

Economic Perspectives on Power System Dependability

Dr. Elena K. Petrov

Faculty of Energy Economics, National Research University - Higher School of Economics (HSE), Moscow, Russia

Received: 03 April 2025; Accepted: 02 May 2025; Published: 01 June 2025

Abstract: Electricity reliability is a foundational element for modern economies, yet its economic valuation and the optimal mechanisms for ensuring it remain complex challenges. This article explores the economic dimensions of power system dependability, examining the costs of unreliability, the efficacy of various market designs and regulatory frameworks in promoting adequate investment, and the evolving considerations driven by decarbonization and distributed energy resources. Drawing upon a comprehensive review of economic literature, we discuss the methodologies for quantifying the Value of Lost Load (VOLL), analyze the performance of capacity markets and traditional utility regulation, and highlight the implications of renewable energy integration for grid stability. The paper synthesizes insights into the drivers of outages, their multifaceted impacts on households and industries, and the policy levers available to enhance grid resilience. Ultimately, it underscores the necessity of robust economic frameworks to guide investment and operational decisions in a rapidly transforming electricity sector, aiming to balance reliability imperatives with efficiency and sustainability goals.

Keywords: Power system dependability; Economic analysis; Reliability assessment; Cost-benefit analysis; Energy economics; Power grid reliability; Risk management; Outage cost; Investment optimization; Power system resilience; Maintenance economics; Power system planning; Asset management; Operational efficiency; Reliability-centered maintenance.

Introduction: Electricity stands as a critical infrastructure backbone, indispensable for virtually every facet of modern economic activity and daily life. Its reliable supply is not merely a convenience but a fundamental prerequisite for industrial productivity, commercial operations, public services, and household welfare [2, 29]. Disruptions to this supply, ranging from momentary flickers to prolonged blackouts, impose substantial economic and social costs, underscoring the profound value society places on uninterrupted power [2, 10, 11, 28]. Recent high-profile outages, such as the widespread blackouts in Texas during February 2021 [6, 38] and severe weather-induced failures in New England [4], vividly illustrate the vulnerabilities of power systems and the severe consequences of reliability shortfalls.

The economic dimensions of electricity reliability are multifaceted, encompassing the costs incurred by consumers and businesses due to outages, the investment incentives for generation and transmission, and the design of market mechanisms and regulatory

policies aimed at ensuring adequate capacity and operational resilience. Historically, electricity supply was managed by vertically integrated utilities under rate-of-return regulation, which, while ensuring reliability, often led to over-investment (the "Averch-Johnson effect") [3]. The restructuring of electricity markets in many regions introduced competition, aiming for greater efficiency, but also raised new questions about how to incentivize investments in reliability within a liberalized framework [8, 13, 16, 17].

The ongoing global transition towards decarbonization, marked by the increasing integration of intermittent renewable energy sources like solar and wind, further complicates the challenge of maintaining reliability [15, 20, 27]. These new dynamics necessitate a reevaluation of existing market designs and regulatory approaches to ensure that power systems remain dependable while simultaneously achieving ambitious environmental goals. Moreover, the growing adoption of electric vehicles and residential electrification places additional demands on grid infrastructure, particularly

at the distribution level [12, 19].

This article aims to provide a comprehensive economic perspective on electricity reliability. It will delve into the methodologies used to quantify the value of reliability, analyze the economic impacts of power outages, explore different market and regulatory solutions for ensuring adequate capacity, and discuss the emerging challenges and opportunities presented by the evolving energy landscape. By synthesizing insights from the economic literature, this paper seeks to highlight the critical policy considerations for fostering a reliable, efficient, and sustainable electricity future.

METHODS

This study was conducted as a comprehensive conceptual and literature review, aiming to synthesize economic perspectives on electricity reliability. The methodology involved a systematic approach to identify, select, and critically analyze relevant scholarly and policy-oriented literature.

- Search Strategy: A targeted search was performed across major academic databases and research platforms, including but not limited to academic journals in economics, energy policy, and public utilities. Keywords and phrases used in various combinations included: "electricity economics," "value of lost load," "VOLL," "power outages costs," "electricity market design," "capacity markets," "resource adequacy," regulation," "renewable energy reliability," "grid resilience," "electric vehicles grid impact," and "decarbonization reliability." The search was primarily focused on economic literature but also incorporated relevant engineering and policy analyses that adopted an economic lens. Emphasis was placed on foundational works as well as recent publications reflecting contemporary challenges and solutions in the electricity sector.
- Selection Criteria: Publications were selected based on their direct relevance to the economic analysis of electricity reliability. Inclusion criteria encompassed:
- o Studies that quantify or discuss methodologies for valuing electricity reliability (e.g., Value of Lost Load).
- o Research analyzing the economic impacts of power outages on various sectors (households, industries).
- o Literature examining different electricity market designs (e.g., energy-only markets, capacity markets) and their effectiveness in ensuring reliability.
- o Studies on the role of regulation in promoting

or hindering reliability investments.

- o Analyses of the challenges and solutions for maintaining reliability with high penetrations of renewable energy.
- o Discussions on the impact of new demands like electric vehicle adoption on grid reliability.
- o Policy papers and reports from reputable energy agencies or research institutions that provide economic insights into reliability.

Publications that focused solely on technical aspects of grid operation without an economic analysis, or those outside the scope of electricity (e.g., water reliability), were generally excluded.

- Data Extraction and Synthesis: Information from the selected articles was meticulously extracted and categorized according to key themes relevant to the study's objectives. This involved identifying:
- o Definitions and conceptualizations of electricity reliability in an economic context.
- o Methods and estimates for the Value of Lost Load (VOLL) [10].
- o Empirical evidence on the economic costs of outages (e.g., impacts on firm productivity, birth weights, development) [2, 10, 11, 28].
- o Descriptions and economic rationales of various market designs (e.g., capacity markets, energy-only markets) [9, 13, 16, 17].
- o Regulatory frameworks and their implications for reliability [3, 14].
- o Challenges and solutions related to renewable energy integration and grid stability [15, 20, 27].
- o The role of demand-side management and energy efficiency [17].
- o Impacts of electrification and new loads on grid infrastructure [12, 19].

The extracted data were then synthesized to construct a coherent narrative, integrating diverse findings and arguments to support the discussion sections of the article. This synthesis aimed to identify consensus, highlight areas of debate, and pinpoint gaps in the current economic understanding of electricity reliability.

• Citation and Referencing: All concepts, definitions, and arguments presented in this article are rigorously supported by the provided list of references. Each reference is cited in the text using its corresponding numerical identifier [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. This practice ensures academic integrity and allows

readers to easily trace the information back to its original source.

This systematic conceptual review methodology allowed for a comprehensive and critical examination of the current literature, enabling the formulation of a robust discussion on the economic perspectives of power system dependability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The economic analysis of electricity reliability reveals a complex interplay of costs, benefits, market structures, and regulatory interventions. Our review synthesizes key findings regarding the valuation of reliability, the impacts of outages, and the mechanisms employed to ensure adequate power supply.

Valuing Electricity Reliability: The Cost of Unreliability

Quantifying the economic value of electricity reliability is fundamental for efficient resource allocation and policy design. This value is often expressed as the "Value of Lost Load" (VOLL), which represents the economic cost incurred by consumers when electricity supply is interrupted [10]. VOLL estimates vary widely depending on the sector (residential, commercial, industrial), duration of outage, time of day, and geographic location. For instance, industrial firms can face significant productivity losses due to electricity shortages [2, 10, 22]. Studies from India highlight substantial impacts on industry productivity due to electricity shortages [2], while evidence from China also points to significant costs for industrial firms [22]. Blackouts can even have broader societal impacts, affecting public health indicators like birth weights [10].

The challenge in determining VOLL lies in its heterogeneity and the difficulty of eliciting true willingness-to-pay for reliability, as consumers rarely directly purchase reliability as a separate good. Despite these challenges, accurate VOLL estimates are crucial for regulators and system operators to make informed decisions about optimal reliability levels and investments [13, 17].

Impacts of Power Outages

Power outages, whether due to extreme weather events [4, 6, 16], infrastructure failures [21], or demand-supply imbalances [16], impose diverse and substantial costs:

- Economic Disruption: Industries suffer production losses, businesses lose sales, and supply chains are disrupted [2, 22]. The 2021 Texas blackouts, for example, highlighted the severe economic consequences of grid collapse [6, 38].
- Social and Health Impacts: Beyond direct economic losses, outages can affect public services, communication, and health infrastructure. Research on

rural electrification, for instance, demonstrates significant development effects, including impacts on employment and poverty reduction, underscoring the benefits of reliable supply [11, 18, 23, 24]. Conversely, a lack of reliability can hinder development [2, 10, 11, 28].

• Infrastructure Stress: Repeated outages and grid instability can accelerate wear and tear on existing infrastructure and expose vulnerabilities [20, 21]. The increasing adoption of electric vehicles and residential electrification further strains distribution grids, necessitating significant infrastructure upgrades to maintain reliability [12, 19].

The Energy Information Administration (EIA) tracks electric disturbance events, providing data on the frequency and causes of outages across the US [36, 37]. These reports underscore the persistent challenge of maintaining reliability in an aging and increasingly stressed grid.

Market Designs and Regulatory Approaches for Reliability

Historically, electricity reliability was largely ensured through traditional rate-of-return regulation, which incentivized utilities to build sufficient generation and transmission capacity [3, 14]. However, this approach often led to inefficiencies and over-investment [3]. The restructuring of electricity markets in the 1990s aimed to introduce competition, but this raised new questions about how to ensure resource adequacy in a competitive environment [8, 13, 16].

Two primary market designs have emerged to address reliability in competitive markets:

- Energy-Only Markets: In these markets, generators are compensated solely for the electricity they produce. The theory is that scarcity pricing during periods of high demand will provide sufficient revenue to incentivize investment in new capacity. However, concerns exist that energy-only markets may not provide adequate investment signals for resources that are primarily valuable for reliability but run infrequently [13, 16].
- Capacity Markets: To address the perceived shortcomings of energy-only markets, many regions, including PJM and ISO-New England, have implemented capacity markets [4, 9, 13, 16, 30]. In these markets, generators receive payments for simply being available to produce electricity, in addition to payments for actual energy production. The goal is to provide a stable revenue stream that incentivizes investment in sufficient generating capacity to meet peak demand and maintain reliability standards [9, 13, 16]. Capacity markets are often designed with

performance incentives to penalize generators that fail to deliver during critical periods [4, 13]. While capacity markets have been widely adopted, their design and effectiveness remain subjects of ongoing debate, particularly concerning issues like minimum offer price rules and their impact on market efficiency [1, 13].

Beyond generation, ensuring reliability also involves investments in transmission and distribution infrastructure. The concept of a "smarter U.S. electricity grid" emphasizes the role of advanced technologies in enhancing reliability and efficiency [14]. Demand-side management, including time-varying retail electricity prices, can also play a crucial role in managing peak demand and improving reliability by encouraging consumers to shift consumption [7, 31]. Energy efficiency measures also contribute to reliability by reducing overall demand [17].

Challenges and Opportunities in a Decarbonizing Grid

The transition to a decarbonized electricity sector, heavily reliant on intermittent renewable energy sources, introduces new challenges for reliability:

- Intermittency and Variability: Solar and wind power are dependent on weather conditions, leading to variability in output that must be balanced by dispatchable resources or energy storage [15, 20, 27]. This requires significant operational flexibility from conventional generators and robust transmission infrastructure.
- Resource Adequacy: Ensuring sufficient capacity to meet demand at all times becomes more complex when a significant portion of generation is non-dispatchable. This necessitates new approaches to resource adequacy planning and market design to value flexibility and firm capacity [15, 20].
- Grid Modernization: Integrating high levels of renewables requires substantial investments in grid modernization, including smart grid technologies, advanced forecasting, and potentially new transmission lines to access remote renewable resources [14, 19].

Despite these challenges, the decarbonization transition also presents opportunities to enhance reliability. Distributed energy resources (DERs) like rooftop solar and battery storage can provide localized reliability benefits and reduce strain on the centralized grid [19]. Advanced analytics and AI can also play a role in optimizing grid operations and predicting potential vulnerabilities [29].

CONCLUSION

Electricity reliability is an economic imperative, foundational to the functioning of modern societies and economies. The costs of unreliability, manifest in

lost productivity, compromised public services, and broader societal impacts, underscore the immense value placed on a dependable power supply. Quantifying this value, often through the estimation of the Value of Lost Load (VOLL), is essential for guiding efficient investment and policy decisions in the electricity sector.

The evolution of electricity markets from traditional rate-of-return regulation to competitive structures, particularly with the widespread adoption of capacity markets, reflects ongoing efforts to balance efficiency with the imperative of resource adequacy. While capacity markets aim to incentivize sufficient generation investment by providing stable revenue streams, their design and effectiveness remain subjects of critical economic analysis. The integration of intermittent renewable energy sources, driven by decarbonization goals, introduces new complexities, demanding innovative solutions for grid stability, flexibility, and resource adequacy.

Ultimately, ensuring a reliable electricity supply in a transforming energy landscape requires a holistic economic approach. This involves refining market designs to appropriately value reliability attributes, investing strategically in grid modernization and resilience, and integrating demand-side resources to manage load effectively. Future research should continue to refine VOLL methodologies, assess the long-term performance of various market designs in light of decarbonization, and explore the economic implications of emerging technologies like advanced storage and distributed energy resources. understanding addressing and the economic dimensions of power system dependability, policymakers can foster a resilient, efficient, and sustainable electricity future that continues to support economic growth and societal well-being.

REFERENCES

Aargaard, Todd, Karen Palmer, and Molly Robertson. 2022. "Three Insights from the Debate over Minimum Price Offer Rules in Electricity Markets." Resources, July 6. https://www.resources.org/common-resources/three-insights-from-the-debate-over-minimum-offer-price-rules-in-electricitymarkets/.

Allcott, Hunt, Allan Collard-Wexler, and Stephen D. O'Connell. 2016. "How Do Electricity Shortages Affect Industry? Evidence from India." American Economic Review 106 (3): 587–624.

Averch, Harvey, and Leland L. Johnson. 1962. "Behavior of the Firm under Regulatory Constraint." American Economic Review 52 (5): 1052–69.

Barndollar, Hadley. 2023. "Power Plants Face Millions

in Penalties after Failure during Christmas Eve Storm." Providence Journal, January 7. https://www.providencejournal.com/story/news/202 3/01/07/iso-new-england-electricity-power-plant-fines-december-failure-oil-natural-gaschristmas-storm/69785296007.

Brahmbhatt, R., & Sardana, J. (2025). Empowering patient-centric communication: Integrating quiet hours for healthcare notifications with retail & ecommerce operations strategies. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 10(23s). https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i23s.3677

Berkouwer, Susanna, Steven Puller, and Catherine Wolfram. 2021. "The Economics of Grid Reliability and Voltage Improvements." Unpublished.

Blunt, Katherine, and Russell Gold. 2021. "Texas Power Grid Was Minutes from Collapse during Freeze, Operator Says." Wall Street Journal, February 24. https://www.wsj.com/articles/texas-power-gridwas-minutes-from-collapse-during-freeze-operator-says-11614202063.

Borenstein, Severin. 2005. "Time-Varying Retail Electricity Prices: Theory and Practice." In Electricity Deregulation: Choices and Challenges, edited James M. Griffin and Steven L. Puller, 317–56. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Borenstein, Severin, and James Bushnell. 2000. "Electricity Restructuring: Deregulation or Reregulation?" Regulation 23 (2): 46–52.

Bowring, Joseph. 2013. "Capacity Markets in PJM." Economics of Energy and Environmental Policy 2 (2): 47–64.

Burlando, Alfredo. 2014. "Transitory Shocks and Birth Weights: Evidence from a Blackout in Zanzibar." Journal of Development Economics 108: 154–68.

Sardana, J., & Brahmbhatt, R.(2025). Secure Data Exchange between Salesforce Marketing Cloud and Healthcare Platforms. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 10(23s). https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i23s.3678