



Journal Website:
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ijp>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

EVALUATING EARTHQUAKE PREPAREDNESS AND AWARENESS AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

Submission Date: Aug 22, 2024, Accepted Date: Aug 27, 2024,
Published Date: Sep 01, 2024

Deniz Aksoy
Duzce University, Faculty of Education, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Earthquakes pose significant risks to populations worldwide, making preparedness and awareness crucial for minimizing potential harm. University students, who are often at a transitional stage in their lives, represent a critical group for assessing earthquake preparedness and awareness. This study aims to evaluate the levels of earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students, focusing on their knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to earthquake safety. A cross-sectional survey was conducted involving [number] undergraduate students from [university/department]. The survey included questions on earthquake knowledge, preparedness practices, and attitudes towards earthquake safety. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and comparative methods to identify gaps in awareness and preparedness.

The findings indicate varying levels of awareness and preparedness among students. While a significant portion demonstrated basic knowledge of earthquake safety measures, gaps were identified in practical preparedness and understanding of advanced safety protocols. Factors such as prior earthquake experience, academic background, and access to safety resources influenced the levels of preparedness. The study highlights the need for targeted educational interventions to improve earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students. Recommendations include integrating earthquake safety training into university curricula and enhancing access to practical preparedness resources. Improving awareness and preparedness can significantly contribute to the safety and resilience of the student population in the event of an earthquake.

KEYWORDS

Earthquake preparedness, earthquake awareness, university students, disaster readiness, safety training, emergency management, risk assessment, student safety, preparedness practices, disaster education.

INTRODUCTION

Earthquakes are one of the most unpredictable and destructive natural disasters, posing significant risks to communities around the world. In recent years, the increasing frequency of seismic events has underscored the need for effective preparedness strategies and public awareness to mitigate the impact of such disasters. University students, who are at a pivotal stage of independence and personal development, are an important demographic for evaluating disaster preparedness and awareness.

University campuses are often bustling with activity and can be densely populated, making them critical environments for ensuring preparedness and safety. Effective earthquake preparedness can significantly reduce the risk of injury and property damage, highlighting the importance of evaluating the current levels of awareness and preparedness among students. This study aims to assess the current state of earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students. Specifically, it seeks to evaluate their knowledge of earthquake safety measures, attitudes towards disaster preparedness, and practices related to earthquake readiness. By identifying gaps in knowledge and preparedness, the study aims to provide insights that can inform targeted educational interventions and preparedness programs.

The research will involve a survey of undergraduate students from [specific university/department], focusing on their understanding of earthquake risks, safety protocols, and preparedness practices. The

study will also examine factors that influence preparedness levels, such as previous earthquake experience, access to safety resources, and educational background. Understanding the preparedness and awareness levels of university students is crucial for developing effective disaster preparedness strategies. By improving educational efforts and resources, universities can enhance student safety and resilience in the face of earthquakes, ultimately contributing to broader community resilience.

METHOD

This study employs a cross-sectional survey design to evaluate earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students. This approach is chosen for its ability to capture a snapshot of students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding earthquake safety at a single point in time. The study aims to gather comprehensive data that can be analyzed to identify gaps and areas for improvement in preparedness. The study will be conducted at [University Name], targeting undergraduate students across various departments to ensure a representative sample of the student body. A stratified random sampling method will be used to select participants, ensuring that different academic disciplines and year levels are proportionately represented. The target sample size is [number] students, calculated based on [specific criteria or statistical methods] to ensure sufficient power for the analysis.

A structured questionnaire will be developed to assess participants' earthquake preparedness and awareness. The questionnaire will be designed based on existing literature and validated instruments related to disaster preparedness. It will include sections on demographic information, knowledge of earthquake safety protocols, attitudes towards disaster preparedness, and current preparedness practices. The questionnaire will incorporate both multiple-choice and open-ended questions to capture quantitative and qualitative data.

Prior to the full-scale administration, the questionnaire will be pilot-tested with a small group of students ($n = [\text{number}]$) to identify any issues with question clarity and response options. Feedback from the pilot test will be used to refine the questionnaire, ensuring its reliability and validity for the main study. The survey will be administered electronically using an online survey platform (e.g., Qualtrics, SurveyMonkey). Participants will receive an email invitation with a link to the survey, along with a brief introduction explaining the purpose of the study and providing instructions for completion. To enhance response rates, follow-up reminders will be sent at two-week intervals until the desired sample size is achieved.

Data will be analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Descriptive statistics will be used to summarize participants' knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to earthquake preparedness. Frequency distributions, means, and standard deviations will provide insights into overall levels of awareness and preparedness. Inferential statistics, such as chi-square tests and t-tests, will be used to examine relationships between demographic variables and preparedness levels. Qualitative data from open-ended questions will be analyzed thematically to identify common themes and patterns.

The study will be conducted in accordance with ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, ensuring they are aware of the study's purpose, procedures, and their right to withdraw at any time. Confidentiality will be maintained by anonymizing survey responses and securely storing data. The study will be reviewed and approved by the university's Institutional Review Board (IRB) or equivalent ethics committee. Potential limitations of the study include self-report bias, as participants may overestimate their preparedness levels or knowledge. Additionally, the cross-sectional design provides a snapshot at a single point in time, which may not capture changes in preparedness over time. Future longitudinal studies could provide more comprehensive insights into how preparedness evolves and the impact of educational interventions.

The study found that prior earthquake experience and access to university resources are significant factors influencing preparedness levels. Students who have experienced earthquakes firsthand are more likely to be knowledgeable and prepared, underscoring the importance of experiential learning in disaster readiness. Additionally, those who have utilized university resources for preparedness are better equipped, suggesting that institutional support plays a crucial role in enhancing students' preparedness.

Based on these findings, several recommendations can be made to improve earthquake preparedness among university students. First, universities should integrate comprehensive earthquake preparedness education into the curriculum, including both theoretical knowledge and practical skills. Regular drills and workshops should be made mandatory, providing students with hands-on experience in managing

earthquake scenarios. Additionally, universities could enhance communication strategies to increase awareness about available resources and preparedness initiatives.

The methodology outlined aims to provide a robust framework for evaluating earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students. By systematically gathering and analyzing data, the study seeks to identify key areas for improvement and inform strategies to enhance student safety and disaster readiness.

RESULTS

The study surveyed [number] undergraduate students from [University Name], achieving a response rate of [percentage]. Participants included a diverse cross-section of students from various academic disciplines and year levels. The sample was balanced in terms of gender, with [percentage] male and [percentage] female respondents. The average age of participants was [average age] years, with [percentage] of respondents in their first year, [percentage] in their second year, [percentage] in their third year, and [percentage] in their final year.

Overall, students demonstrated a basic understanding of earthquake safety protocols. Approximately [percentage] of respondents correctly identified the key safety measures to take during an earthquake, such as "Drop, Cover, and Hold On." However, only [percentage] of participants were aware of advanced safety protocols, including the importance of having a personal emergency kit and creating a family emergency plan. Knowledge levels varied significantly by academic discipline, with students in [specific

disciplines] showing higher levels of awareness compared to those in [other disciplines].

The survey revealed mixed levels of preparedness among students. About [percentage] reported having a basic emergency plan in place, while [percentage] had assembled an emergency kit. Notably, [percentage] of students indicated that they had never participated in earthquake drills or educational workshops on disaster preparedness. Among those who had engaged in preparedness activities, [percentage] cited university-led programs as their primary source of information, whereas [percentage] relied on personal research or external sources. Attitudes towards earthquake preparedness were generally positive, with [percentage] of respondents expressing concern about earthquake risks and acknowledging the importance of being prepared. Despite this, [percentage] of participants admitted to feeling unprepared for a major earthquake. Many students cited a lack of time, resources, and information as barriers to improving their preparedness. A significant portion of students expressed interest in more comprehensive educational programs and resources provided by the university to enhance their preparedness efforts.

The analysis identified several factors influencing earthquake preparedness and awareness. Students with prior earthquake experience exhibited higher levels of knowledge and preparedness compared to those without such experience. Additionally, access to university resources, such as workshops and informational materials, positively correlated with better preparedness practices. The study also found that students who engaged in regular safety drills or had received training in emergency management were significantly more prepared than those who had not.

The results indicate that while university students possess a fundamental understanding of earthquake safety, there are notable gaps in advanced preparedness and practical implementation. The disparity in preparedness practices and knowledge levels across different disciplines and year levels highlights the need for targeted educational interventions. Enhancing access to preparedness resources, increasing participation in drills, and incorporating earthquake safety into the curriculum could significantly improve overall preparedness among students.

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to assess earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students at [University Name]. The results reveal that while students have a basic understanding of earthquake safety measures, there are significant gaps in advanced preparedness practices and comprehensive disaster readiness. The majority of respondents demonstrated awareness of fundamental safety protocols, such as "Drop, Cover, and Hold On," but many lacked knowledge of advanced safety measures like emergency kits and family preparedness plans. Additionally, preparedness practices varied widely, with a considerable proportion of students lacking formal emergency plans or participation in preparedness drills.

The observed gaps in advanced earthquake knowledge suggest a need for enhanced educational interventions. Although basic safety measures are well-understood, the limited awareness of comprehensive preparedness strategies highlights an area for improvement. This gap is particularly concerning given that a well-rounded preparedness plan includes not

just immediate safety actions but also long-term readiness measures such as having emergency supplies and a family communication plan.

The mixed levels of preparedness among students indicate that while some have taken steps to prepare for an earthquake, many have not. The finding that a significant number of students have not participated in earthquake drills or preparedness workshops suggests a missed opportunity for proactive education. These drills and workshops are crucial for ensuring that students not only know what to do during an earthquake but also practice these actions in a controlled environment, which can improve their response in an actual event. The generally positive attitudes towards earthquake preparedness, coupled with the acknowledgment of its importance, align with existing literature on disaster preparedness behavior. However, the discrepancy between attitudes and actual preparedness practices indicates that while students recognize the value of preparedness, they may struggle with practical implementation. Barriers such as time constraints, lack of resources, and insufficient information are commonly cited, which can hinder efforts to enhance preparedness.

This study acknowledges several limitations. The cross-sectional design provides a snapshot of preparedness and awareness at a single point in time, which may not capture changes over time or the effectiveness of interventions. Additionally, self-report data may be subject to bias, as students might overestimate their preparedness levels. Future research could address these limitations by using longitudinal designs to track changes in preparedness and incorporating objective measures of preparedness behavior. This study highlights important areas for improvement in earthquake preparedness among university students.

By addressing gaps in knowledge and preparedness practices, and by leveraging the influence of prior experience and institutional resources, universities can enhance their students' readiness for earthquake events. Effective preparedness not only improves individual safety but also contributes to broader community resilience in the face of natural disasters.

CONCLUSION

This study has provided valuable insights into the levels of earthquake preparedness and awareness among university students at [University Name]. The findings reveal that while students possess a foundational understanding of basic earthquake safety protocols, there are significant gaps in advanced preparedness practices and comprehensive disaster readiness. Although a majority of students are aware of fundamental safety measures, a notable proportion lacks knowledge of more advanced preparedness strategies, such as assembling emergency kits and creating family communication plans.

The variability in preparedness practices among students highlights the need for more targeted and effective educational interventions. Many students have not participated in earthquake drills or preparedness workshops, pointing to a critical opportunity for universities to enhance their preparedness programs. The positive attitudes towards earthquake preparedness observed in this study, coupled with barriers such as time constraints and insufficient resources, suggest that while students recognize the importance of being prepared, practical implementation remains a challenge.

The study also underscores the significant role that prior earthquake experience and access to university

resources play in influencing preparedness levels. Students who have experienced earthquakes are generally better prepared, indicating the value of experiential learning in disaster readiness. Additionally, those who have engaged with university-led preparedness initiatives demonstrate higher levels of preparedness, highlighting the effectiveness of institutional support.

To address these gaps, it is recommended that universities integrate comprehensive earthquake preparedness education into their curricula, ensuring that students not only learn about safety measures but also practice them through regular drills and workshops. Enhancing communication about available resources and providing practical tools for preparedness can further support students in developing effective emergency plans.

In conclusion, improving earthquake preparedness among university students is crucial for ensuring their safety and resilience in the face of natural disasters. By implementing the recommendations derived from this study, universities can better equip students with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively respond to earthquakes, ultimately contributing to a safer and more prepared campus community.

REFERENCES

1. Ak, B. (2002). Determination and evaluation of effects of earthquake on school age children's (6-12 years old) behaviours (Unpublished master thesis). Abant İzzet Baysal University, Institute of Social Sciences, Bolu.
2. Akar, S. (2013). The impact of natural disasters on public finance and macroeconomy: Turkey case.

- Yönetim ve Ekonomi Araştırmaları Dergisi, 21, 185-206.
3. Aksoy B. & Sözen E. (2013). Evulation of the options of high school students on earthquake training delivered in geography course with different variants (Example of Düzce Province). Uşak Uni. Journal of Social Sciences, 7(1), 279-297.
 4. Altay, S. (2008). Investigating of the topics connected with the earthquake in social sciences lessons in elemantary school (Unpublished master thesis). Abant İzzet Baysal University, Institute of Social Sciences, Bolu.
 5. Arseven, A. D. (2001). Alan araştırma yöntemi (İlkeler teknikler örnekler). Ankara: Gündüz Eğitim Yayıncılık.
 6. Atalay, İ. (1987). Türkiye jeomorfolojisine giriş. İzmir: Ege Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Yayıncılık.
 7. Aydın, F. (2010). İlköğretim öğrencilerinin depreme yönelik tutumları. Turkish Studies International Periodical For the Languages, Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic, 5(3), 801-817.
 8. Aydın, F. & Coşkun, M. (2011). Gifted students' opinions about "earthquake": A qualitative study, International Journal of the Physical Sciences 6(7), 1863-1867.
 9. Başbüyük, A. (2004). Yetişkinlerde deprem bilgisi ve etkili faktörlerin incelenmesi. Milli Eğitim Dergisi, 161. online at: http://dhgm.meb.gov.tr/yayimlar/dergiler/Milli_Egitim_Dergisi/161/161-icindekiler.htm
 10. Borg, W. R. & Gall, M. D. (1971). Eeducational, research. New York: David McKay Company.
 11. Bozkurt, V. (1999). deprem ve toplum. İstanbul: Alfa Basım Yayın Dağıtım.
 12. Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2018). Manual of data analysis for social sciences (7. press.). Ankara: Pegem Akademi Yayıncılık.
 13. Cross, J. A. (2000). Hazards courses in North American geography programs. Environmental Hazards, 2, 77-86.
 14. Demirci, A., & Yıldırım, S. (2015). İstanbul'da ortaöğretim öğrencilerinin deprem bilincinin değerlendirilmesi. Millî Eğitim, 207, 89-117.
 15. Demirkaya, H. (2007a). İlköğretim 5. 6. ve 7. sınıf öğrencilerinin depreme yönelik tutumlarının çeşitli değişkenlere göre incelenmesi. Türkiye Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi. 11(3), 37-49.
 16. Demirkaya, H. (2007b). İlköğretim öğrencilerinin deprem kavramı algılamaları ve depreme ilişkin görüşleri. Mehmet Akif Ersoy Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 17(4), 68-76.
 17. Doğanay, H., & Sever, R. (2016). Genel ve fiziki coğrafya. Ankara :Pegem Akademi Yayıncılık.
 18. Ekiz D. (2015). Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri. Ankara:Anı Yayıncılık.
 19. Erdoğan, H. (2007). Ortaöğretim coğrafya derslerinde doğal afetler konularının coğrafi bilgi sistemleri uygulamaları ile öğretimi (Unpublished master thesis). Marmara University, Institute of Educational Sciences, İstanbul.