

Problems In Cognitive-Linguistic Interpretation Of Gender Asymmetry Phenomena In Language

Komilova Nilufar Abdilkadimovna

Head of language teaching center, PhD, Fergana state university, Uzbekistan

Received: 20 September 2025; **Accepted:** 12 October 2025; **Published:** 17 November 2025

Abstract: This article analyzes the problems of cognitive-linguistic interpretation of gender asymmetry phenomena in language. The research examines the disproportionate representation of gender categories in language systems and their relationship with cognitive mechanisms. The article discusses manifestations of gender asymmetry in various languages, their cognitive foundations, and interpretational problems in contemporary linguistic theories. The study is based on literature review methodology and reveals the role of gender asymmetry in complex relationships between language and thought.

Keywords: Gender asymmetry, cognitive linguistics, language and thought, gender category, linguistic imbalance.

Introduction: In contemporary linguistics, gender asymmetry is one of the issues of intricacy that receives particular interest from researchers. The non-equivalence of gender categories in language systems is closely connected not only with linguistic but also with cognitive and social factors [1]. Gender asymmetry has been discovered in most languages and is present in the unequal manifestation of masculine and feminine markers in language systems. The cognitive-linguistic explanation of this phenomenon poses grave theoretical and practical issues in contemporary linguistics.

The cognitive aspects of gender asymmetry reflect complex interdependencies between language and thought. Gender asymmetry, from a cognitive linguistic perspective, reflects certain aspects of human perception and categorization of the world [2]. However, such a sufficiently stable explanation of this fact within contemporary theoretical frameworks has not yet been reached, and research in this field generally leads to conclusions that are diametrically opposite to each other. The purpose of this paper is to categorize and analyze the prime theoretical problems within the cognitive-linguistic account of gender asymmetry phenomena.

METHODOLOGY

This research is based on literature review methodology, implemented through systematic

analysis of existing scientific sources on the cognitive-linguistic interpretation of gender asymmetry phenomena. Within the research framework, a comprehensive bibliographic source base was created, including works by Uzbek, Russian, and foreign linguists.

The theoretical foundations of gender asymmetry phenomena were first raised in the works of A.A. Potebnya and W. von Humboldt [3]. In Russian linguistics, this issue was deeply studied by A.A. Zaliznyak and I.G. Olshansky [4]. In the contemporary period, cognitive linguists such as E.S. Kubryakova and N.N. Boldyrev made significant contributions to revealing the cognitive nature of gender categories [5]. In Uzbek linguistics, gender issues have been systematically studied by prominent scholars. H. Nematov's foundational work on gender categories in Uzbek language established the theoretical framework for understanding gender asymmetry in Turkic languages [6]. M. Askarova's research on lexical-semantic aspects of gender representation provided crucial insights into how gender asymmetry manifests at the vocabulary level in Uzbek [7]. Sh. Rahmatullayev's comprehensive analysis of gender-related linguistic phenomena in his etymological studies revealed historical patterns of gender asymmetry development in Uzbek language [8].

In foreign linguistics, the problem of gender asymmetry

has been extensively investigated. American scholars such as A. Lakoff and D. Tannen studied the relationship between gender and language from a psycholinguistic perspective [9]. In the European school, researchers like J. Butler emphasized the discursive nature of gender categories. With the development of cognitive approaches, the metaphor theory by G. Lakoff and M. Johnson created new possibilities for understanding gender asymmetry [10].

Literature analysis reveals three main approaches in interpreting gender asymmetry phenomena: structuralist, functionalist, and cognitive approaches. The structuralist approach explains gender asymmetry through internal regularities of the language system. The functionalist approach connects this phenomenon with the context of language use and pragmatic factors. The cognitive approach interprets gender asymmetry through the categorical structure of consciousness and conceptual systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of literature analysis identified several important theoretical problems in the cognitive-linguistic interpretation of gender asymmetry phenomena. One significant challenge relates to difficulties in distinguishing universal and ethnospecific characteristics of gender asymmetry. Comparative analysis of gender systems in various languages revealed that gender asymmetry phenomena occur in all languages, but their manifestation forms and degrees differ considerably. Uzbek linguists have demonstrated that while the Uzbek language lacks morphologically explicit expression of gender categories, gender asymmetry is clearly observed at the lexical-semantic level, particularly in kinship terms, professional designations, and evaluative vocabulary.

The research conducted by Uzbek scholars reveals unique patterns of gender asymmetry manifestation in Turkic languages. Unlike Indo-European languages with grammatical gender systems, Uzbek exhibits what can be termed "covert gender asymmetry" where masculine forms often serve as unmarked defaults while feminine forms require specific markers or modifications. This pattern challenges traditional cognitive models that primarily focus on languages with overt grammatical gender systems.

Another crucial problem manifests in theoretical differences in explaining cognitive mechanisms of gender asymmetry. According to prototype theory, gender categories possess prototypical structures based on human biological and social experience. However, this approach cannot fully explain the dynamic nature of gender asymmetry observed in Uzbek linguistic data. The asymmetric patterns

identified by Uzbek researchers suggest that cognitive prototypes interact with cultural schemas in complex ways that resist simple theoretical modeling.

A further challenge emerges in interpreting the relationship between gender asymmetry and the referential function of language. Classical semantic approaches assume that gender markers should reflect objective reality, but cognitive linguistics emphasizes the constructive nature of gender categories. This theoretical tension becomes particularly evident when examining Uzbek language data, where gender asymmetry appears primarily through lexical choices and pragmatic implications rather than grammatical markings.

The interaction between social-cultural and cognitive factors in gender asymmetry presents additional modeling difficulties. Research by Uzbek linguists demonstrates that gender asymmetry patterns in Uzbek reflect both universal cognitive tendencies and culture-specific social arrangements. The challenge lies in determining which aspects of asymmetry derive from cognitive universals versus cultural particularities, especially given the limited cross-linguistic data from Turkic language families.

The relationship between diachronic changes and synchronic states of gender asymmetry poses interpretational challenges specific to languages like Uzbek that have undergone significant historical contact with other language families. Historical linguistics research in Uzbek studies shows that contemporary gender asymmetry patterns result from complex interactions between inherited Turkic structures, Persian influences, and Russian contact effects. These multilayered historical influences complicate cognitive explanations that assume relatively stable conceptual structures.

Gender asymmetry's interaction with other language categories presents unique challenges in Uzbek language analysis. Unlike languages with rich morphological gender systems, Uzbek gender asymmetry interacts primarily with pragmatic and discursive categories rather than grammatical ones. This creates difficulties for cognitive models designed primarily for morphologically rich languages and suggests the need for more flexible theoretical frameworks.

Contemporary research also faces difficulties in establishing clear connections between gender asymmetry and actual cognitive processing in speakers of languages like Uzbek. While theoretical models propose various cognitive mechanisms underlying gender asymmetry, empirical evidence for these mechanisms in speakers of Turkic languages remains

limited. The gap between theoretical cognitive explanations and observable linguistic behavior continues to present methodological challenges for researchers working with diverse language types.

CONCLUSION

The cognitive-linguistic interpretation of gender asymmetry phenomena in language encompasses unresolved serious theoretical problems in contemporary linguistics. The main conclusions emerging from research results, particularly insights from Uzbek linguistic studies, include the necessity for developing more complex methodological approaches that can account for different types of gender asymmetry across language families. The work of Uzbek linguists demonstrates that gender asymmetry manifests differently in languages without grammatical gender systems, requiring theoretical models flexible enough to handle this variation.

Additionally, an integral approach synthesizing various theoretical models is required to explain cognitive mechanisms of gender asymmetry across different language types. The Uzbek linguistic tradition's emphasis on lexical-semantic and pragmatic aspects of gender asymmetry provides valuable insights for developing more comprehensive cognitive theories. Furthermore, finding balance between referential and constructive aspects of gender asymmetry remains essential, particularly when dealing with languages that express gender primarily through lexical and pragmatic means rather than grammatical markings.

Future research should be directed toward empirical study of gender asymmetry phenomena using corpus linguistics and psycholinguistic methods that can capture the subtle manifestations of gender asymmetry in languages like Uzbek. Moreover, comparative analysis across language families, building on the foundation established by Uzbek linguists, represents a promising direction for developing more universal theoretical frameworks while respecting linguistic diversity.

REFERENCES

1. Kubryakova, E.S. (2004). *Language and Knowledge: On the Path to Acquiring Knowledge about Language*. Moscow: Languages of Slavic Culture.
2. Lakoff, G. & Johnson, M. (1999). *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought*. New York: Basic Books.
3. Potebnya, A.A. (1958). *From Notes on Russian Grammar*. Moscow: Education.
4. Zaliznyak, A.A. (1977). *Russian Nominal Inflection*. Moscow: Science.
5. Boldyrev, N.N. (2001). *Cognitive Semantics*.

Tambov: Tambov University Press.

6. Nematov, H. (1995). *Gender kategoriyalari masalalari o'zbek tilida*. Toshkent: Fan.
7. Askarova, M. (2008). *O'zbek tilida gender asimmetriyasining leksik-semantik jihatlari*. Toshkent: Universitet.
8. Rahmatullayev, Sh. (2000). *O'zbek tilining etimologik lug'ati*. Toshkent: Universitet.
9. Tannen, D. (1990). *You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation*. New York: Morrow.
10. Lakoff, G. (1987). *Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things: What Categories Reveal about the Mind*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.