processes explicit, has the largest effect size on student achievement.

Beyond the cognitive gains, our model also influenced students' motivation and attitudes toward learning. Surveys conducted post-intervention revealed increased interest in language lessons, a greater sense of ownership over the learning process, and heightened peer collaboration. This aligns with Dweck's theory of growth mindset, which posits that "students who believe their abilities can develop tend to embrace challenges and persist in the face of setbacks".

Importantly, our model demonstrated that forming CULAs is not a one-off instructional goal but a systemic transformation of classroom culture. Teachers had to shift from being transmitters of knowledge to facilitators of inquiry, a transition that required targeted professional development. Workshops and reflective seminars helped teachers acquire strategies for guiding cognitive dialogue, managing student autonomy, and assessing metacognitive behaviors. As Fullan (2007) argues, "sustainable change is built on the internalization of new pedagogical norms by teachers"

In implementing the TLF model, we also faced structural constraints—such as limited access to digital resources and large class sizes. To mitigate these, we developed low-tech versions of TLF tasks and encouraged peer mentoring. Students worked collaboratively on printed concordance sheets, created paper-based storyboards, and participated in group planning exercises. These adaptations showed that technological sophistication is less critical than the cognitive architecture of the tasks themselves.

The findings also shed light on the implications for policy and curriculum design. In the Uzbek context, where the state curriculum emphasizes universal competencies, our model offers a practical path for integrating these competencies into classroom instruction. Curriculum developers could embed TLF-aligned learning outcomes, provide modular task templates, and include assessment rubrics that evaluate not only knowledge but cognitive strategies. This would operationalize the goals stated in national strategies such as the Concept for Education Development until 2030.

CONCLUSION

The findings presented in this study underscore the crucial role of structured cognitive methodologies in shaping universal learning activities among 5th-grade students within Russian language instruction in multilingual educational settings. Drawing on the Triadic Learning Framework (TLF), our research offers a viable and empirically validated pedagogical model for the development of cognitive universal learning activities (CULAs) that meet the demands of

contemporary competency-based education in Uzbekistan.

A significant conclusion of this study is the recognition that cognitive development in primary education must be both intentional and context-sensitive. The TLF model, with its synthesis of data-driven learning, digital storytelling, and backward design, enables a holistic educational process that nurtures not only linguistic proficiency but also independent thinking, analytical reasoning, reflection, and metacognitive regulation. These dimensions are not incidental but foundational for student success in the information-rich, rapidly evolving landscape of the 21st century.

The experimental data confirm that students who engaged in TLF-based instruction showed significant improvements in tasks requiring high-order cognitive skills. These students demonstrated increased autonomy, an enhanced ability to articulate their thought processes, and improved performance in interpretive, analytical, and synthesizing tasks. The study also revealed that cognitive gains are amplified when instruction is embedded within culturally relevant content and when students are encouraged to draw on their multilingual repertoires as cognitive assets.

Moreover, this research provides concrete evidence that even in resource-limited contexts, robust cognitive development is achievable through thoughtful adaptation and scaffolding. Paper-based materials, collaborative problem-solving, and teacher facilitation proved sufficient to maintain the integrity of the TLF approach. This has direct implications for scalability and implementation across diverse school systems within Uzbekistan and beyond.

From a theoretical perspective, the study extends the understanding of CULAs by situating them not only as abstract competencies but as culturally and linguistically mediated activities. The integration of Vygotskian sociocultural theory, activity theory, and modern design thinking models provides a strong philosophical foundation for the proposed methodology. By treating cognitive actions as context-embedded and operational rather than decontextualized skills, the model reflects a post-Vygotskian view of learning as inherently situated and identity-forming.

At the policy level, the implications of this work are significant. National educational reform documents in Uzbekistan emphasize the development of students' competencies, critical thinking, and digital literacy. This study provides a concrete methodological blueprint for actualizing those aims in the classroom, specifically within the domain of Russian language teaching at the

primary level. The alignment of the TLF-based approach with national priorities enhances its relevance and applicability.

In summary, this research contributes to the theoretical discourse on cognitive learning, offers practical tools for classroom application, and supports ongoing educational reform efforts in Uzbekistan. Future research may focus on longitudinal studies to assess the sustained impact of CULAs on academic performance and social-emotional development, as well as the adaptation of the TLF model to other subjects and grade levels. Overall, the model presented here holds significant promise as a scalable, inclusive, and culturally attuned framework for developing learners who are both cognitively competent and socially empowered.

REFERENCES

- Выготский Л.С. Мышление и речь. — М.: Педагогика, 1982. — 287 с.
- Галперин П.Я. Введение в психологию. — М.: Изд-во МГУ, 1976. — 352 с.
- Асмолов А.Г. Психология личности. — М.: Смысл, 2010. — 431 с.
- Володарская И.А. Развитие универсальных учебных действий у школьников. — М.: Просвещение, 2015. — 224 с.
- Смирнова Ж.Э. Развитие когнитивных процессов в образовательной среде. — Казань: КФУ, 2020. — 198 с.
- Хуторской А. В. Методика проектного обучения. — М.: ИНТО, 2005.
- Караулов В. В. Русский язык и языковая личность. — М.: Наука, 1987
- Gardner H. *Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences*. — New York: Basic Books, 1983. — 440 p.
- Claxton G. *Building Learning Power: Helping Young People Become Better Learners*. — Bristol: TLO, 2010. — 176 p.
- Hattie J. *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. — London: Routledge, 2009. — 392 p.
- Johns T. Should you be persuaded: Two samples of data-driven learning materials. In: *ELR Journal*, 1991, Vol. 4, pp. 1–16.
- Robin B. *Digital Storytelling: A Powerful Technology Tool for the 21st Century Classroom // Theory into Practice*. — 2006. — Vol. 47, № 3. — P. 220–228.
- Wiggins G., McTighe J. *Understanding by Design*. — Alexandria, VA: ASCD, 2005. — 370 p.
- Cummins J. *Negotiating Identities: Education for*

Empowerment in a Diverse Society. — Los Angeles: CABE, 2001. — 326 p.

Zimmerman B.J. Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview // Theory into Practice. — 2002. — Vol. 41, № 2. — P. 64–70.

Boulton A., Cobb T. Corpus Use in Language Learning: A Meta-Analysis // Language Learning. — 2017. — Vol. 67(2). — P. 348–393.

Mayer R.E. Multimedia Learning. — New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009. — 304 p.

Dweck C.S. Mindset: The New Psychology of Success. — New York: Random House, 2006. — 288 p.

Fullan M. The New Meaning of Educational Change. — New York: Teachers College Press, 2007. — 336 p.

Низамов Р.А. Современные педагогические технологии. — Казань: КазГПУ, 2016. — 221 с.

Робиддинова Д. Когнитивно-грамматический подход к обучению грамматике русского языка. — Ташкент: Фан, 2020. — 174 с.

Норбаев Т.С. Активизация познавательной деятельности студентов вуза в процессе организации самостоятельной работы: дис. ... канд. пед. наук. — Душанбе, 2023. — 267 с.

V.K.Sattarov Проблемы современного образования и универсальные учебные действия. // “Boshlang’ich sinf o’quvchilarida universal ta’lim faoliyatlarini shakllantirish rivojlantirish va takomillashtirish nazariyasi va amaliyoti” // Onlayn ilmiy amaliy konferensiya 2023 yil 31 mart b. 5-9

M.A. Nishonova Elektron axborot ta’lim resurslarini loyihalashda universal ta’lim faoliyatini rivojlantirishning pedagogik mazmuni // Inter education & global study. 2024. №8 URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/elektron-axborot-ta-lim-resurslarini-loyihalashda-universal-ta-lim-faoliyatini-rivojlantirishning-pedagogik-mazmuni>