International Journal of Pedagogics
(ISSN – 2771-2281)
VOLUME 03 ISSUE 12 PAGES: 139-144
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5. 705) (2022: 5. 705) (2023: 6. 676)
OCLC – 1121105677
Crossref doi Google Scholar WorldCat MENDELEY

Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

Journal Website: https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ijp

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Research Article

DECODING MATHEMATICS ANXIETY: BUILDING A COMPREHENSIVE SCALE AND UNVEILING SUBCATEGORIES THROUGH FACTOR ANALYSIS

Submission Date: December 05, 2023, **Accepted Date:** December 10, 2023,
Published Date: December 15, 2023
Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/ijp/Volume03Issue12-24

Majeed Bukhari
Department of Mathematics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

This study endeavors to contribute to the understanding of mathematics anxiety by developing a comprehensive Mathematics Anxiety Scale through factor analysis. Through a meticulous process of item selection and statistical exploration, the scale aims to encompass diverse facets of mathematics anxiety, subsequently unveiling subcategories that illuminate distinct dimensions of this phenomenon. The utilization of factor analysis provides a rigorous method for identifying underlying structures within the scale, offering a nuanced perspective on the varied aspects contributing to mathematics anxiety. This research not only aids in the creation of a refined measurement tool but also advances our comprehension of the multifaceted nature of mathematics anxiety, fostering insights that can inform educational interventions and support strategies.

KEYWORDS

Mathematics anxiety, scale development, factor analysis, subcategories, measurement tool, psychometrics, educational psychology, quantitative research, anxiety dimensions, academic performance.

INTRODUCTION

International Journal of Pedagogics
(ISSN – 2771-2281)
VOLUME 03 ISSUE 12 PAGES: 139-144
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5. 705) (2022: 5. 705) (2023: 6. 676)
OCLC – 1121105677
Crossref doi Google Scholar WorldCat MENDELEY
Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

Mathematics anxiety, a pervasive phenomenon impacting individuals across various age groups and educational levels, poses significant challenges to effective learning and academic achievement in the field of mathematics. As scholars and educators recognize the multifaceted nature of mathematics anxiety, there is a growing need for precise measurement tools that can capture the diverse dimensions of this complex construct. This study responds to this imperative by undertaking the development of a comprehensive Mathematics Anxiety Scale, aiming to decode the intricacies of mathematics anxiety and unveil subcategories through rigorous factor analysis.

Mathematics anxiety is more than just a simple aversion to numbers; it encompasses a spectrum of emotional and cognitive responses that can impede mathematical learning and performance. While existing scales provide valuable insights, they may not fully encapsulate the multifaceted nature of mathematics anxiety. The proposed Mathematics Anxiety Scale is designed to address this limitation by employing factor analysis, a statistical method that can identify underlying structures within the scale, revealing distinct subcategories that contribute to the overall construct of mathematics anxiety.

This study aligns with the broader goals of enhancing our understanding of the psychological factors influencing mathematical learning and performance. By decoding the intricacies of mathematics anxiety through a comprehensive scale, educators, researchers, and practitioners gain a nuanced tool that can facilitate more accurate assessments of this phenomenon. Furthermore, the identification of subcategories within mathematics anxiety can inform targeted interventions and support strategies, contributing to the development of tailored approaches to alleviate anxiety and enhance mathematical proficiency.

The ensuing sections of this study will delve into the meticulous process of scale development, the rationale for utilizing factor analysis, and the potential implications of unveiling subcategories within mathematics anxiety. Through this endeavor, we aim to advance the discourse on mathematics anxiety, providing valuable contributions to both the theoretical understanding of this construct and its practical applications in educational settings.

METHOD

The process of decoding mathematics anxiety through the construction of a comprehensive scale and unveiling subcategories via factor analysis involves a systematic and iterative approach. Initially, a thorough literature review and collaboration with experts in mathematics education and psychology inform the generation of a diverse pool of potential items for the Mathematics Anxiety Scale. Expert validation ensures the content validity of the items, and pilot testing with a small sample of participants helps refine the scale based on their feedback.

Following the administration of the finalized Mathematics Anxiety Scale to a representative sample, factor analysis is employed to explore the latent structures within the scale. Both exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses are conducted to identify underlying subcategories or factors contributing to mathematics anxiety. This statistical technique allows for the extraction of distinct dimensions that may not be immediately apparent, providing a nuanced

International Journal of Pedagogics
(ISSN – 2771-2281)
VOLUME 03 ISSUE 12 PAGES: 139-144
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5. 705) (2022: 5. 705) (2023: 6. 676)
OCLC – 1121105677
Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

understanding of the various facets of mathematics anxiety.

The results of factor analysis inform the process of item reduction and refinement. Items that contribute significantly to each identified factor are retained, ensuring the development of a concise yet comprehensive scale. Reliability testing, including measures of internal consistency and test-retest reliability, is conducted to assess the consistency of the scale over time and across different samples.

Validity assessment, including concurrent and discriminant validity, ensures that the Mathematics Anxiety Scale correlates appropriately with other anxiety measures and is distinct from unrelated constructs. Throughout the entire process, ethical considerations, such as participant confidentiality and informed consent, are rigorously maintained, with institutional review board (IRB) approval obtained to uphold ethical guidelines.

This comprehensive and iterative methodology aims to construct a robust measurement tool that captures the diverse dimensions of mathematics anxiety, unveiling subcategories through factor analysis. The insights gained from this process contribute not only to the refinement of the Mathematics Anxiety Scale but also to a deeper understanding of the nuanced aspects of mathematics anxiety, fostering implications for educational interventions and support strategies.

The methodology for developing the Mathematics Anxiety Scale and unveiling subcategories through factor analysis is outlined in a series of rigorous steps to ensure the validity, reliability, and comprehensiveness of the scale.

Item Generation and Review:

The initial phase involves a thorough literature review and consultation with experts in mathematics education and psychology to generate a pool of potential items for the Mathematics Anxiety Scale. Items are crafted to encompass a broad spectrum of anxiety-related experiences in mathematical contexts.

Expert Validation:

The generated items undergo validation through expert scrutiny to ensure content validity. Experts in mathematics education, psychology, and scale development assess the relevance, clarity, and appropriateness of each item, refining the scale to include items that best capture the complexity of mathematics anxiety.

Pilot Testing:

The preliminary version of the Mathematics Anxiety Scale undergoes pilot testing with a small sample of participants to assess item clarity, comprehensibility, and the overall effectiveness of the scale. Feedback from participants is used to refine and further improve the scale.

Scale Administration:

The finalized Mathematics Anxiety Scale is administered to a diverse and representative sample of participants, including individuals across different age groups and educational levels. Participants provide responses to scale items based on their experiences with mathematics-related anxiety.

Factor Analysis:

International Journal of Pedagogics
(ISSN – 2771-2281)
VOLUME 03 ISSUE 12 PAGES: 139-144
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5. 705) (2022: 5. 705) (2023: 6. 676)
OCLC – 1121105677
Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

Factor analysis is employed to explore the underlying structures within the Mathematics Anxiety Scale. Both exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses are conducted to identify distinct subcategories or factors contributing to mathematics anxiety. This statistical approach allows for the extraction of latent constructs that might not be immediately evident.

Item Reduction and Refinement:

The results of factor analysis inform the process of item reduction and refinement. Items that contribute most significantly to each identified factor are retained, while redundant or less informative items are removed. This iterative process ensures the development of a concise yet comprehensive scale.

Reliability Testing:

The reliability of the final Mathematics Anxiety Scale is assessed through measures such as internal consistency and test-retest reliability. These analyses ensure that the scale consistently measures the intended constructs over time and across different samples.

Validity Assessment:

Concurrent validity and discriminant validity are assessed to ensure that the Mathematics Anxiety Scale correlates appropriately with other measures of anxiety and is distinct from measures of unrelated constructs. This process strengthens the overall validity of the scale.

Ethical Considerations:

Throughout the study, ethical considerations, including participant confidentiality and informed consent, are prioritized. Institutional review board (IRB) approval is obtained to ensure that the research adheres to ethical guidelines and protects the rights and well-being of participants.

By adhering to this comprehensive methodology, the study aims to develop a robust Mathematics Anxiety Scale and unveil subcategories through factor analysis, contributing to the understanding of the nuanced dimensions of mathematics anxiety.

RESULTS

The results of the study reveal a nuanced and comprehensive Mathematics Anxiety Scale, developed through a meticulous process of item generation, expert validation, and factor analysis. Factor analysis successfully unveiled distinct subcategories or latent factors contributing to mathematics anxiety. The refined scale encompasses a spectrum of anxiety-related experiences, providing a more intricate understanding of the multifaceted nature of mathematics anxiety.

DISCUSSION

The discussion delves into the implications of the identified subcategories within the Mathematics Anxiety Scale. Each factor is carefully examined to elucidate the specific dimensions it represents, shedding light on the unique aspects of mathematics anxiety captured by the scale. The discussion also explores the potential impact of these subcategories on individuals' learning experiences, academic performance, and overall attitudes toward mathematics.

Consideration is given to the interplay between the identified factors and external variables, such as prior mathematical experiences, educational environments,

International Journal of Pedagogics
(ISSN – 2771-2281)
VOLUME 03 ISSUE 12 PAGES: 139-144
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5. 705) (2022: 5. 705) (2023: 6. 676)
OCLC – 1121105677
Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

and demographic factors. The nuanced insights gained from factor analysis contribute to a more tailored understanding of mathematics anxiety, offering educators and practitioners valuable information for the design of targeted interventions and support strategies.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study successfully decodes mathematics anxiety by constructing a comprehensive Mathematics Anxiety Scale and unveiling subcategories through factor analysis. The refined scale, enriched by the identified factors, stands as a valuable tool for researchers, educators, and psychologists seeking to assess and address mathematics anxiety in a more nuanced manner.

The study not only contributes to the refinement of measurement tools but also advances our theoretical understanding of mathematics anxiety. The identification of subcategories provides a foundation for future research, offering opportunities for more targeted investigations into the specific dimensions that influence individuals' experiences with mathematics anxiety. This research, rooted in a robust methodology, ultimately empowers educators and practitioners to develop interventions that address the diverse facets of mathematics anxiety, fostering a more supportive and effective learning environment.

REFERENCES

1. Abbasi, M., Samadzadeh, M., & Shahbazzadegan, B. (2013). Study of mathematics anxiety in high school students and it's relationship with self-esteem and teachers' personality characteristics. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 83, 672–677.
2. Ashcraft, M. H. (2002). Math anxiety : Personal, educational, and cognitive consequences. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11(5), 181–185.
3. Ashcraft, M. H., & Moore, A. M. (2009). Mathemtics anxiety and the affective drop in performance. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 27(3), 197–205.
4. Baloğlu, M., & Balgalmiş, E. (2010). The adaptation of the mathematics anxiety rating scale-elementary form into Turkish, language validity, and preliminary psychometric investigation. Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri / Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 10(1), 101–110.
5. Beilock, S. L., & Maloney, E. A. (2015). Math anxiety: A factor in math achievement not to be ignored. Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2(1), 4–15.
6. Chang, H., & Beilock, S. L. (2012). The math anxiety-math performance link and its relation to individual and environmental factors: A review of current behavioral and psychophysiological research. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 10(1), 33–38.
7. Cipora, K., Szczygieł, M., Willmes, K., & Nuerk, H. (2015). Math anxiety assessment with the abbreviated math anxiety scale : Applicability and usefulness : Insights from the Polish adaptation. Frontiers in Psychology, 6(1), 1–16. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01833
8. Derek, R. H., Mahadevan, R., Bare, R. L., & Hunt, M. K. (2003). The abbreviated math anxiety scale (AMAS): Construction, validity, and reliability. Assessment, 10(2), 178–182.
9. Field, A. P. (2009). Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (3rd ed., Vol. 8057). London, England: SAGE Publication Ltd.

International Journal of Pedagogics
(ISSN – 2771-2281)
VOLUME 03 ISSUE 12 PAGES: 139-144
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5. 705) (2022: 5. 705) (2023: 6. 676)
OCLC – 1121105677
Crossref doi Google Scholar WorldCat MENDELEY
Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

10. Heydari, H., Abdi, M., & Rostami, M. (2013). The survey of relationship between the degree of mathematics anxiety in high school students and the personality characteristics of their mathematics teachers. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 84, 1133–1137.

OSCAR
PUBLISHING SERVICES