

Scientific and Theoretical Foundations for Developing Adolescents' Social-Emotional and Moral-Ethical Competence

B. M. Khakimova

PhD, National Institute of Pedagogy and Character Education named after Kori Niyoziy, Uzbekistan

Received: 23 August 2026; **Accepted:** 19 September 2026; **Published:** 09 October 2026

Abstract: This article examines the scientific and theoretical foundations for developing adolescents' social-emotional and moral-ethical competence from pedagogical, psychological, and axiological perspectives. Particular attention is given to the relationship between the core competencies of Social and Emotional Learning (SEL)-self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making—and adolescents' moral and ethical development. The study considers the psychological and neurodevelopmental characteristics of adolescence, the formation of value orientations, peer influence, the growing need for autonomy, and the mechanisms underlying moral choice and responsible behavior. It is argued that the development of adolescents cannot be limited to the transmission of moral knowledge alone; rather, moral values need to be internalized, emotionally experienced, applied in real-life situations, and critically reflected upon. Within this framework, SEL is considered an important pedagogical mechanism through which moral values can be translated into responsible behavior. The article proposes an integrative model of social-emotional and moral-ethical competence comprising cognitive-content, emotional-regulatory, value-motivational, social-communicative, and reflective-behavioral components. The paper also discusses the need to adapt internationally recognized SEL approaches to the sociocultural and educational context of Uzbekistan and highlights the role of cooperation among schools, families, and local communities in ensuring sustainable educational outcomes.

Keywords: Adolescence, social and emotional learning, SEL, social-emotional competence, moral-ethical competence, emotional intelligence, empathy, values, self-regulation, responsible decision-making, reflection, character education.

Introduction: In contemporary education, students' success is determined not only by the amount of academic knowledge they acquire, but also by their ability to understand and regulate their emotions, establish constructive relationships with others, make choices based on moral principles, and assume responsibility for the consequences of their decisions. These competencies become particularly important during adolescence, a developmental period characterized by an intensified search for personal identity, an increasing desire for autonomy, strong peer influence, and the active formation of value orientations and moral positions.

The importance of these developmental tasks is also

reflected in the educational policy of Uzbekistan. The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Education" conceptualizes upbringing and education as systematic processes aimed at developing the consciousness, moral values, worldview, and personal qualities of the younger generation [1]. Similarly, the Concept of Continuous Spiritual Education identifies the consistent development of young people's moral qualities, social responsibility, and life competencies as one of the priorities of the national education system [2].

From this perspective, adolescents' moral development cannot be reduced to the acquisition of knowledge about socially approved norms and principles. For a value to become part of an individual's actual behavior,

it needs to be understood cognitively, accepted emotionally, applied in specific social situations, and subsequently subjected to reflection. Knowledge of what is morally appropriate does not automatically guarantee morally responsible behavior. The transformation of knowledge into action requires emotional regulation, empathy, social understanding, reflective skills, and the ability to evaluate the possible consequences of one's decisions.

Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) therefore represents an important pedagogical framework for linking moral and ethical development with practical behavior. According to the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL), SEL includes five interconnected areas of competence: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making [3]. These competencies demonstrate a considerable conceptual overlap with the goals of moral and character education.

Self-management, for example, is closely associated with patience, perseverance, and self-control; social awareness is related to empathy, respect, and tolerance; relationship skills involve cooperation, communication, and mutual respect; while responsible decision-making is directly connected with fairness, honesty, accountability, and consideration of the consequences of one's actions.

Consequently, social-emotional competence and moral-ethical competence should not be regarded as two isolated educational constructs. Rather, they may be understood as complementary dimensions of an integrative personal competence. Moral knowledge helps an adolescent answer the question, "What is the right thing to do?", whereas social-emotional skills enable the individual to transform this knowledge into an appropriate behavioral choice in a real-life situation.

The psychological and neurodevelopmental characteristics of adolescence further reinforce the importance of such integration. Research in developmental neuroscience indicates that the systems associated with reward sensitivity and emotional reactivity and those responsible for cognitive control, impulse regulation, and assessment of long-term consequences do not necessarily mature at the same pace during adolescence. Blakemore and Robbins

emphasize the complex interaction among affective, social, and cognitive processes involved in adolescent decision-making [4]. Steinberg similarly argues that heightened sensitivity to rewards and the presence of peers may, under certain circumstances, increase adolescents' propensity for risk-taking [5].

These findings have important pedagogical implications. Impulsive, emotionally intense, or contradictory adolescent behavior should not be interpreted exclusively as a matter of discipline. Educational environments should also provide adolescents with opportunities to learn how to regulate emotions, analyze complex situations, consider alternative courses of action, anticipate possible consequences, and make well-reasoned decisions.

The development of moral-ethical competence likewise requires the integration of several interconnected processes. Rest's four-component model of morality emphasizes moral sensitivity, moral judgment, moral motivation, and moral action as essential elements of ethical behavior [6]. Nucci argues that moral education should extend beyond the passive acceptance of predetermined rules and should instead encourage students to think independently about issues related to justice, rights, human welfare, and interpersonal responsibility [7].

These perspectives are highly compatible with SEL principles. Empathy enables adolescents to recognize moral dimensions in social situations; reflection facilitates the evaluation of competing considerations; value orientations influence motivation; and self-regulation supports the implementation of an ethically justified course of action. Thus, social-emotional and moral-ethical competence can be conceptualized as an integrative personal quality that enables adolescents to recognize their own emotions and values, consider the needs and interests of others, evaluate social situations on the basis of moral criteria, engage in constructive communication, and assume responsibility for the consequences of their choices.

A substantial body of empirical research supports the effectiveness of SEL interventions. Durlak et al. conducted a meta-analysis of 213 school-based universal SEL programs involving more than 270,000 students and found improvements in participants' social-emotional skills, attitudes, positive social

behavior, and academic performance [9]. Their findings provided evidence that systematically organized SEL interventions can contribute simultaneously to students' social, emotional, behavioral, and academic development.

The long-term significance of SEL has also been demonstrated. Taylor et al., in a meta-analysis examining follow-up effects of school-based SEL programs, found that positive outcomes may persist after the formal completion of an intervention [11]. More recently, Cipriano et al. conducted a contemporary meta-analysis covering 424 studies, 53 countries, and 575,361 students. Their findings confirmed that universal school-based SEL programs can positively affect students' skills, attitudes, behaviors, school functioning, and academic outcomes [12].

Taken together, these findings suggest that SEL should not be treated merely as an isolated training program or a set of occasional classroom activities. Its effectiveness is likely to be enhanced when social-emotional development is systematically integrated into the broader educational and school environment. Jones and Bouffard similarly emphasize the importance of moving from individual SEL programs toward strategies embedded in everyday school practices [10].

At the same time, the implementation of SEL approaches in Uzbekistan requires careful consideration of the national cultural and pedagogical context. International models should not be transferred mechanically from one educational environment to another. Rather, evidence-based SEL principles should be adapted to existing educational traditions, cultural expectations, social relationships, and nationally significant values.

The author's previous research on the transformation of Uzbekistan's educational system through SEL methodology also emphasized the importance of aligning international SEL approaches with the national pedagogical context [13]. Values traditionally emphasized in Uzbek education and family life—including respect for elders, kindness and compassion (*mehr-oqibat*), patience (*sabr*), conscience (*vijdon*), and responsibility—have important conceptual parallels with the core competencies of SEL.

Respect, for example, can be manifested through social

awareness and active listening; compassion is closely related to empathy; patience is associated with emotional and behavioral self-regulation; and responsibility is expressed through the ability to evaluate the consequences of one's choices and accept accountability for them. From this perspective, national traditions of moral education may provide a meaningful value framework, while SEL can strengthen the psychological and behavioral mechanisms through which these values are manifested in everyday life.

This relationship is particularly relevant when considering the structure of adolescents' social-emotional and moral-ethical competence. On the basis of theoretical analysis, five interconnected components may be identified: cognitive-content, emotional-regulatory, value-motivational, social-communicative, and reflective-behavioral.

The cognitive-content component refers to adolescents' understanding of emotions, interpersonal relationships, moral concepts, social norms, and the principles underlying responsible choice. Adolescents need not only to recognize accepted rules of conduct but also to understand the reasons behind those rules and the social and ethical consequences of violating them.

The emotional-regulatory component involves the ability to identify, understand, express, and regulate emotions appropriately. Emotional awareness and self-regulation are especially significant during adolescence because emotionally intense situations may influence reasoning, communication, and decision-making.

The value-motivational component concerns the internalization of moral values and their transformation from externally prescribed norms into personally meaningful convictions. Moral behavior becomes more sustainable when adolescents act not merely because of external control or fear of sanctions, but because values such as justice, honesty, respect, compassion, and responsibility have become part of their internal motivational system.

The social-communicative component includes empathy, cooperation, interpersonal communication, active listening, constructive conflict resolution, and the ability to consider different perspectives. These capacities enable adolescents to participate effectively in social interactions and to take into account not only

their own interests but also the feelings and needs of other people.

Finally, the reflective-behavioral component encompasses the ability to analyze one's decisions and actions, evaluate their consequences, draw conclusions from previous experiences, and modify future behavior accordingly. Reflection is particularly important for responsible decision-making because it allows experience to become a source of personal development rather than simply a sequence of isolated events.

These five components function as an integrated system in the process of responsible decision-making. The author's previous studies have similarly demonstrated that adolescents' responsible decision-making competence develops through the interaction of cognitive, emotional, social, and moral factors [14]. Making a responsible decision requires an adolescent to understand the situation, identify available alternatives, recognize the possible influence of emotions, consider the interests of other people, and evaluate both short-term and long-term consequences.

The development of such competence requires educational practices that are active, reflective, and closely connected with real-life situations. Traditional approaches based exclusively on verbal instruction or the transmission of moral rules may not be sufficient to develop behavioral competence. Adolescents need opportunities to experience, analyze, discuss, and solve realistic social and ethical problems.

Moral dilemmas, problem-based case studies, role-playing activities, decision trees, reflective discussions, collaborative projects, and socially beneficial activities can help transform abstract values into personal experience and practical behavior. Such methods create situations in which students are required to identify emotions, examine different perspectives, analyze possible solutions, justify their choices, and reflect on the consequences of their decisions.

The role of the teacher is particularly important in this process. Teachers do not merely transmit knowledge about appropriate behavior; their own communication style and decision-making practices also serve as behavioral models for students. Respectful interaction, emotional self-regulation, fair treatment, constructive conflict management, and transparent decision-making

can contribute to the development of a psychologically safe educational environment.

The sustainability of these outcomes also depends on the consistency of educational influences across different social environments. School-based interventions are likely to be more effective when the principles promoted at school are supported by families and local communities. Cooperation among schools, families, and the mahalla community can create a more coherent developmental environment in which social-emotional and moral principles are reinforced in different areas of adolescents' lives.

The importance of such an environment has also been discussed in the author's research on inclusive culture, where empathy, social awareness, and responsible decision-making were identified as important conditions for developing a safe and inclusive educational setting [15]. An inclusive educational culture requires students not only to understand diversity, but also to regulate their responses, demonstrate empathy, respect the dignity of others, and make socially responsible choices.

Assessment of social-emotional and moral-ethical competence should likewise extend beyond conventional quantitative testing. Although rating scales and standardized instruments can provide useful information, complex personal competencies cannot be fully evaluated through test scores alone. A comprehensive assessment system may therefore combine pedagogical observation, self-assessment, peer assessment, situational tasks, portfolios, and reflective writing.

Such an approach makes it possible to assess not only what adolescents know about emotions, relationships, and ethical norms, but also how they apply this knowledge in practice, how they justify their decisions, how they interact with others, and how they reflect upon the consequences of their behavior.

In conclusion, Social and Emotional Learning should not be regarded as a substitute for moral and character education. Rather, it can provide an important psychological and pedagogical mechanism through which moral values are translated into actual behavior and responsible choices. Moral education defines important value orientations, whereas SEL equips adolescents with the emotional, social, communicative,

and reflective skills required to implement those values in everyday situations.

The integration of SEL methodologies into the subject Tarbiya (Character Education), interdisciplinary learning, extracurricular activities, and school-family-community cooperation can contribute to the development of adolescents as empathetic, socially competent, morally responsible, and reflective individuals. Such integration is particularly relevant in contemporary education, where young people are increasingly required to navigate complex social interactions, rapidly changing informational environments, and situations requiring independent and ethically responsible decision-making.

The effectiveness of this approach depends on maintaining a balance between internationally validated SEL frameworks and the cultural and educational values of the national context. In Uzbekistan, this means that evidence-based social-emotional approaches should complement rather than replace established moral and educational traditions. Their integration can create conditions in which adolescents not only understand socially and morally significant values but are also capable of applying them consciously and responsibly in their everyday lives.

REFERENCES

1. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2020). Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Education", No. ZRU-637, September 23, 2020. LexUZ.
2. Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2019). On Approval of the Concept of Continuous Spiritual Education and Measures for Its Implementation, Resolution No. 1059, December 31, 2019. LexUZ.
3. Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2020). CASEL's SEL Framework: What Are the Core Competence Areas and Where Are They Promoted? Chicago, IL: CASEL.
4. Blakemore, S.-J., & Robbins, T. W. (2012). Decision-making in the adolescent brain. *Nature Neuroscience*, 15, 1184–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3177>
5. Steinberg, L. (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. *Developmental Review*, 28(1), 78–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.002>
6. Rest, J. R. (1986). *Moral Development: Advances in Research and Theory*. New York, NY: Praeger.
7. Nucci, L. P. (2001). *Education in the Moral Domain*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511605987>
8. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
9. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x>
10. Jones, S. M., & Bouffard, S. M. (2012). Social and emotional learning in schools: From programs to strategies. *Social Policy Report*, 26(4), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2379-3988.2012.tb00073.x>
11. Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864>
12. Cipriano, C., et al. (2023). The state of evidence for social and emotional learning: A contemporary meta-analysis of universal school-based SEL interventions. *Child Development*, 94(5), 1181–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13968>
13. Khakimova, B. M. (2025). Transformation of educational system of Uzbekistan: SEL methodology. *European International Journal of Pedagogics*, 5(04), 38–40. <https://doi.org/10.55640/eijp-05-04-09>
14. Khakimova, B. M. (2025). Developing responsible decision-making competencies in adolescents. *Bulletin News in New Science Society International Scientific Journal*, 2(4).
15. Xakimova, B. M. (2025). Inklyuziv madaniyatni shakllantirishda ijtimoiy-hissiy ta'lim (SEL) metodikasining ahamiyati [The role of Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) methodology in developing an inclusive culture].

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15099604>

- 16.** Xakimova, B. M. (2024). The role of digital technologies in teaching adolescents to make independent decisions in foreign-language lessons. Proceedings of the Republican Scientific and Practical Conference “Digital Didactics and Culture: Contemporary Trends, Approaches, and Solutions.”
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10982290>
- 17.** Xakimova, B. M. (2023). International experiences in developing gender culture in education.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7927357>