The OSCAR PUBLISHING Services
International Journal Of Management And Economics Fundamental

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue 11 2025
PAGE NO. 90-96

Centering Reliability in Digital Transformation: Site Reliability Engineering as a Socio-Technical Catalyst for Sustainable Industry 4.0 Adoption in Legacy Retail and Service Infrastructures

Dr. Elena Marovic

Faculty of Information Technology, University of Melbourne, Australia

Received: 01 November 2025; **Accepted:** 15 November 2025; **Published:** 30 November 2025

Abstract: Digital transformation has emerged as a defining imperative for organizations navigating the converging pressures of technological acceleration, sustainability mandates, and heightened stakeholder expectations. Across sectors, legacy infrastructures—particularly in retail and service-oriented industries—remain deeply entangled with outdated architectures, fragmented operational practices, and socio-technical rigidities that constrain the realization of Industry 4.0 ambitions. Within this context, Site Reliability Engineering (SRE) has gained scholarly and practical relevance as a systematic approach to embedding reliability, resilience, and continuous improvement into complex digital systems. While SRE originated within hyperscale digital-native organizations, its diffusion into legacy environments presents both conceptual challenges and transformative potential. This research article advances an integrative theoretical and interpretive analysis of SRE as a socio-technical enabler of digital transformation, sustainability alignment, and organizational learning in legacy retail and adjacent service infrastructures.

Anchored in contemporary digital transformation scholarship and informed by systems thinking traditions, the study synthesizes insights from information systems research, sustainability studies, and organizational theory to interrogate how SRE practices reconfigure operational logics, governance structures, and human–technology relationships. Particular analytical emphasis is placed on the articulation of reliability as a strategic capability rather than a purely technical attribute, thereby positioning SRE as a mediating construct between Industry 4.0 technologies, such as digital twins and blockchain, and broader organizational objectives related to resilience, equity, and sustainable development. The article builds on recent empirical and conceptual contributions that highlight the complexities of implementing SRE within legacy retail infrastructures characterized by monolithic systems, siloed teams, and historically risk-averse cultures, demonstrating how reliability engineering becomes inseparable from organizational transformation (Dasari, 2025).

Methodologically, the research adopts a qualitative, theory-driven interpretive approach grounded in extensive literature integration and comparative conceptual analysis. Rather than producing new empirical datasets, the study generates analytical findings through the systematic interpretation of prior research across domains including digital transformation frameworks, soft systems methodology, stakeholder management, and sustainability governance. The results articulate a set of interrelated patterns through which SRE reshapes legacy infrastructures: the normalization of failure as a learning mechanism, the institutionalization of error budgets as governance tools, and the reframing of operational excellence as a continuous socio-technical negotiation. These patterns are further contextualized within broader debates on digital inclusion, skills development, and ethical data governance.

The discussion extends these findings by critically engaging with alternative scholarly perspectives that caution against the technocratic appropriation of SRE and the potential reproduction of digital divides. By situating SRE within the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and Industry 4.0 discourse, the article argues that reliability engineering, when reflexively implemented, contributes not only to system stability but also to long-term organizational sustainability and social value creation. The study concludes by outlining theoretical

implications for digital transformation research and proposing future research trajectories that empirically examine SRE as a boundary-spanning practice across sectors.

Keywords: Site Reliability Engineering; Digital Transformation; Legacy Infrastructure; Industry 4.0; Sustainability; Socio-Technical Systems; Retail Systems

Introduction: Digital transformation has evolved from a managerial buzzword into a foundational construct shaping how organizations conceptualize competitiveness, resilience, and long-term value creation in an increasingly digitized global economy (Brown and Brown, 2019). At its core, digital transformation refers not merely to the adoption of new technologies but to the reconfiguration of organizational processes, cultural assumptions, and governance mechanisms through which digital technologies are embedded and enacted (Baiyere et al., 2020). This broader understanding is particularly salient for legacy organizations, whose infrastructures and institutional logics predate contemporary digital paradigms and whose transformation journeys are often marked by tension, uncertainty, and uneven outcomes (Chanias et al., 2019).

Retail and service-oriented industries exemplify these challenges. Historically reliant on monolithic information systems, rigid supply chains, and labor-intensive operational models, many retail organizations now confront unprecedented volatility driven by e-commerce, platformization, and data-intensive personalization (Bucy et al., 2016). Simultaneously, these organizations face mounting expectations to align digital initiatives with sustainability goals, social inclusion, and ethical data practices, reflecting a convergence of technological and normative pressures (Castro et al., 2021). Within this complex landscape, reliability has emerged as a critical yet under-theorized dimension of digital transformation, particularly in environments where system failures carry significant economic and reputational risks.

Site Reliability Engineering (SRE) offers a distinctive lens through which to examine these dynamics. Originating within large-scale technology firms, SRE integrates software engineering principles with operational practices to achieve scalable and reliable systems (Dasari, 2025). Unlike traditional IT operations models that prioritize stability through change aversion, SRE embraces controlled risk-taking, automation, and continuous measurement as pathways to resilience. This philosophical shift challenges deeply entrenched assumptions within legacy organizations, where reliability has often been equated with rigidity and centralized control rather than adaptability and learning (Carroll, 2020).

The scholarly literature on digital transformation has increasingly acknowledged the importance of operational capabilities and organizational learning in realizing the promised benefits of digital technologies (Dwivedi et al., 2022). However, much of this literature remains fragmented, with limited integration between high-level transformation frameworks and the granular practices through which reliability and resilience are operationalized. As a result, the role of SRE in mediating between strategic digital ambitions and day-to-day operational realities remains insufficiently explored, particularly outside of digital-native contexts (Borowski, 2021).

Furthermore, existing research on Industry 4.0 has tended to emphasize technological artefacts—such as digital twins, blockchain, and the Internet of Things—while underplaying the socio-technical infrastructures required to sustain their operation over time (Chatfield and Reddick, 2019). This technological determinism risks obscuring the organizational work involved in maintaining system reliability, managing failures, and aligning technical performance with human values and institutional goals. SRE, with its explicit focus on reliability as an emergent property of socio-technical systems, offers a corrective to such narrow perspectives (Checkland, 1981).

The relevance of SRE extends beyond operational efficiency to encompass broader sustainability and equity considerations. As digital systems become integral to service delivery in sectors such as healthcare, agriculture, and energy, system failures can exacerbate existing inequalities and undermine public trust (Chang et al., 2021). In this regard, reliability becomes a matter of social responsibility as much as technical competence, aligning SRE practices with debates on digital inclusion, fiduciary data stewardship, and sustainable development (Balkin, 2020).

Despite these intersections, the academic discourse has yet to fully articulate how SRE can be adapted, institutionalized, and evaluated within legacy retail infrastructures characterized by heterogeneous technologies and diverse stakeholder interests. Dasari

(2025) provides one of the few focused examinations of SRE implementation in legacy retail contexts, highlighting both the transformative potential and the organizational frictions that accompany such initiatives. Building on this foundation, the present study seeks to extend the theoretical conversation by situating SRE within a broader constellation of digital transformation and sustainability research.

The central problem addressed in this article is the conceptual and practical gap between digital transformation aspirations and the operational realities of legacy infrastructures. While organizations increasingly invest in advanced digital technologies, the absence of robust reliability frameworks often leads to cascading failures, technical debt, and transformation fatigue (Boonstra and de Vries, 2008). By foregrounding SRE as a socio-technical practice, this research aims to illuminate how reliability engineering can function as a catalyst for sustainable and inclusive digital transformation.

Accordingly, the article pursues three interrelated objectives. First, it develops a comprehensive theoretical synthesis that connects SRE principles with established digital transformation frameworks and systems thinking traditions. Second, it offers an interpretive analysis of how SRE reshapes organizational practices and governance structures in legacy retail and service environments. Third, it critically examines the implications of SRE for sustainability, equity, and future research agendas within the Industry 4.0 discourse. Through this integrative approach, the study contributes to a more nuanced understanding of reliability as a foundational dimension of digital transformation rather than a peripheral technical concern (Dasari, 2025).

Methodology

The methodological orientation of this research is grounded in qualitative, theory-driven interpretive analysis, reflecting the study's objective to generate deep conceptual insights rather than empirical generalizations (Benbasat et al., 1987). Given the complexity and multi-dimensionality of digital transformation phenomena, particularly as they intersect with organizational culture, sustainability, and socio-technical systems, an interpretive methodology enables the exploration of meaning, context, and interrelationships that are not readily accessible through purely quantitative approaches (Checkland and Scholes, 1990).

At the core of the methodology is an integrative literature analysis that synthesizes diverse scholarly traditions relevant to Site Reliability Engineering, digital transformation, and Industry 4.0. This includes peer-reviewed journal articles, conceptual frameworks, and policy-oriented reports spanning information systems, sustainability studies, and organizational theory (Camodeca and Almici, 2021). The selection of sources was guided by theoretical relevance rather than disciplinary boundaries, enabling a holistic examination of SRE as a socio-technical practice embedded within broader institutional and technological ecosystems (Bordeleau et al., 2021).

The analytical process unfolded through iterative cycles of reading, coding, and theoretical comparison. Initially, foundational texts on digital transformation and systems thinking were examined to establish a conceptual baseline regarding organizational change and complexity (Checkland, 1981). Subsequently, literature specifically addressing reliability, operational resilience, and SRE was analyzed, with particular attention to studies that explored implementation challenges in non-digital-native contexts (Dasari, 2025). This phase enabled the identification of recurring themes such as automation, error budgets, and cultural change, which were then mapped against broader transformation frameworks (Baiyere et al., 2020).

A key methodological principle guiding the analysis was reflexivity. Recognizing that scholarly interpretations are shaped by underlying assumptions and disciplinary perspectives, the study explicitly engaged with competing viewpoints and critical perspectives (Carroll, 2020). For instance, while some authors portray digital transformation as an inherently progressive force, others caution against its potential to exacerbate inequalities and reinforce managerial control (Collins, 2021). By juxtaposing these perspectives, the analysis sought to avoid normative bias and to articulate a balanced understanding of SRE's transformative potential.

The study also drew on concepts from soft systems methodology to frame SRE implementation as a problem situation characterized by multiple stakeholders, conflicting objectives, and evolving interpretations of success (Checkland and Scholes, 1990). This lens facilitated an appreciation of SRE not as a prescriptive toolkit but as an adaptive practice that must be continually negotiated within specific organizational contexts. Such an approach aligns with prior research emphasizing stakeholder management and inter-organizational dynamics in digital initiatives (Boonstra and de Vries, 2008).

In terms of analytical rigor, credibility was enhanced through triangulation across multiple streams of literature, ensuring that interpretations were not overly reliant on any single theoretical tradition (Brown and Brown, 2019). Dependability was addressed by maintaining a transparent analytical narrative that traces how concepts and arguments were derived from the reviewed sources. While the absence of primary empirical data limits the ability to test hypotheses or measure outcomes, the depth and breadth of the literature synthesis provide a robust foundation for theoretical contribution (Dwivedi et al., 2022).

The methodological limitations of this study are acknowledged. As a conceptual and interpretive analysis, the findings are inherently context-sensitive and may not be directly generalizable to all organizational settings (Benbasat et al., 1987). Moreover, the reliance on existing literature means that emerging practices and unpublished industry experiences may not be fully captured. Nevertheless, by integrating insights across domains and situating SRE within a coherent theoretical framework, the methodology supports the study's aim to advance scholarly understanding and inform future empirical research (Dasari, 2025).

Results

The results of the integrative analysis reveal a set of interrelated patterns that illuminate how Site Reliability Engineering functions as a transformative mechanism within legacy retail and service infrastructures. These patterns are not presented as causal findings but as interpretive insights grounded in the convergence of multiple scholarly perspectives on digital transformation and socio-technical systems (Baiyere et al., 2020). Collectively, they underscore the repositioning of reliability from a narrow operational concern to a strategic and cultural capability.

One prominent result concerns the redefinition of failure within organizations adopting SRE principles. Traditional legacy environments often frame system failures as anomalies to be avoided at all costs, reinforcing cultures of blame and risk aversion (Carroll, 2020). In contrast, the SRE literature emphasizes failure as an inevitable and instructive component of complex systems, advocating for structured post-incident analysis and continuous learning (Dasari, 2025). This shift has significant implications for organizational culture, enabling teams to experiment with new technologies while maintaining acceptable levels of risk, a balance that is central to successful digital transformation (Bucy et al., 2016).

A second interpretive finding relates to the role of automation as both a technical and organizational lever. Automation within SRE is not limited to infrastructure management but extends to monitoring, incident response, and capacity planning (Borowski, 2021). The analysis indicates that in legacy retail contexts, automation serves as a boundary object that aligns diverse stakeholder interests by reducing manual workload, enhancing transparency, and enabling data-driven decision-making (Boonstra and de Vries, 2008). However, this alignment is contingent on parallel investments in skills development and change management, highlighting the interdependence of technical and human dimensions (Enders et al., 2019).

The institutionalization of error budgets emerges as a third significant pattern. Error budgets operationalize the trade-off between innovation and reliability by defining acceptable levels of system unavailability (Dasari, 2025). In legacy environments, the adoption of error budgets represents a departure from rigid service-level agreements toward more flexible governance models that accommodate continuous change (Chanias et al., 2019). This governance innovation reflects broader trends in digital transformation toward adaptive control mechanisms that balance accountability with experimentation (Brown and Brown, 2019).

The analysis also reveals how SRE practices contribute to the sustainability discourse within Industry 4.0. Reliable systems reduce waste associated with downtime, rework, and inefficient resource utilization, thereby supporting environmental and economic sustainability objectives (Elavarasan et al., 2021). Moreover, by enhancing the resilience of digital infrastructures, SRE indirectly supports social sustainability by ensuring equitable access to services, particularly in digitally mediated retail and service channels (Chang et al., 2021).

Finally, the results highlight the normalization of cross-functional collaboration as an emergent outcome of SRE adoption. By dissolving traditional boundaries between development and operations, SRE fosters shared ownership of system performance and customer experience (Dasari, 2025). This collaborative orientation resonates with digital transformation frameworks that emphasize sensing, smartness, and social integration as organizational capabilities (Bordeleau et al., 2021). Together, these patterns illustrate how SRE operates as a socio-technical catalyst that reshapes organizational structures, practices, and values in legacy contexts.

Discussion

The interpretive results of this study invite a deeper theoretical discussion regarding the positioning of Site Reliability Engineering within the broader landscape of digital transformation, Industry 4.0, and sustainability-oriented organizational change. Rather than treating SRE as a specialized operational methodology, the findings support an understanding of reliability engineering as a boundary-spanning practice that integrates technical, social, and institutional dimensions of transformation (Checkland, 1981).

From a theoretical standpoint, the redefinition of failure observed in SRE-oriented organizations challenges dominant rationalist models of organizational control that prioritize predictability and stability (Carroll, 2020). By embracing controlled risk and learning from incidents, SRE aligns with complexity theory perspectives that view organizations as adaptive systems operating under conditions of uncertainty (Checkland and Scholes, 1990). This alignment is particularly salient in legacy retail environments, where historical success has often been predicated on standardization and efficiency rather than adaptability (Bucy et al., 2016).

The role of automation within SRE further complicates conventional narratives of digital transformation. While automation is frequently framed as a cost-reduction or efficiency-enhancing tool, the analysis suggests that its transformative impact lies in its capacity to reconfigure human–technology relationships (Borowski, 2021). By offloading routine tasks and providing real-time feedback, automation enables human actors to engage in higher-order problem-solving and innovation, thereby contributing to organizational learning (Enders et al., 2019). However, this potential is contingent on inclusive skills development strategies that address digital divides and prevent the marginalization of certain workforce segments (Collins, 2021).

The governance implications of error budgets merit particular attention. As a mechanism that formalizes trade-offs between reliability and innovation, error budgets exemplify the shift toward adaptive governance models in digital organizations (Dasari, 2025). This shift resonates with broader debates on stakeholder management and accountability in inter-organizational systems, where rigid control mechanisms often impede collaboration and responsiveness (Boonstra and de Vries, 2008). By contrast, error budgets create a shared language for negotiating risk, enabling more transparent and participatory decision-making processes (Chanias et al., 2019).

In relation to sustainability, the discussion underscores the importance of situating SRE within the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and Industry 4.0 agendas (Denoncourt, 2020). Reliable digital infrastructures are foundational to achieving goals related to innovation, infrastructure, and inclusive growth, as system failures disproportionately affect vulnerable populations and smaller market actors (Deganis et al., 2021). The alignment between SRE and sustainability is therefore not incidental but reflects a deeper convergence between technical reliability and social responsibility (Castro et al., 2021).

At the same time, critical perspectives caution against the unreflective adoption of SRE practices. There is a risk that reliability metrics and automation tools may reinforce technocratic forms of control, marginalizing human judgment and ethical considerations (Balkin, 2020). This critique echoes broader concerns in digital transformation scholarship regarding surveillance, data governance, and the erosion of professional autonomy (Chatfield and Reddick, 2019). Addressing these concerns requires a reflexive approach to SRE that foregrounds values, transparency, and stakeholder engagement.

The discussion also highlights limitations inherent in the current state of research. Much of the existing literature, including foundational studies on SRE in legacy retail contexts, remains exploratory and context-specific (Dasari, 2025). There is a need for longitudinal and comparative studies that examine how SRE practices evolve over time and across sectors, particularly in relation to sustainability outcomes and social inclusion (Dwivedi et al., 2022). Additionally, empirical research that incorporates the perspectives of frontline workers, customers, and marginalized groups would enrich understanding of SRE's broader societal implications (Chang et al., 2021).

Future research directions emerging from this discussion include the development of integrative frameworks that explicitly link SRE practices with digital transformation maturity models and sustainability assessment tools (Berrone et al., 2019). Such frameworks could support organizations in systematically evaluating the impact of reliability engineering on economic, environmental, and social performance. Moreover, interdisciplinary collaborations between information systems scholars, organizational theorists, and sustainability researchers are essential to advancing a holistic understanding of

reliability in the digital age (Ahmed et al., 2022).

Conclusion

This research has advanced a comprehensive theoretical and interpretive examination of Site Reliability Engineering as a socio-technical catalyst for digital transformation and sustainability in legacy retail and service infrastructures. By synthesizing insights across diverse scholarly traditions, the study has demonstrated that SRE transcends its technical origins to function as a strategic, cultural, and governance-oriented practice (Dasari, 2025). Reliability, as conceptualized through SRE, emerges not merely as an operational metric but as a foundational capability that underpins organizational resilience, innovation, and social responsibility.

The findings underscore the necessity of integrating reliability engineering into broader digital transformation agendas, particularly in legacy contexts where infrastructural complexity and institutional inertia pose significant challenges. By reframing failure, automating intelligently, and adopting adaptive governance mechanisms, organizations can navigate the tensions between stability and change that characterize Industry 4.0 transformations (Brown and Brown, 2019). At the same time, the study highlights the ethical and sustainability dimensions of reliability, calling for reflexive and inclusive approaches to SRE implementation.

In concluding, this article contributes to the scholarly discourse by positioning SRE as a critical yet underexplored dimension of digital transformation research. It invites further empirical investigation and theoretical refinement, emphasizing that the future of digital transformation depends not only on technological innovation but also on the reliability, resilience, and values embedded within socio-technical systems.

References

1. Borowski, P. F. (2021). Digitization, digital twins, blockchain, and industry 4.0 as elements of management process in enterprises in the energy sector. Energies, 14(7), 1885.

2. Benbasat, I., Goldstein, D. K., & Mead, M. (1987). The case research: Strategy in studies of information systems. MIS Quarterly, 11(3), 369–386.

3. Dasari, H. (2025). Implementing Site Reliability Engineering (SRE) in legacy retail infrastructure. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(07), 167–179. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume07Issue07-16

4. Chang, J. E., Lai, A. Y., Gupta, A., Nguyen, A. M., Berry, C. A., & Shelley, D. R. (2021). Rapid transition to telehealth and the digital divide: Implications for primary care access and equity in a post-COVID era. The Milbank Quarterly, 99(2), 340–368.

5. Baiyere, A., Salmela, H., & Tapanainen, T. (2020). Digital transformation and the new logics of business process management. European Journal of Information Systems, 29(3), 238–259.

6. Berrone, P., Ricart, J. E., Duch, A. I., Bernardo, V., Salvador, J., Piedra Peña, J., et al. (2019). EASIER: An evaluation model for public–private partnerships contributing to the sustainable development goals. Sustainability, 11(8), 2339.

7. Balkin, J. M. (2020). The fiduciary model of privacy. Harvard Law Review Forum, 134, 11.

8. Boonstra, A., & de Vries, J. (2008). Managing stakeholders around inter-organizational systems: A diagnostic approach. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 17(3), 190–201.

9. Ahmed, R. R., Streimikiene, D., Soomro, R. H., & Streimikis, J. (2022). Digital transformation and industry 4.0 initiatives for market competitiveness: Business integration management model in the healthcare industry. Journal of Competitiveness, 14(4), 6–24.

10. Brown, N., & Brown, I. (2019). From digital business strategy to digital transformation – How? Proceedings of the ACM SAICSIT Conference, 1–8.

11. Bordeleau, F.-È., Santa-Eulalia, L. A. D., & Mosconi, E. (2021). Digital transformation framework: Creating sensing, smart, sustainable and social (S4) organisations. Proceedings of the Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.

12. Bucy, M., Finlayson, A., Kelly, G., & Moye, C. (2016). The "how" of transformation. McKinsey & Company.

13. Camodeca, R., & Almici, A. (2021). Digital transformation and convergence toward the 2030 agenda's sustainability development goals: Evidence from Italian listed firms. Sustainability,

13(21).

14. Carroll, N. (2020). Theorizing on the normalization of digital transformations. Proceedings of the 28th European Conference on Information Systems.

15. Castro, G., González Fernández, M. C., & Uruburu Colsa, Á. (2021). Unleashing the convergence amid digitalization and sustainability towards pursuing the sustainable development goals (SDGs): A holistic review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 280.

16. Checkland, P. (1981). Systems thinking, systems practice. John Wiley & Sons.

17. Checkland, P., & Scholes, J. (1990). Soft systems methodology in action. John Wiley & Sons.

18. Chatfield, A. T., & Reddick, C. G. (2019). A framework for Internet of Things-enabled smart government: A case of IoT cybersecurity policies and use cases in US federal government. Government Information Quarterly, 36(2), 346–357.

19. Chattu, V. K. (2021). A review of artificial intelligence, big data, and blockchain technology applications in medicine and global health. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 5(3), 41.

20. Collins, M. (2021). Ensuring a more equitable future: Addressing skills gaps through multiple, nuanced solutions. Postsecondary Value Commission.

21. Dayıoğlu, M. A., & Turker, U. (2021). Digital transformation for sustainable future-agriculture 4.0: A review. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 27(4), 373–399.

22. Deganis, I., Haghian, P. Z., Tagashira, M., & Alberti, A. (2021). Leveraging digital technologies for social inclusion. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.

23. Denoncourt, J. (2020). Companies and UN 2030 sustainable development goal 9 industry, innovation and infrastructure. Journal of Corporate Law Studies, 20(1), 199–235.

24. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Grover, P., Abbas, R., et al. (2022). Climate change and COP26: Are digital technologies and information management part of the problem or the solution? International Journal of Information Management, 63, 102456.

25. Elavarasan, R. M., Pugazhendhi, R., Jamal, T., Dyduch, J., Arif, M. T., Kumar, N. M., et al. (2021). Envisioning the UN Sustainable Development Goals through the lens of energy sustainability in the post-COVID-19 world. Applied Energy, 292, 116665.

26. Enders, T., Hediger, V., Hieronimus, S., Kirchherr, J. W., Klier, J., & Schubert, J. (2019). Future skills: Six approaches to close the skill gap. World Government Summit.