

Methodology for Developing Students' Auditory Competence Through the Masterlistening Platform

Baymuratova Saltanat Jaksimbaevna

2nd-year doctoral student of Karakalpak State University, Uzbekistan

Received: 18 April 2026; **Accepted:** 03 May 2026; **Published:** 31 May 2026

Abstract: This article examines a methodology for developing university students' auditory competence through the MasterListening platform. Particular attention is given to the integration of digital listening practice with classroom instruction and to the systematic development of both bottom-up and top-down processing skills. The study considers pre-listening, while-listening and post-listening activities, repeated listening, transcription, analysis of connected speech, error diagnosis and reflective practice as important components of effective listening instruction. It is argued that regular platform-based practice can help students improve their recognition of natural spoken English, comprehension of main ideas and specific information, interpretation of contextual meaning and ability to cope with unfamiliar language. The analysis also emphasizes the importance of differentiated tasks, learner autonomy, formative assessment and teacher guidance.

Keywords: Auditory competence, listening comprehension, Master Listening, digital learning, university students.

Introduction: The development of auditory competence is an essential component of foreign language education at university level. Listening is not simply the ability to recognize individual words in an audio recording. It is a complex receptive process in which learners identify speech sounds, segment a continuous stream of speech, recognize lexical and grammatical structures, interpret meaning, distinguish important information from secondary details, and relate what they hear to the communicative context. For many students, listening remains one of the most difficult language skills because authentic spoken English contains reduced forms, contractions, assimilation, connected speech, hesitation, changes in rhythm and intonation, and considerable variation in speaking rate. Classroom listening alone does not always provide sufficient exposure to these features. For this reason, digital listening platforms can play an important role in creating systematic and individualized listening practice [3].

MasterListening can be incorporated into foreign

language instruction as a digital environment for developing students' ability to process spoken English more accurately and independently. Its methodological value is not determined merely by the availability of audio materials. The effectiveness of the platform depends on how listening activities are organized, how frequently students work with the materials, what strategies they are taught to use, and how digital practice is connected with classroom instruction. Therefore, the platform should be treated as part of a structured teaching methodology rather than as an additional source of listening exercises.

A productive methodology can be based on the interaction of bottom-up and top-down processing. Bottom-up processing begins with the linguistic signal. Students identify sounds, word boundaries, stressed syllables, grammatical forms and lexical combinations before constructing the overall meaning of an utterance. This process is particularly important when learners experience difficulty understanding familiar vocabulary in natural speech. A student may know the expression going to, for example, but fail to recognize

its reduced pronunciation in fluent conversation. Repeated listening, transcription activities and comparison between the audio signal and its written form help learners establish a stronger relationship between their existing lexical knowledge and the actual phonological forms occurring in speech [2, 209-224].

Top-down processing operates differently. Students use context, previous knowledge, expectations and their understanding of the topic to predict and interpret meaning. Before listening to a MasterListening text, students can examine its topic and predict possible vocabulary, speakers, arguments or situations. During listening, they confirm, reject or modify these predictions. Such activities encourage students to listen for meaning rather than attempt to decode every word. Effective auditory competence develops when these two processes interact. Learners need sufficient linguistic accuracy to recognize what is being said and sufficient strategic competence to construct meaning when some linguistic information remains unclear.

The teaching process can therefore follow a three-stage sequence consisting of pre-listening, while-listening and post-listening work. At the pre-listening stage, the teacher activates relevant background knowledge without explaining the entire content of the recording. Students may predict the subject from a title, discuss two or three introductory questions, identify potentially important vocabulary or formulate expectations about the information they are going to hear. Excessive pre-teaching should be avoided because students need opportunities to infer meaning independently. The purpose of this stage is to prepare cognitive and linguistic resources for listening rather than remove every possible difficulty.

While-listening work should move from global comprehension toward increasingly detailed processing. During the first listening, students can determine the general topic, communicative purpose, relationship between speakers or central argument. This prevents them from becoming excessively concerned with unfamiliar words. A second listening can focus on specific information such as names, reasons, examples, numbers, opinions or sequences of events. A third listening, when necessary, may concentrate on linguistic details, pronunciation features and difficult segments. Such progressive listening is more pedagogically useful than repeatedly playing the

same recording with the same comprehension questions [5].

One particularly valuable technique is partial or complete transcription. Students listen to a short segment and write exactly what they believe they hear. They then compare their version with the correct transcript and classify their errors. For example, a learner may discover that a missed phrase resulted not from unknown vocabulary but from failure to recognize linking, weak forms or an unstressed grammatical word. Another learner may correctly identify individual words but misunderstand the sentence because of insufficient grammatical processing. Error classification transforms listening from a simple right-or-wrong exercise into diagnostic learning. Students gradually become aware of the specific factors preventing successful comprehension.

Repeated listening should also be used purposefully. Listening to the same text several times can be effective when each repetition has a different objective. The first attempt may focus on gist, the second on key details, the third on difficult stretches of speech, and the final attempt on verification. Students can record the percentage or number of items understood after each attempt. The improvement between attempts provides visible evidence of learning and helps students understand that listening comprehension is a process that can be developed through strategy and practice [4].

Another important element is the systematic treatment of connected speech. University students often report that they can understand their teachers but experience considerable difficulty with authentic recordings or spontaneous conversation. One reason is the difference between carefully articulated classroom English and natural spoken discourse. Activities based on MasterListening materials can draw attention to contractions, reductions, linking, elision, assimilation, sentence stress and intonation. Students can first identify a difficult fragment, examine its transcript, listen again and imitate the speaker. This sequence connects listening with pronunciation and demonstrates that receptive and productive phonological abilities support each other.

Post-listening work should extend comprehension into active language use. After completing an audio task, students may summarize the content orally, reconstruct

the speaker's argument, compare opinions, write a short response, discuss the topic in pairs or retell the information from another perspective. In this way, listening becomes connected with speaking, vocabulary development and critical thinking. For example, after listening to a discussion concerning technology in education, students can identify the speakers' main arguments and subsequently evaluate which position is more convincing. Such activities move beyond literal comprehension and develop inferential and evaluative listening.

The platform can also support differentiated instruction. Students within one university group rarely demonstrate identical levels of listening proficiency. Some learners require slower or shorter materials and repeated exposure, while stronger learners need authentic speech, greater lexical density and tasks requiring inference. A single classroom recording cannot always address these differences effectively. Digital listening practice allows the teacher to assign materials according to learners' current competence and gradually increase difficulty. The principle of progression is particularly important. Difficulty should increase through manageable changes in speech rate, text length, vocabulary, accent variation, information density and task complexity rather than through an abrupt transition from simplified materials to demanding authentic discourse.

Learner autonomy constitutes another significant dimension of the methodology. Students should gradually learn to diagnose their own listening problems and select appropriate strategies. A listening diary can be useful for this purpose. After each independent session, students may record the material studied, the number of listening attempts, difficult expressions, reasons for comprehension problems and strategies that helped them understand the recording. Over several weeks, these records reveal recurring difficulties. A student may notice, for example, that unknown vocabulary is less problematic than rapid connected speech, while another may discover persistent difficulty with numbers or inference. Such reflection encourages students to take greater responsibility for their learning [1, 153-163].

However, autonomy does not mean the absence of teacher guidance. The teacher remains responsible for selecting appropriate materials, establishing achievable

objectives, demonstrating listening strategies, analysing common errors and connecting independent digital practice with classroom activities. Platform-based learning is most productive when students clearly understand why a particular activity has been assigned and which aspect of auditory competence it is intended to develop. Simply requiring students to complete a large number of online tasks may increase practice time without necessarily improving listening strategies.

Assessment should consequently measure more than the final number of correct answers. A combination of diagnostic, formative and summative assessment provides a more complete picture of development. At the beginning of instruction, a diagnostic listening task can identify difficulties in gist comprehension, detail recognition, lexical segmentation, inference and phonological decoding. During the course, short MasterListening tasks, transcription exercises, listening diaries and classroom observations can provide formative evidence. At the end of the instructional period, a comparable listening task can be administered to determine progress. Comparing initial and final performance allows the teacher to evaluate changes in particular components of auditory competence rather than relying only on students' general impressions.

The methodology can be implemented through a cyclical model: diagnosis → guided listening → focused practice → repeated listening → error analysis → communicative application → reflection → reassessment. Diagnosis identifies individual difficulties. Guided listening introduces appropriate strategies. Focused practice addresses particular weaknesses such as recognizing connected speech or identifying details. Repeated listening strengthens auditory representations. Error analysis reveals the source of misunderstanding. Communicative application transfers information obtained through listening into speaking or writing. Reflection develops metacognitive awareness, while reassessment demonstrates whether the targeted difficulty has decreased. The cycle can then begin again at a higher level of complexity.

For university students, a balanced model may combine classroom instruction with regular independent platform work. Rather than concentrating listening practice into a single long lesson, shorter but frequent sessions are preferable because auditory processing

develops through sustained exposure. Two or three independent sessions per week can complement teacher-guided classroom activities. The teacher can subsequently use common problems observed during independent work as material for the following lesson. Digital and face-to-face instruction thus become interconnected rather than functioning as separate forms of learning.

The pedagogical effectiveness of MasterListening should ultimately be evaluated through observable changes in students' listening behaviour. Progress is demonstrated when learners require fewer repetitions, recognize larger stretches of connected speech, identify key information more accurately, infer meaning from context, tolerate unfamiliar vocabulary without losing overall comprehension, and explain why particular fragments are difficult. These indicators represent a deeper development of auditory competence than improvement in test scores alone.

CONCLUSION

Overall, the use of the MasterListening platform can contribute substantially to the development of university students' auditory competence when it is integrated into a coherent methodological system. Its strongest educational potential lies in providing repeated and focused exposure to spoken language while allowing students to examine their own comprehension difficulties. The combination of bottom-up decoding, top-down interpretation, progressive listening tasks, transcription, connected-speech analysis, communicative follow-up, differentiated practice and learner reflection creates conditions for systematic development. In this model, technology does not replace the teacher or traditional classroom interaction. It expands the amount and quality of listening experience available to learners and makes individual difficulties more visible.

REFERENCES

1. Biriukova, D., Chyzhykova, I., Holiak, V., Volkova, M., & Shyshkina, I. (2025). Using online services for the development of listening skills in future foreign language teachers. *Revista Eduweb*, 19(1), 153-163.
2. Fitria, T. N. (2021). Investigating the emergence of digital platforms for listening learning proficiency. *Al-Lisan: Jurnal Bahasa (e-Journal)*, 6(2), 209-224.
3. Rasskazova, T., Dubina, N., Yedik, N., & Aksenova, V.

(2019). Developing listening skill in English through online platform learning in a university: Results, prospects, and limitations. In *INTED2019 Proceedings* (pp. 6413-6418). IATED.

4. Samad, P. (2026). *TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING AND LEARNING LISTENING. Strategies And Innovations In Technology-Enhanced English Language Teaching: A Literature Review*, 8.
5. Vilema Macas, J. R. (2022). Technological resources in the development of listening skills (Bachelor's thesis, Riobamba).