International Journal Of Management And Economics Fundamental

VOLUME Vol.06 Issue01 2026
PAGE NO. 1-4

# Social Networks, Power, And Competitiveness: Institutional Interactions Between Business, State, And Society In Transitional Economies

Aleksei M. Korotkov

Department of Sociology and Economic Studies, National Research University of Economics and Social Development, Kazakhstan

**Received:** 03 November 2025; **Accepted:** 02 December 2025; **Published:** 01 January 2026

**Abstract:** The relationship between business, the state, and society in transitional economies has been a persistent object of scholarly debate across sociology, economics, and political science. This article offers an integrated theoretical and empirical examination of social networks, institutional power relations, competitiveness, and social responsibility within economic systems undergoing structural transformation. Drawing exclusively on classical and post-Soviet scholarly traditions, the study synthesizes concepts from social anthropology, economic clustering theory, small business studies, and analyses of informal economies to construct a comprehensive analytical framework. Particular attention is given to the role of social networks as embedded structures shaping economic behavior, the interaction between formal and informal institutions, and the evolving dialogue between power and business at regional and national levels. Methodologically, the article employs a qualitative-analytical approach based on comparative theoretical interpretation and contextual reading of established research. The results demonstrate that competitiveness and economic modernization cannot be adequately understood without accounting for informal social ties, gift exchange practices, shadow economic mechanisms, and socially negotiated responsibilities between state and business actors. The discussion critically evaluates dominant economic rationalist perspectives and proposes a socio-institutional interpretation emphasizing trust, dialogue, and networked coordination. The article concludes that sustainable development in transitional economies depends on the institutionalization of social dialogue, the constructive integration of informal practices, and the strategic use of clustering to enhance regional competitiveness.

**Keywords:** Social networks, competitiveness, state–business relations, shadow economy, social responsibility, clustering, transitional economies.

**Introduction:** The transformation of economic systems in transitional and post-industrial societies has generated profound changes not only in production structures and market mechanisms but also in the social foundations of economic life. Business activity is no longer viewed exclusively through the lens of formal market transactions; instead, it is increasingly understood as embedded within complex social networks, institutional arrangements, and power relations. This perspective has deep roots in social anthropology and sociology, where early conceptualizations of social networks emphasized their role in structuring human interaction, reciprocity, and collective action (Veselkin, 1976). Over time, these ideas have been expanded and integrated into economic theory, particularly in analyses of competitiveness, regional development, and institutional coordination.

In transitional economies, the interaction between business and the state is particularly complex. The erosion of centrally planned systems and the incomplete consolidation of market institutions have created hybrid economic environments characterized by formal regulations coexisting with informal practices. Small businesses, in particular, often operate at the intersection of legality and informality, navigating regulatory uncertainty, shadow economic mechanisms, and fluctuating state enforcement (Verevkin & Mokretsov, 2005). These conditions intensify the importance of social networks as

mechanisms of trust, information exchange, and risk mitigation.

At the same time, globalization and increased competition have compelled regions and nations to seek new sources of competitiveness. The clustering of economic sectors, as articulated in theories of competitive advantage, has become a central strategy for regional development (Porter, 2000). However, empirical studies suggest that clustering potential cannot be reduced to technical or financial indicators alone; it is deeply influenced by social capital, institutional capacity, and patterns of cooperation between public and private actors (Vinokurova, 2006).

Despite extensive research on these topics, significant gaps remain in the integration of social network theory, institutional analysis, and competitiveness studies within a unified framework. Much of the existing literature treats social networks as either informal residues of underdevelopment or as marginal supplements to formal economic mechanisms. Similarly, discussions of social responsibility often focus on normative prescriptions rather than on the structural conditions shaping state–business relations (Vyshegorodtsev, 2005). This article seeks to address these gaps by developing a comprehensive, theoretically grounded analysis of how social networks, power relations, and competitiveness interact in transitional economies.

The problem addressed in this study is thus twofold. First, how do social networks and informal institutions shape economic behavior, competitiveness, and modernization processes? Second, how can the relationship between business and the state be reconceptualized as a form of social dialogue rather than as a purely regulatory or adversarial interaction? By drawing on interdisciplinary sources and emphasizing theoretical elaboration, this article aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the socio-institutional foundations of economic development.

## Methodology

The methodological approach adopted in this article is qualitative and analytical, grounded in theoretical synthesis and interpretive analysis. Rather than employing quantitative models or empirical datasets, the study relies on an in-depth examination of established scholarly works addressing social networks, economic competitiveness, state–business relations, and informal economies. This approach is particularly appropriate given the article's objective of theoretical integration and conceptual elaboration.

The first methodological step involves a comparative reading of classical social anthropology and contemporary economic sociology. Veselkin's conceptualization of social networks provides a foundational framework for understanding how interpersonal and group relations structure social action beyond formal institutions (Veselkin, 1976). This framework is then juxtaposed with economic theories of competition and clustering, particularly those articulated by Porter, to explore how social embeddedness influences economic performance (Porter, 2000).

The second step consists of contextual analysis of post-Soviet and transitional economy literature. Studies on small business and the shadow economy are examined to identify patterns of informal regulation, adaptive behavior, and state interaction (Verevkin & Mokretsov, 2005). These insights are complemented by analyses of regional competitiveness and investment evaluation, which highlight the institutional and infrastructural dimensions of economic development (Vinokurova, 2006; Abdilakimov, 2009).

The third methodological component involves interpretive synthesis. Concepts such as gift exchange, social responsibility, and social dialogue are analyzed not as isolated phenomena but as interrelated elements of a broader institutional system (Ilyin, 2001; Kulakovsky, 2004; Vyshegorodtsev, 2005). Through this synthesis, the article constructs a holistic framework that links micro-level social interactions with macro-level economic and political structures.

Throughout the analysis, particular attention is paid to theoretical coherence and internal consistency. All claims are grounded in the referenced literature, and counter-arguments are addressed by situating them within alternative theoretical perspectives. The methodology thus prioritizes depth of interpretation, conceptual clarity, and interdisciplinary integration over empirical generalization.

## Results

The analytical synthesis conducted in this study yields several key findings regarding the role of social networks, institutional interactions, and competitiveness in transitional economies. First, social networks emerge as fundamental organizing principles of economic life, shaping access to resources, information, and opportunities. Far from being residual or informal byproducts, these networks function as parallel coordination mechanisms that complement and, in some cases, substitute for formal institutions (Veselkin, 1976).

Second, the analysis reveals that competitiveness at the regional and sectoral levels is deeply embedded in social and institutional contexts. Vinokurova's examination of the clustering potential of economic sectors demonstrates that regions with dense

networks of cooperation, trust, and shared norms are better positioned to develop sustainable competitive advantages (Vinokurova, 2006). This finding aligns with Porter's theoretical emphasis on clusters as systems of interconnected firms and institutions, but it extends the argument by highlighting the social foundations of such systems (Porter, 2000).

Third, the results indicate that the shadow economy is not merely a pathological deviation from formal economic order but a structural response to institutional uncertainty and regulatory gaps. Small businesses often rely on informal practices and networks to navigate complex administrative environments, manage risk, and ensure survival (Verevkin & Mokretsov, 2005). These practices, while legally ambiguous, contribute to economic resilience and adaptability.

Fourth, the relationship between business and the state is shown to be characterized by ambivalence and negotiation rather than simple opposition. Cases of legal prosecution and regulatory enforcement illustrate the coercive dimensions of state power (Vykhokhuleva, 2005), yet parallel processes of social dialogue and partnership indicate the potential for cooperative governance (Kulakovsky, 2004). The effectiveness of such dialogue depends on mutual recognition of social responsibility and institutional accountability (Vyshegorodtsev, 2005).

Finally, the concept of gift exchange provides valuable insight into the moral and symbolic dimensions of economic interaction. Ilyin's analysis underscores that economic transactions are often embedded in systems of reciprocity and obligation that transcend market rationality (Ilyin, 2001). These systems shape expectations of fairness, loyalty, and responsibility within business networks.

## Discussion

The findings of this study challenge reductionist economic interpretations that view competitiveness, regulation, and modernization solely in terms of market efficiency and formal institutions. Instead, they support a socio-institutional perspective emphasizing the embeddedness of economic action in social networks and power relations.

One of the central implications is that policy interventions aimed at enhancing competitiveness must account for existing social structures. Attempts to impose purely formal regulatory frameworks without engaging informal networks risk undermining trust and compliance. This is particularly evident in the context of small business regulation, where excessive enforcement may drive economic activity further into the shadow economy rather than fostering transparency (Verevkin & Mokretsov, 2005).

The discussion also highlights the dual role of the state as both regulator and partner. While legal enforcement remains essential for maintaining order and accountability, sustainable development requires mechanisms of social dialogue that integrate business actors into policy processes (Kulakovsky, 2004). Such dialogue is most effective when grounded in shared understandings of social responsibility, rather than unilateral control (Vyshegorodtsev, 2005).

From a theoretical standpoint, the integration of social network analysis with competitiveness theory offers a more nuanced understanding of clustering. Clusters are not merely geographic concentrations of firms but socially constructed systems sustained by trust, reciprocity, and institutional support (Porter, 2000; Vinokurova, 2006). This insight has important implications for regional development strategies, particularly in economies undergoing structural change.

The study also acknowledges its limitations. The reliance on theoretical synthesis limits the ability to generalize findings across diverse contexts. Future research could build on this framework by incorporating empirical case studies or comparative analyses across regions and sectors. Additionally, the dynamic evolution of digital networks and globalization presents new challenges and opportunities for extending the concepts discussed here.

## Conclusion

This article has advanced a comprehensive theoretical analysis of social networks, competitiveness, and state–business relations in transitional economies. By synthesizing insights from social anthropology, economic sociology, and institutional analysis, it has demonstrated that economic development is fundamentally a social process shaped by networks, power relations, and moral norms.

The central conclusion is that sustainable competitiveness and modernization cannot be achieved through formal economic mechanisms alone. Instead, they require the institutionalization of social dialogue, the constructive integration of informal practices, and the strategic cultivation of regional clusters grounded in social capital. Recognizing the embedded nature of economic action allows for more effective policies and a deeper understanding of the complex realities of transitional economies.

## References

1. Abdilakimov, G. (2009). Technical-economic and financial evaluation of investment projects of the transport system in the conditions of

modernization of the economy. Exchange Expert, 5, 26–28.

2. Ilyin, V. N. (2001). The gift as a social phenomenon. Frontier (Almanac of Social Research), 16–17.
3. Kulakovsky, R. (2004). Power and business: Social dialogue in the regional direction. Problems of Theory and Practice of Management, 6.
4. Porter, M. E. (2000). Competition. Moscow: Williams Publishing House.
5. Verevkin, L., & Mokretsov, K. (2005). Small business and the shadow economy. State Service, 3(35).
6. Veselkin, E. (1976). The concept of a social network in British social anthropology. In Concepts of Foreign Ethnology: Collection of Articles (pp. 125–152). Moscow.
7. Vinokurova, M. V. (2006). Competitiveness and clustering potential of economic sectors of the Irkutsk region. ECO (All-Russian Economic Journal), 12, 73–114.
8. Vykhokhuleva, E. (2005). Business will be prosecuted in German. Profile, 21.
9. Vyshegorodtsev, M. M. (2005). Once again about the direction of the discussion: the social responsibility of the state and business in Russia. Personnel Management, 1, 47–49.