International Journal of Medical Sciences And Clinical Research

VOLUME Vol.06 Issue05 2026
PAGE NO. 1-12

Hemorrhagic Risk, Incidental Gallbladder Malignancy, And Port-Site Dissemination in Minimally Invasive Surgery: A Narrative Review of Intersecting Clinical Challenges

Dr. Marcus L. Bennett

Division of General and Oncologic Surgery, Greenfield University School of Medicine, Toronto, Canada

Dr. Isabel M. Ferreira

Department of Gastrointestinal and Minimally Invasive Surgery, Atlantic International Medical University, Lisbon, Portugal

Received: 08 March 2026; **Accepted:** 04 April 2026; **Published:** 01 May 2026

Abstract: Background: The convergence of hematologic bleeding disorders, spontaneous thoracic hemorrhage, incidental biliary malignancy, and minimally invasive surgical practice presents a complex clinical field in which diagnostic uncertainty, perioperative risk, and oncologic safety are deeply interrelated. Immune thrombocytopenia is a clinically heterogeneous disorder characterized by reduced platelet counts and unpredictable bleeding manifestations, while spontaneous hemothorax remains an uncommon but potentially fatal event with diverse etiologies. In parallel, gallbladder carcinoma continues to be a highly aggressive malignancy that is often detected unexpectedly after cholecystectomy, raising important questions regarding risk stratification, operative technique, and tumor dissemination during laparoscopy.

Objective: This review synthesizes the available literature to examine how hemorrhagic vulnerability and oncologic dissemination intersect across thoracic and abdominal surgical settings, with special attention to immune thrombocytopenia, spontaneous hemothorax, incidental gallbladder cancer, and port-site metastasis.

Methodology: A narrative review design was adopted using only the references provided. The included literature consisted of clinical updates, case reports, epidemiologic studies, guideline-oriented articles, surgical surveys, experimental animal studies, and review papers. Thematic synthesis was used to organize the literature into major domains: immune thrombocytopenia and bleeding risk, spontaneous hemothorax, gallbladder cancer epidemiology and prognosis, incidental gallbladder carcinoma after cholecystectomy, port-site metastasis mechanisms, and proposed preventive strategies during laparoscopy.

Results: The reviewed evidence indicates that immune thrombocytopenia can, in rare circumstances, present with severe internal bleeding including hemothorax, particularly when platelet dysfunction and vascular fragility converge with delayed diagnosis or inadequate hemostatic control (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). Spontaneous hemothorax, although rare, is clinically significant because it may progress rapidly and demand urgent recognition and intervention (Patrini et al., 2015). For gallbladder carcinoma, chronic gallstone disease, delayed detection, and incidental diagnosis after laparoscopic surgery remain central issues in prognosis and management (Goetze, 2015; Hsing et al., 2007; Kanthan et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020). Literature on laparoscopy demonstrates that port-site metastasis is multifactorial and linked to tumor biology, local wound conditions, pneumoperitoneum-related factors, and specimen handling, while the effectiveness of prophylactic port-site resection remains uncertain (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Maker et al., 2012).

Conclusion: The literature supports a clinically integrated perspective in which bleeding disorders, emergency thoracic complications, and oncologic laparoscopy cannot be managed in isolation. Improved preoperative suspicion, careful operative planning, atraumatic tissue handling, and context-specific postoperative escalation are essential to reduce both hemorrhagic and oncologic complications.

Keywords: Immune thrombocytopenia, spontaneous hemothorax, gallbladder carcinoma, incidental gallbladder cancer, laparoscopy, port-site metastasis, surgical oncology.

Introduction: Immune thrombocytopenia, spontaneous hemothorax, gallbladder carcinoma, and port-site metastasis may initially appear to belong to separate clinical domains, yet the literature reveals a meaningful conceptual overlap between them. All four areas involve a shared set of concerns: diagnostic delay, low-frequency but high-consequence complications, therapeutic timing, and the need to balance minimally invasive strategies against unexpected risks. The challenge is not merely that each condition is individually serious, but that each exposes a different dimension of the same underlying problem in modern clinical practice: rare events often produce the most severe disruptions precisely because they fall outside routine expectation (Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025; Patrini et al., 2015; Goetze, 2015).

Immune thrombocytopenia is an acquired disorder characterized by immune-mediated platelet destruction and impaired platelet production, producing variable bleeding phenotypes ranging from asymptomatic thrombocytopenia to life-threatening hemorrhage (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025). Although mucocutaneous bleeding is more common, severe internal bleeding remains the chief concern when platelet counts fall substantially or when compounding clinical stressors exist (Kayal et al., 2014; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). Historically, the terminology of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura reflected limited mechanistic understanding, whereas the more contemporary term immune thrombocytopenia better captures the immunopathologic basis of the disease (Kayal et al., 2014; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025). This shift in language also reflects a broader evolution in clinical thinking: immune thrombocytopenia is no longer viewed as a static bleeding disorder but as a heterogeneous syndrome whose management depends on patient age, severity, chronicity, comorbidities, and bleeding context (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017).

Within this spectrum, hemothorax is highly unusual. The rarity of hemothorax in immune thrombocytopenia makes it easy to overlook, yet the consequences of failure to recognize it can be catastrophic. Fromke and Schmidt documented hemothorax in idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura decades ago, reminding clinicians that internal thoracic bleeding, though uncommon, is a real manifestation of severe platelet-related hemostatic failure (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972). Earlier writings on blood dyscrasias also support the association between hematologic disorders and hemorrhagic thoracic complications, thereby placing hemothorax within a broader frame of altered coagulation and fragile hemostasis rather than treating it as an isolated anatomic event (Freedman et al., 1943). This line of evidence is important because it argues against a narrow approach in which spontaneous hemothorax is attributed only to structural thoracic pathology. In selected patients, especially those with thrombocytopenic states, the pleural space can become the site of clinically significant occult hemorrhage (Freedman et al., 1943; Fromke & Schmidt, 1972; Patrini et al., 2015).

Spontaneous hemothorax itself occupies a unique position in thoracic medicine. It is dramatic, rare, and diagnostically demanding. Patrini and colleagues described the etiologic diversity and management complexity of spontaneous hemothorax, showing that it should be understood as a syndrome rather than a single disease entity (Patrini et al., 2015). Historical case reports by Pitt and Dicara demonstrate that spontaneous or rapidly progressive blood accumulation in the pleural cavity has long been recognized as clinically grave, whether due to ruptured emphysematous bullae, occult pulmonary pathology, or poorly defined spontaneous bleeding sources (Pitt, 1899; Dicara, 1955). What links these reports is the lesson that delayed recognition can permit swift physiologic deterioration. Hemodynamic instability, respiratory compromise, and the difficulty of identifying cause under urgent circumstances together make spontaneous hemothorax one of the clearest examples of why uncommon complications deserve disproportionate vigilance (Patrini et al., 2015).

A similar logic applies to gallbladder carcinoma, though the clinical pathway is different. Gallbladder cancer is not rare in the same sense as spontaneous hemothorax, but it is frequently diagnosed late, often behaves aggressively, and is commonly overshadowed by the much more prevalent benign pathology of gallstone disease and cholecystitis (Goetze, 2015; Kanthan et al., 2015). This creates a paradox. Because

biliary symptoms are common and usually benign, the minority of patients harboring malignancy are at risk of passing through routine pathways that are not designed around oncologic suspicion. Studies on gallstones and biliary tract cancer indicate that chronic inflammatory conditions of the gallbladder are epidemiologically relevant to carcinogenesis, reinforcing the need for a more layered interpretation of symptomatic gallstone disease in certain populations (Hsing et al., 2007; Halaseh et al., 2022). Qudeer and colleagues further underscore the issue by documenting the frequency of gallbladder carcinoma in cholecystectomy specimens performed for symptomatic cholelithiasis, highlighting the enduring importance of histopathologic vigilance after what may have been presumed to be straightforward benign surgery (Qudeer et al., 2021).

The problem becomes even more complex when gallbladder carcinoma is discovered incidentally after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Wu and colleagues described incidental gallbladder cancer after laparoscopic surgery and addressed its incidence, management, and prognosis, reminding the surgical community that the initial operative setting can have long-term oncologic implications (Wu et al., 2020). The issue is not only that a cancer was missed before surgery. It is also that the technical conditions of laparoscopy may alter the pattern of tumor dissemination. Port-site metastasis emerged as one of the most debated complications of oncologic laparoscopy, provoking concern that minimally invasive access, while beneficial in many respects, might create local conditions favorable to tumor implantation (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003). This concern acquired particular relevance in gallbladder cancer, where friable tumor, bile spillage, specimen extraction, and unsuspected malignancy can coexist within procedures originally intended for benign disease (Maker et al., 2012; Goetze, 2015).

The literature on port-site metastasis demonstrates that this phenomenon is neither purely technical nor purely biologic. Rather, it seems to arise from an interaction between tumor aggressiveness, wound vulnerability, pneumoperitoneum-related effects, direct contamination, local immune modulation, and inflammatory changes at trocar sites (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Ataseven et al., 2016). Experimental studies have explored interventions ranging from intraperitoneal heparin to taurolidine and topical port-site treatments, indicating that surgeons have long sought to reduce the conditions under which tumor implantation occurs (Neuhaus et al., 1999; Braumann et al., 2000; Eshraghi et al., 1999). Yet the evidence remains mixed regarding which mechanisms matter most in human patients and whether prophylactic port-site resection is justified in established management protocols (Maker et al., 2012).

This review brings together these seemingly disparate fields because they collectively illuminate a central issue in contemporary surgical medicine: the most significant perioperative threats are often those that arise when common pathways intersect with uncommon biology. Immune thrombocytopenia teaches that a disorder typically associated with mucocutaneous bleeding may occasionally present with dangerous internal hemorrhage (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Fromke & Schmidt, 1972). Spontaneous hemothorax teaches that the thorax can become the site of rapidly progressive hemorrhage from varied and sometimes hidden causes (Patrini et al., 2015). Gallbladder carcinoma teaches that an aggressive malignancy may be concealed within benign-appearing biliary disease until surgery or pathology reveals otherwise (Goetze, 2015; Wu et al., 2020). Port-site metastasis teaches that minimally invasive surgery, while transformative, is not exempt from oncologic principles and may even intensify certain dissemination concerns when malignancy is unsuspected or handling is suboptimal (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Maker et al., 2012).

The literature gap addressed in this review lies not in the absence of individual studies, but in the lack of an integrative discussion connecting hemorrhagic vulnerability and oncologic dissemination as parallel challenges of diagnostic under-recognition and procedural consequence. Existing studies tend to remain siloed within hematology, thoracic medicine, or hepatobiliary oncology. As a result, clinicians may underestimate the conceptual parallels among rare bleeding complications, incidental cancer detection, and laparoscopy-related tumor spread. A synthesized analysis is therefore warranted to clarify how these literatures inform one another and what broader lessons they offer regarding surgical suspicion, perioperative planning, and postoperative escalation.

Accordingly, this review aims to synthesize the provided references into a unified scholarly discussion of three linked clinical problems: first, the pathophysiology and clinical implications of immune thrombocytopenia with attention to severe internal bleeding; second, the etiologic and management framework of spontaneous hemothorax; and third, the epidemiology, incidental detection, and laparoscopic dissemination concerns associated with gallbladder carcinoma. By placing these topics in dialogue, the review seeks to develop a more integrated account of how low-frequency but high-stakes complications

should influence modern surgical and perioperative thinking.

METHODOLOGY

This article was designed as a narrative review based strictly on the references supplied. No external literature, datasets, or unlisted sources were incorporated. The purpose of the methodology was not to perform quantitative meta-analysis, because the included body of evidence was heterogeneous in design, era, and clinical focus, but rather to conduct a structured interpretive synthesis that would allow common themes and clinically relevant intersections to emerge across the literature.

The reference pool included several distinct categories of evidence. One group comprised contemporary overviews and clinical updates on immune thrombocytopenia, which provided current conceptual and therapeutic framing for platelet-mediated bleeding disorders (Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). A second group consisted of historical and clinical case-oriented literature on hemothorax and blood dyscrasias, allowing rare hemorrhagic manifestations to be contextualized within broader thoracic and hematologic experience (Freedman et al., 1943; Pitt, 1899; Dicara, 1955; Fromke & Schmidt, 1972; Patrini et al., 2015). A third group centered on gallbladder carcinoma, including reviews of etiology, prognosis, incidental diagnosis, and guideline-oriented hepatobiliary management (Benson et al., 2014; Goetze, 2015; Kanthan et al., 2015; Hsing et al., 2007; Halaseh et al., 2022; Qudeer et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2020). A fourth group addressed oncologic laparoscopy and port-site metastasis through surveys, reviews, retrospective outcome analysis, and experimental interventions in animal models or operative settings (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Holub, 2002; Ataseven et al., 2016; Maker et al., 2012; Neuhaus et al., 1999; Braumann et al., 2000; Eshraghi et al., 1999; Cheong et al., 2018).

The decision to use narrative review rather than systematic review was methodologically appropriate for several reasons. First, the clinical questions represented in the source material were conceptually related but not uniform enough to support pooled statistical inference. The references ranged from nineteenth-century descriptive reports to modern oncology outcome studies, and from single-condition reviews to experimental analyses of laparoscopic tumor implantation. Second, the aim of the present article was explanatory depth rather than effect-size estimation. The article sought to identify how the literature constructs risk, uncertainty, and preventive reasoning across distinct but intersecting clinical areas. Third, many of the supplied references function as anchor texts within their subfields, making interpretive synthesis more useful than numerical aggregation.

The review process followed four conceptual stages. In the first stage, each reference was classified according to its primary domain: hematology, thoracic hemorrhage, hepatobiliary oncology, or laparoscopic dissemination. In the second stage, recurring themes were extracted, including severe internal bleeding in thrombocytopenia, causes and mechanisms of spontaneous hemothorax, risk factors for gallbladder carcinoma, incidental malignancy after cholecystectomy, mechanisms of port-site metastasis, and approaches proposed to reduce tumor implantation. In the third stage, the extracted themes were compared across domains to identify shared clinical concerns such as delayed recognition, procedural consequence, and the relationship between biologic vulnerability and technical practice. In the fourth stage, these themes were reorganized into the article's major analytic sections: background and pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, management implications, and integrative interpretation.

Because the references include both historical and contemporary works, temporal contextualization formed an important methodological principle. Older case reports were not treated as equivalent in evidentiary strength to modern reviews or outcome studies. Instead, they were used to demonstrate that certain rare complications have longstanding clinical recognition even if they remain infrequent. For example, Pitt's report of fatal hemo-pneumothorax and Freedman and colleagues' discussion of hemothorax in blood dyscrasias were used to establish historical continuity in clinical observation, while more recent literature such as Patrini et al. provided updated frameworks for etiology and management (Pitt, 1899; Freedman et al., 1943; Patrini et al., 2015). Similarly, early concerns regarding tumor seeding after laparoscopy were interpreted in light of later work that refined or challenged those concerns (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Maker et al., 2012).

The synthesis was text-based and interpretive rather than statistical. This means that the "results" generated by the review do not refer to new primary data, but to patterns identifiable across the included literature. Such an approach is common when dealing with clinically diverse sources that nonetheless converge on shared risk themes. The emphasis was on explanatory consistency, conceptual clarity, and translational relevance. For example, in the immune thrombocytopenia literature, platelet count alone was not treated as the sole determinant of clinical severity

because the reviews and case-based accounts suggest that bleeding phenotype, comorbid exposures, and site of bleeding all matter in interpretation (Kayal et al., 2014; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025). In the gallbladder carcinoma literature, incidental diagnosis was not interpreted merely as a pathologic surprise; it was examined as a systems-level issue involving epidemiology, preoperative suspicion, and intraoperative handling (Goetze, 2015; Kanthan et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020).

The article also applied a comparative lens when reviewing mechanisms of port-site metastasis. Survey data, mechanistic reviews, and experimental interventions were read together to determine whether the literature supports a single dominant explanation or a multifactorial model. This comparative method showed that direct contamination, aerosolization, local immune alteration, wound susceptibility, and tumor intrinsic behavior are not mutually exclusive explanations but interacting contributors whose relevance may vary by cancer type and operative setting (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Holub, 2002; Ataseven et al., 2016). Likewise, interventions such as intraperitoneal heparin, taurolidine, and topical port-site treatment were assessed not as settled standards but as experimental responses to a recognized clinical concern (Neuhaus et al., 1999; Braumann et al., 2000; Eshraghi et al., 1999).

An additional methodological feature of this review was the deliberate integration of oncologic and non-oncologic literatures. Rather than treating bleeding disorders and cancer dissemination as unrelated, the synthesis was designed to show how both areas reflect a broader perioperative problem: serious complications frequently emerge where diagnostic routine fails to anticipate biologic exception. This is particularly relevant for conditions such as incidental gallbladder carcinoma, where preoperative benign assumptions may shape a surgical approach that later proves oncologically incomplete, and for immune thrombocytopenia, where benign-appearing early symptoms may precede dangerous internal hemorrhage (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Wu et al., 2020).

The limitations of this methodology should also be acknowledged. Because only the supplied references were used, the review is intentionally bounded by the scope, age, and thematic composition of those sources. Some areas, particularly the most current operative oncology practices or evolving therapeutic algorithms in immune thrombocytopenia, may be more extensively discussed in broader contemporary literature than is possible here. In addition, the included studies vary considerably in evidentiary design, ranging from case reports and reviews to experimental animal studies and practice-oriented summaries. Therefore, the article does not claim to generate universal treatment recommendations. Instead, it aims to produce a rigorous conceptual synthesis rooted exclusively in the provided literature.

Despite these constraints, the methodology is appropriate to the stated objective. The included references are sufficiently rich to support a detailed scholarly article on the intersection of hemorrhagic and oncologic complications. By using thematic classification, comparative interpretation, temporal contextualization, and clinically oriented synthesis, the review methodology provides a robust framework for generating a publication-style narrative that remains faithful to the source material while offering integrated insight.

RESULTS

The thematic analysis of the provided literature generated six major findings. First, immune thrombocytopenia is best understood as a heterogeneous disorder in which bleeding severity cannot be inferred solely from nomenclature or even, in some cases, from simplistic assumptions based on platelet count. Second, spontaneous hemothorax represents a clinically urgent syndrome with diverse causes, and blood dyscrasias remain an important although infrequent etiologic context. Third, gallbladder carcinoma emerges from a background of chronic inflammation, gallstone disease, and diagnostic delay, with significant consequences for survival and treatment planning. Fourth, incidental gallbladder cancer after laparoscopic cholecystectomy remains a persistent clinical problem that links benign biliary surgery with unexpected oncologic escalation. Fifth, port-site metastasis is multifactorial rather than monocausal, involving both biologic and procedural influences. Sixth, preventive strategies proposed in the literature show conceptual promise but variable certainty, underscoring the need for careful patient selection and context-specific surgical judgment.

A major finding in the hematology-related literature is that immune thrombocytopenia is not merely a disorder of low platelet number but a complex clinical syndrome with variable hemorrhagic expression. Contemporary summaries describe immune thrombocytopenia as an acquired immune-mediated disorder resulting in accelerated platelet destruction and decreased platelet production, often producing an unpredictable relationship between platelet counts and actual bleeding manifestations (Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). This distinction is clinically important. If thrombocytopenia

were mechanically equivalent to bleeding risk in a uniform way, management decisions would be relatively straightforward. Instead, the literature indicates that patients with similar platelet levels may have different clinical trajectories, making context, bleeding history, and current presentation indispensable in assessment (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). Kayal and colleagues, though writing in an earlier framework of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, similarly support the notion that the condition is clinically diverse and requires attention to symptomatic status rather than laboratory reductionism alone (Kayal et al., 2014).

The second major result is that severe internal bleeding in immune thrombocytopenia, while uncommon, has outsized clinical significance because it can challenge usual expectations of where bleeding should occur. Mucocutaneous manifestations are common teaching points, but the literature makes clear that internal hemorrhage, including hemothorax, should not be dismissed as implausible merely because it is rare (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). Fromke and Schmidt's report of hemothorax in idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura is especially instructive because it demonstrates that pleural bleeding can be a direct expression of thrombocytopenic pathology rather than an unrelated coexistence (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972). The older work by Freedman and colleagues on hemothorax in blood dyscrasias reinforces this broader principle: hematologic disorders can produce thoracic hemorrhage severe enough to demand emergent recognition (Freedman et al., 1943). These sources do not suggest that hemothorax is common in thrombocytopenia. Rather, they establish that it is real, serious, and diagnostically meaningful precisely because it sits outside routine expectation.

The literature on spontaneous hemothorax yields a third major finding: etiologic diversity complicates early diagnosis, and rapid clinical deterioration remains a constant threat. Patrini and colleagues provide the most contemporary framework within the included sources, identifying multiple causes of spontaneous hemothorax and emphasizing the need for prompt recognition and tailored management (Patrini et al., 2015). The review shows that spontaneous hemothorax can arise from vascular lesions, pleural disease, coagulopathy, neoplasia, pulmonary pathology, and other uncommon sources, meaning that the pleural collection itself is a manifestation rather than a diagnosis (Patrini et al., 2015). Historical case literature supports this conclusion from a different angle. Pitt's account of fatal hemo-pneumothorax due to rupture of an emphysematous bulla demonstrates how quickly such conditions may become lethal when bleeding enters an already compromised thoracic space (Pitt, 1899). Dicara's report of spontaneous hemothorax similarly illustrates that rare thoracic hemorrhage has long been clinically observed, even if underlying etiologies were not always fully elucidated by the diagnostic resources of the time (Dicara, 1955). The combined literature therefore supports the conclusion that spontaneous hemothorax is a high-stakes emergency whose infrequency should never translate into diagnostic complacency.

A fourth major finding concerns the epidemiology and pathogenesis of gallbladder carcinoma. The evidence consistently depicts gallbladder cancer as an aggressive malignancy associated with delayed detection, poor prognosis, and strong links to chronic gallbladder pathology, particularly gallstones and long-term inflammation (Goetze, 2015; Kanthan et al., 2015; Halaseh et al., 2022). Hsing and colleagues show a population-based association between gallstones and biliary tract cancer, giving epidemiologic weight to the frequently cited pathophysiologic idea that persistent inflammatory exposure may promote malignant transformation (Hsing et al., 2007). Halaseh and colleagues review etiologic and epidemiologic aspects of gallbladder cancer, reinforcing that the disease is shaped not only by biologic behavior but also by geographic, demographic, and inflammatory patterns (Halaseh et al., 2022). Goetze further emphasizes prognostic factors and therapeutic options, indicating that stage at diagnosis remains central to outcome and that delayed recognition significantly narrows the margin for curative treatment (Goetze, 2015). The results of this thematic synthesis suggest that gallbladder carcinoma is less a disease of sudden onset than a disease of insufficiently interrupted progression.

A fifth result is the recurring importance of incidental diagnosis after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Wu and colleagues directly address incidental gallbladder cancer following laparoscopic cholecystectomy, discussing incidence, management, and prognosis in a way that exposes the practical consequences of discovering malignancy after surgery intended for presumed benign disease (Wu et al., 2020). Qudeer and colleagues, by identifying the frequency of gallbladder carcinoma in cholecystectomy specimens for symptomatic cholelithiasis, demonstrate why routine pathological examination remains clinically consequential (Qudeer et al., 2021). The literature thus suggests that incidental gallbladder cancer is not merely an unfortunate diagnostic surprise but a structural consequence of overlapping symptom profiles between benign biliary disease and early malignancy. Because the initial surgery often proceeds

under a benign assumption, questions of specimen extraction, bile spillage, gallbladder perforation, and adequacy of subsequent reoperation become immediately relevant once pathology reveals malignancy (Wu et al., 2020; Goetze, 2015).

A sixth and especially important finding is that port-site metastasis should be interpreted through a multifactorial model. Paolucci and colleagues' international survey on tumor seeding following laparoscopy helped establish port-site implantation as a serious oncologic concern, though not one reducible to a single mechanism (Paolucci et al., 1999). Ramirez and colleagues similarly reviewed etiologic theories and preventive thinking in laparoscopic port-site metastases, supporting the view that multiple operative and biologic variables likely contribute (Ramirez et al., 2003). The included evidence points toward several overlapping mechanisms. One is direct contamination, wherein tumor cells contact trocar wounds during instrument exchange, specimen retrieval, bile leakage, or tissue fragmentation. Another is the role of pneumoperitoneum and gas flow dynamics, which may influence tumor cell distribution or local wound exposure. A third concerns local wound susceptibility, because fresh trocar sites may provide a microenvironment favorable to implantation. A fourth concerns immune modulation and inflammatory changes associated with laparoscopy itself, which may alter local host defense or tissue receptivity (Holub, 2002; Ramirez et al., 2003; Paolucci et al., 1999).

The literature also indicates that tumor biology matters greatly in determining whether port-site metastasis occurs. Ataseven and colleagues, examining epithelial ovarian cancer, showed the prognostic impact of port-site metastasis after diagnostic laparoscopy, thereby underscoring that implantation events are not merely technical accidents but may also reflect the intrinsic aggressiveness and dissemination capacity of the underlying tumor (Ataseven et al., 2016). This is highly relevant to gallbladder carcinoma, which is known for aggressive behavior and early spread. Thus, the occurrence of port-site metastasis should not be interpreted solely as a failure of technique. In some cases, it may instead represent the convergence of high-risk tumor biology with vulnerable operative conditions (Ataseven et al., 2016; Goetze, 2015).

A seventh result concerns the uncertain value of prophylactic or adjunctive strategies designed to reduce port-site metastasis. Experimental work by Neuhaus and colleagues suggested that intraperitoneal heparin may affect tumor implantation following laparoscopy, while Braumann and colleagues examined taurolidine and taurolidine/heparin in relation to tumor growth in a rat model (Neuhaus et al., 1999; Braumann et al., 2000). Eshraghi and colleagues reported that topical treatments of laparoscopic port sites can decrease incision metastasis, implying that local wound management is not merely cosmetic but potentially oncologically meaningful (Eshraghi et al., 1999). Yet these studies remain supportive rather than definitive when extrapolated to routine human gallbladder cancer care. They demonstrate that tumor implantation is modifiable in principle, but they do not establish universal preventive protocols applicable across all tumor types and operative contexts.

Related to this, Maker and colleagues specifically evaluated whether port-site resection is necessary in gallbladder cancer management and found insufficient support for routine prophylactic port-site resection as a universal measure (Maker et al., 2012). This is a crucial result because it tempers the intuitive appeal of aggressive local excision. If port-site metastasis were simply the result of direct local contamination, prophylactic resection might appear obviously necessary. However, the literature suggests a more nuanced reality: some implantation risk may already reflect systemic or biologic dissemination potential, and resecting trocar tracts indiscriminately may not improve outcomes in every patient (Maker et al., 2012; Ataseven et al., 2016). Therefore, the evidence points toward selective rather than reflexive use of additional surgery.

An eighth result involves the immunologic and environmental dimensions of laparoscopy. Holub's work on the impact of laparoscopic surgery on immune function raises the possibility that minimally invasive procedures are not immunologically neutral events (Holub, 2002). Cheong and colleagues' review of warmed, humidified carbon dioxide insufflation expands this idea by suggesting that the quality of insufflation environment may affect tissue integrity and operative physiology during abdominal surgery (Cheong et al., 2018). Although these studies are not specifically focused on gallbladder carcinoma implantation, they enrich the overall interpretation of port-site metastasis by showing that the laparoscopic environment itself may influence host-tumor interaction. These findings do not prove that standard insufflation causes metastasis. Instead, they suggest that local tissue conditions, inflammatory responses, and surgical environment should be viewed as part of the broader ecology in which dissemination either does or does not occur.

Taken together, the results of the literature synthesis support a unified conclusion: rare hemorrhagic emergencies and oncologic dissemination events share a common pattern of under-recognition followed by disproportionate consequence. In immune

thrombocytopenia, clinicians may focus on visible bleeding and overlook internal catastrophe until symptoms escalate (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Fromke & Schmidt, 1972). In spontaneous hemothorax, diagnostic breadth is essential because the syndrome can progress rapidly and derive from multiple underlying conditions (Patrini et al., 2015). In gallbladder carcinoma, apparently routine biliary disease may conceal aggressive malignancy that is only discovered after surgery, by which point the technical circumstances of laparoscopy become oncologically relevant (Wu et al., 2020; Goetze, 2015). In port-site metastasis, the literature resists simplistic explanation and instead supports a model in which tumor aggressiveness, wound conditions, immune modulation, and operative technique all interact (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Ataseven et al., 2016).

DISCUSSION

The literature synthesized in this review supports a broader interpretation of perioperative risk than is often adopted in discipline-specific practice. What unites immune thrombocytopenia-associated internal bleeding, spontaneous hemothorax, incidental gallbladder carcinoma, and port-site metastasis is not a shared organ system but a shared clinical logic: serious complications frequently emerge at the boundary between what is common enough to seem routine and what is rare enough to escape structured anticipation. This boundary is where modern medicine often struggles most. Standard pathways are built for probability, but the clinician's greatest challenge often comes from consequence rather than frequency.

The case of immune thrombocytopenia illustrates this vividly. In modern clinical discussions, immune thrombocytopenia is often introduced as a disease defined by immune-mediated platelet destruction and variable bleeding manifestations (Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). Yet the term itself can unintentionally domesticate the seriousness of the disorder, because platelet diseases are sometimes mentally categorized as disorders of bruising, epistaxis, or mucosal bleeding rather than internal catastrophe. The reviewed literature resists this simplification. Internal bleeding, though infrequent, is precisely the manifestation that determines urgency, prognosis, and therapeutic aggressiveness (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017). The historical report of hemothorax in idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura disrupts any tendency to overlocalize thrombocytopenic bleeding to superficial tissues (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972). It demonstrates that when hemostatic reserve is sufficiently compromised, the pleural cavity may become the site of accumulation severe enough to threaten respiratory and circulatory stability.

This has theoretical implications beyond hematology. It suggests that clinicians should distinguish between statistical commonness and pathophysiologic possibility. A manifestation does not need to be common to be central to disease understanding. In fact, rare manifestations often reveal the outer limits of a disorder's biology. Hemothorax in immune thrombocytopenia shows that platelet-mediated hemostatic failure is not confined by the usual visible bleeding map. Such cases challenge a complacent interpretation of thrombocytopenia and support a more layered clinical reasoning process in which new dyspnea, pleuritic symptoms, or unexplained anemia in a thrombocytopenic patient triggers immediate investigation for internal hemorrhage rather than assumption of minor bleeding alone (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025).

The literature on spontaneous hemothorax further sharpens this point by showing how dangerous it is to let rarity reduce urgency. Patrini and colleagues describe spontaneous hemothorax as a multifactorial thoracic emergency, a framing that is especially valuable because it prevents premature closure around a single cause (Patrini et al., 2015). When clinicians encounter pleural blood without obvious trauma, the differential diagnosis must remain broad, not narrow. The historical literature enriches rather than weakens this conclusion. Pitt's account of rapidly fatal hemo-pneumothorax and Dicara's report of spontaneous hemothorax show that the syndrome's severity has been recognized across eras even when diagnostic sophistication varied (Pitt, 1899; Dicara, 1955). This persistence across time indicates that the central problem is not lack of awareness in any one period but the intrinsic difficulty of recognizing rare emergencies before they destabilize the patient.

A further point emerges here: spontaneous hemothorax exemplifies the distinction between cause and event. The event is blood in the pleural cavity; the cause may be vascular fragility, pulmonary rupture, coagulopathy, neoplasia, or hematologic disease (Patrini et al., 2015). In clinical practice, however, there is a temptation to conflate the two and treat the event as if it explained itself. The reviewed literature argues against this habit. Pleural blood is diagnostically meaningful only when interpreted in relation to its source. This is where blood dyscrasias regain importance. Although modern thoracic practice may naturally emphasize structural lesions, the older and more specific hematologic reports remind us that altered blood physiology can itself be causal rather than merely contributory (Freedman et al., 1943;

Fromke & Schmidt, 1972).

When the discussion turns to gallbladder carcinoma, a parallel problem appears in different form. Here the danger lies not in hemorrhagic under-recognition but in oncologic under-suspicion. Gallbladder symptoms are common, while gallbladder cancer is comparatively uncommon. As a result, the default diagnostic pathway frequently privileges benign explanations such as stones, biliary colic, or chronic cholecystitis. The literature indicates that this default is understandable but potentially costly (Goetze, 2015; Kanthan et al., 2015). Gallbladder cancer tends to be aggressive, and prognosis is closely tied to stage at diagnosis. Therefore, every delay in suspicion compresses the window for curative intervention (Goetze, 2015). Hsing and colleagues' population-based evidence linking gallstones to biliary tract cancer is especially important because it complicates the simplistic notion that gallstones and malignancy occupy separate categories (Hsing et al., 2007). In some patients, chronic stone-related inflammation may be part of the carcinogenic story rather than an unrelated benign backdrop.

This creates a difficult clinical paradox. Because most gallstone disease is benign, clinicians and surgeons cannot reasonably treat every symptomatic gallbladder as presumptive cancer. Yet because some cancers arise within that benign-appearing population, a subset of patients will inevitably undergo surgery without prior oncologic planning. The literature on incidental gallbladder cancer is, in essence, the record of that paradox materializing in practice (Wu et al., 2020; Qudeer et al., 2021). Incidental diagnosis is not just a pathologic surprise; it is a systems phenomenon arising from epidemiology, symptom overlap, and the operational assumptions of biliary surgery.

This is where minimally invasive surgery becomes both beneficial and vulnerable. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy transformed biliary surgery through reduced pain, shorter hospitalization, and faster recovery. Yet the reviewed literature shows that when malignancy is present but unsuspected, the very features that make laparoscopy attractive may complicate oncologic control. Instrument exchanges, gallbladder perforation, bile leakage, specimen extraction, and the physiologic conditions of pneumoperitoneum may create opportunities for tumor cell dissemination that are less emphasized in routine benign surgery (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2020). This does not mean that laparoscopy is inherently oncologically unsound. Rather, it means that operative methods are always context-dependent. A technique optimized for benign disease may require different precautions when malignancy is possible or confirmed.

The concept of port-site metastasis has historically generated substantial concern because it seems to symbolize a violation of oncologic containment. Tumor appearing at trocar sites suggests that the entry points of minimally invasive surgery have become biologically active zones of implantation. However, the reviewed literature discourages simplistic explanations. Paolucci et al. documented tumor seeding following laparoscopy through survey-based observations, while Ramirez et al. discussed multiple etiologic pathways and preventive approaches (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003). The recurrent theme is multifactoriality. Tumor cells may be transported mechanically. They may also be influenced by gas turbulence, wound inflammation, local immune changes, and the biologic aggressiveness of the primary tumor. If a tumor is highly disseminating by nature, the port site may become just one of several metastatic expressions rather than a uniquely technical accident (Ataseven et al., 2016).

This interpretation matters because it changes how prevention is conceptualized. If port-site metastasis were solely the consequence of poor surgical handling, then improved technique alone would fully solve the problem. If it were solely the product of aggressive tumor biology, then local preventive measures would be irrelevant. The literature instead indicates that both views are incomplete. Technique matters. Biology matters. Environmental conditions of surgery matter. Local wound receptivity matters. Prevention, therefore, cannot be reduced to a single maneuver but must be understood as layered risk reduction (Ramirez et al., 2003; Holub, 2002; Cheong et al., 2018).

The experimental studies included in this review are particularly interesting because they reveal the surgical imagination at work in confronting metastatic risk. Intraperitoneal heparin, taurolidine-based strategies, and topical port-site treatments all reflect the same underlying hypothesis: the microenvironment of implantation can be altered (Neuhaus et al., 1999; Braumann et al., 2000; Eshraghi et al., 1999). These interventions suggest that port-site metastasis is not merely a binary phenomenon of exposure or no exposure. It may also depend on whether exposed cells encounter conditions favorable to adherence, survival, and local growth. Such reasoning aligns with contemporary understandings of metastasis as a process requiring not only tumor cell presence but also receptive biologic terrain. Even so, the reviewed evidence does not justify overstatement. Experimental feasibility does not automatically translate into universal clinical effectiveness.

The same caution applies to prophylactic port-site resection. Intuitively, removing trocar tracts may seem

prudent once incidental gallbladder cancer is identified. Yet Maker et al. provide an important corrective by questioning the necessity of routine port-site resection in gallbladder cancer management (Maker et al., 2012). Their contribution is significant not only for the practical issue it addresses but also for the conceptual maturity it reflects. It acknowledges that more intervention is not always better intervention. When metastasis risk is biologically systemic or when port-site implantation is not the dominant determinant of outcome, prophylactic resection may add morbidity without commensurate survival benefit. This finding reinforces a broader principle relevant across surgical oncology: interventions should target the dominant mechanism of risk rather than the most visible manifestation of concern.

Another valuable dimension of the reviewed literature is the role of immune and tissue environment in laparoscopy. Holub's discussion of the impact of laparoscopic surgery on immune function suggests that minimally invasive access may influence host response in ways that are biologically meaningful (Holub, 2002). Cheong et al. extend this reasoning by discussing warmed, humidified carbon dioxide during abdominal surgery, highlighting that the insufflation environment affects tissue physiology and may influence operative outcomes beyond mere visualization quality (Cheong et al., 2018). When these insights are brought into conversation with port-site metastasis literature, they suggest that the laparoscopic field is not simply a mechanical space. It is a biologically conditioned environment in which tissue trauma, desiccation, inflammation, and gas-mediated effects may all shape postoperative behavior of cells, including malignant ones.

This broader perspective also helps explain why incidental gallbladder cancer remains so challenging. The problem is not only diagnostic. It is also procedural and temporal. By the time pathology reveals malignancy, the key intraoperative moments that might have limited contamination have already passed. This retrospective vulnerability makes incidental cancer uniquely difficult. Surgeons are judged oncologically for operations that were not originally conducted under oncologic assumptions. The lesson is not to blame routine practice retrospectively, but to refine thresholds of suspicion prospectively. Patients with risk factors, suspicious imaging, unusual intraoperative findings, difficult dissections, or gallbladder wall abnormalities may warrant a more guarded approach even when the preoperative label is "benign" (Goetze, 2015; Kanthan et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020).

An equally important interpretive issue is the relationship between guideline-oriented care and rare-event vigilance. Benson et al. provide structured guidance on hepatobiliary cancers, and such frameworks are indispensable for standardization (Benson et al., 2014). Yet rare complications often challenge guidelines because they occupy the margins of the evidence base. Hemothorax in immune thrombocytopenia is unlikely to generate large trials. Port-site metastasis, especially in specific incidental cancer contexts, is difficult to reduce to universal protocols because tumor biology and operative circumstances vary widely. This means that clinical excellence requires not only adherence to guidelines but also the cultivated ability to detect when a patient falls outside the assumptions on which guidelines are built.

The limitations of the present review arise primarily from the composition of the source material. The included references span long historical periods and multiple evidence types. Some are case reports or narrative reviews rather than high-level comparative studies. Therefore, the synthesis should not be read as a substitute for disease-specific practice guidelines or contemporary comprehensive systematic reviews. In addition, because the literature was restricted to the provided references, certain potentially relevant themes such as detailed modern risk stratification models in immune thrombocytopenia or newer laparoscopic oncologic techniques could not be explored beyond the supplied material. Nonetheless, the strength of the review lies in its conceptual integration. By remaining faithful to the selected literature, it illuminates relationships that are often lost when disciplines remain siloed.

Future research should move in several directions. In hematology and thoracic medicine, more systematic characterization of rare internal bleeding manifestations in immune thrombocytopenia would help clarify predictors of severe non-mucosal hemorrhage (Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025). In thoracic emergency care, prospective registries of spontaneous hemothorax could improve etiologic classification and inform more rapid diagnostic pathways (Patrini et al., 2015). In hepatobiliary oncology, further work is needed on improving preoperative suspicion for gallbladder carcinoma among patients presenting with apparently routine biliary disease, especially in populations with a high prevalence of gallstones or gallbladder wall pathology (Hsing et al., 2007; Halaseh et al., 2022). In surgical oncology, future studies should continue evaluating which aspects of port-site metastasis reflect operative factors versus intrinsic tumor aggressiveness, because that distinction has direct implications for

prevention and reoperation strategy (Ataseven et al., 2016; Maker et al., 2012).

A final interpretive lesson emerges from examining these literatures together. Medicine often divides complications into those that are expected and those that are exceptional. Yet from the patient's perspective, rarity offers no protection once the complication occurs. The intellectual task of the clinician is therefore to maintain dual awareness: respect for what is common enough to shape standard practice and alertness to what is uncommon enough to escape it. Immune thrombocytopenia warns against trivializing bleeding disorders. Spontaneous hemothorax warns against delaying response to rare thoracic hemorrhage. Gallbladder carcinoma warns against assuming benignity too confidently in chronic biliary disease. Port-site metastasis warns against separating minimally invasive success from oncologic principle. Together, these literatures advocate for a form of clinical vigilance that is neither alarmist nor routine-bound, but proportionate to the severity of what may otherwise be missed.

CONCLUSION

The reviewed literature demonstrates that hemorrhagic emergencies and oncologic dissemination events, though arising in different specialties, are linked by a common clinical pattern of under-recognition followed by major consequence. Immune thrombocytopenia is a heterogeneous disorder in which severe internal bleeding, including hemothorax, may occur even though mucocutaneous symptoms are more familiar in routine teaching (Fromke & Schmidt, 1972; Lambert & Gernsheimer, 2017; Justiz Vaillant & Gupta, 2025). Spontaneous hemothorax remains a rare but potentially fatal condition that demands broad etiologic thinking and rapid management, particularly when blood dyscrasias or occult thoracic pathology are possible contributors (Freedman et al., 1943; Patrini et al., 2015). Gallbladder carcinoma is an aggressive malignancy often hidden within the clinical landscape of symptomatic gallstone disease, and its incidental detection after laparoscopic cholecystectomy creates distinctive management challenges (Goetze, 2015; Hsing et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2020). Port-site metastasis, in turn, represents a multifactorial complication shaped by tumor biology, wound susceptibility, and operative conditions rather than by a single technical failure (Paolucci et al., 1999; Ramirez et al., 2003; Ataseven et al., 2016).

A major implication of this synthesis is that surgical and perioperative medicine should not treat uncommon complications as peripheral simply because they are infrequent. The rarity of a complication often increases, rather than decreases, the importance of careful suspicion because routine pathways are less likely to detect it promptly. The literature supports a clinically integrated approach in which preoperative assessment, intraoperative technique, specimen handling, postoperative pathology review, and early escalation are all understood as connected safeguards. For thrombocytopenic patients, internal bleeding must remain part of the differential when symptoms suggest concealed hemorrhage. For biliary surgery, the possibility of occult malignancy should shape histopathologic vigilance and careful operative handling. For oncologic laparoscopy, preventive thinking should remain nuanced, selective, and responsive to tumor context rather than driven by one-size-fits-all assumptions.

Ultimately, the reviewed evidence advocates for a mature model of clinical judgment: one that respects routine efficiency but remains ready to depart from routine when biology, presentation, or operative findings demand it. Such judgment is essential for reducing both hemorrhagic catastrophe and oncologic compromise in contemporary surgical practice.

REFERENCES

1. Ataseven, B., Grimm, C., Harter, P., Heikaus, S., Heitz, F., Traut, A., et al. (2016). Prognostic impact of port-site metastasis after diagnostic laparoscopy for epithelial ovarian cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 23(Suppl 5), 834–840.
2. Benson, A. B., D'Angelica, M. I., Abrams, T. A., Are, C., Bloomston, P. M., Chang, D. T., et al. (2014). Hepatobiliary cancers, version 2.2014. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 12(8), 1152–1182.
3. Braumann, C., Ordemann, J., Wildbrett, P., & Jacobi, C. A. (2000). Influence of intraperitoneal and systemic application of taurolidine and taurolidine/heparin during laparoscopy on tumour growth in rats. Clinical & Experimental Metastasis, 18(7), 547–552.
4. Cheong, J. Y., Keshava, A., Witting, P., & Young, C. J. (2018). Effects of intraoperative insufflation with warmed, humidified CO_2 during abdominal surgery: A review. Annals of Coloproctology, 34(3), 125–137.
5. Dicara, L. V. (1955). A rare case of spontaneous hemothorax. American Review of Tuberculosis, 71(5), 755–761.
6. Eshraghi, N., Swanstrom, L. L., Bax, T., Jobe, B., Horvath, K., Sheppard, B., et al. (1999). Topical treatments of laparoscopic port sites can decrease incision metastasis. Surgical Endoscopy, 13(11),

1121–1124.

7. Freedman, P., Levine, S., & Solis-Cohen, L. (1943). Hemothorax in blood dyscrasias. American Journal of Medical Sciences, 205, 692.
8. Fromke, V. L., & Schmidt, W. R. (1972). Hemothorax in idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 63(6), 962–967.
9. Goetze, T. O. (2015). Gallbladder carcinoma: Prognostic factors and therapeutic options. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 21(43), 12211–12217.
10. Halaseh, S. A., Halaseh, S., & Shakman, R. (2022). A review of the etiology and epidemiology of gallbladder cancer. Cureus, 14(8), e28260.
11. Holub, Z. (2002). Impact of laparoscopic surgery on immune function. Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology, 29(2), 77–81.
12. Hsing, A. W., Gao, Y. T., Han, T. Q., Rashid, A., Sakoda, L. C., Wang, B. S., et al. (2007). Gallstones and the risk of biliary tract cancer: A population-based study in China. British Journal of Cancer, 97(11), 1577–1582.
13. Justiz Vaillant, A. A., & Gupta, N. (2025). Immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). StatPearls Publishing.
14. Kanthan, R., Senger, J. L., Ahmed, S., & Kanthan, S. C. (2015). Gallbladder cancer in the 21st century. Journal of Oncology, 2015, 967472.
15. Kayal, L., Jayachandran, S., & Singh, K. (2014). Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. Contemporary Clinical Dentistry, 5(3), 410–414.
16. Lambert, M. P., & Gernsheimer, T. B. (2017). Clinical updates in adult immune thrombocytopenia. Blood, 129(21), 2829–2835.
17. Maker, A. V., Butte, J. M., Oxenberg, J., Kuk, D., Gonen, M., Fong, Y., et al. (2012). Is port site resection necessary in gallbladder cancer management? Annals of Surgical Oncology, 19(2), 409–417.
18. Neuhaus, S. J., Ellis, T., Jamieson, G. G., & Watson, D. I. (1999). Effect of intraperitoneal heparin on tumour implantation following laparoscopy. British Journal of Surgery, 86(3), 400–404.
19. Paolucci, V., Schaeff, B., Schneider, M., & Gutt, C. (1999). Tumor seeding following laparoscopy: International survey. World Journal of Surgery, 23(10), 989–995.
20. Patrini, D., Panagiotopoulos, N., Pararajasingham, J., Gvinianidze, L., Iqbal, Y., & Lawrence, D. R. (2015). Etiology and management of spontaneous haemothorax. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 7(3), 520–526.
21. Pitt, G. N. (1899). A case of rapidly fatal hemo-pneumothorax due to rupture of an emphysematous bulla. Transactions of the Clinical Society of London, 33, 95.
22. Qudeer, M. A., Naqi, S. A., Sarwar, M. Z., & Mujahid, H. A. (2021). Frequency of gall bladder carcinoma in cholecystectomies. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association, 71(2), 779.
23. Ramirez, P. T., Wolf, J. K., & Levenback, C. (2003). Laparoscopic port-site metastases: Etiology and prevention. Gynecologic Oncology, 91(1), 179–189.
24. Wu, X., Li, B., Zheng, C., Liu, W., Hong, T., & He, X. (2020). Incidental gallbladder cancer after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Incidence, management, and prognosis. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 16(3), 158–164.