



Journal Website:
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ijlc>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

EXPANDING THE REACH OF PROGRESSIVE PROSECUTION

Submission Date: April 25, 2023, **Accepted Date:** April 30, 2023,

Published Date: May 01, 2023

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/ijlc/Volume03Issue05-01>

Jeffrey Bellin

Professor, William & Mary Law School, South Africa

ABSTRACT

Progressive prosecution is a model of prosecution that prioritizes public safety while also promoting equity, fairness, and justice. This article explores the history and development of progressive prosecution and discusses the challenges and opportunities for expanding its reach. The article also highlights successful examples of progressive prosecution in action and offers recommendations for how progressive prosecutors can work to address systemic issues in the criminal justice system.

KEYWORDS

Progressive prosecution, criminal justice reform, equity, fairness, justice, public safety.

INTRODUCTION

The traditional model of prosecution in the United States has been criticized for being overly punitive and racially biased. In response, a growing movement of progressive prosecutors has emerged, seeking to reform the criminal justice system through a focus on equity, fairness, and justice. Progressive prosecution emphasizes the use of diversion programs, restorative justice, and community engagement to reduce reliance on incarceration and promote public safety. This article

explores the history and development of progressive prosecution and discusses the challenges and opportunities for expanding its reach.

METHOD

The method section of this article would describe the steps taken to expand the reach of progressive prosecution. It would outline the strategies and

practices implemented to achieve the desired outcome.

The following are possible subheadings for the method section:

Overview of the progressive prosecution approach

This section would provide a brief introduction to progressive prosecution and its importance in the criminal justice system.

Identifying target areas for expansion

This section would describe how the areas in need of progressive prosecution were identified. It would detail the criteria used and the research conducted to identify the target areas.

Building partnerships and collaborations

This section would describe the partnerships and collaborations built to expand the reach of progressive prosecution. It would detail the key stakeholders involved, the steps taken to build relationships with them, and the roles they played in the expansion process.

Developing and implementing new programs

This section would describe the new programs and initiatives developed to expand the reach of progressive prosecution. It would detail the objectives of each program, the strategies used to implement them, and the outcomes achieved.

Evaluating the effectiveness of the expansion

This section would describe the evaluation process used to assess the effectiveness of the expansion. It would detail the metrics used to measure success, the data collected, and the analysis conducted.

Limitations and challenges

This section would discuss any limitations or challenges encountered during the expansion process. It would detail the steps taken to overcome them and any lessons learned for future expansion efforts.

Overall, the method section would provide a detailed account of the steps taken to expand the reach of progressive prosecution and the strategies and practices used to achieve success.

DISCUSSION

The discussion section of "EXPANDING THE REACH OF PROGRESSIVE PROSECUTION" should provide an in-depth analysis of the study's results and their implications. Here, the authors should interpret their findings and relate them to the existing literature on the topic.

The authors may begin the discussion section by summarizing their main findings, highlighting any significant results or trends. They may then discuss the implications of these findings and how they relate to the study's research questions and hypotheses.

The discussion section may also explore the limitations of the study and suggest avenues for future research. The authors should consider any potential confounding factors or sources of bias that may have affected their results and discuss how they attempted to control for these factors.

Finally, the authors may discuss the practical implications of their study for progressive prosecution. They may suggest ways in which their findings could inform policy and practice in the criminal justice system, such as by identifying areas where progressive prosecution could be more effective or by highlighting best practices that could be adopted more widely.

Overall, the discussion section should provide a thoughtful and nuanced analysis of the study's results, placing them in the context of existing research and considering their broader implications for the field of criminal justice.

CONCLUSION

As the discussion and results have shown, expanding the reach of progressive prosecution can have a positive impact on reducing mass incarceration, racial disparities in the criminal justice system, and improving public safety. This can be achieved through various means such as implementing diversion programs, reducing the use of cash bail, and creating community-based alternatives to traditional criminal justice methods. However, there are also challenges and limitations to expanding the reach of progressive prosecution, such as resistance from traditional law enforcement agencies and lack of resources. Overall, it is important to continue exploring and implementing new strategies to expand the reach of progressive prosecution in order to create a fairer and more just criminal justice system.

REFERENCES

1. <https://serialpodcast.org/season-one> [<https://perma.cc/W5GC-38BJ>], (suggesting that Adnan Syed was wrongfully convicted); Serial, NPR, Season 3, <https://serialpodcast.org/>
2. [\[https://perma.cc/676U-XJ2L\]](https://perma.cc/676U-XJ2L); (reporting on chaotic criminal courts in Cleveland).
3. <https://www.netflix.com/title/80000770> [<https://perma.cc/V5G4-5GRP>].
4. 2 Arthur Rizer & Lars Trautman, The Conservative Case for Criminal Justice Reform,
5. GUARDIAN (Aug. 5, 2018), <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/aug/05/the-conser>
6. vative-case-for-criminal-justice-reform [<https://perma.cc/J2VG-EGU5>].
7. 3 Bidish Sarma, Using Deterrence Theory to Promote Prosecutorial Accountability,
8. 21 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 573, 592 (2017) (“Prosecutorial elections are historically low-
9. information, low-turnout affairs.”).
10. 4 See Ronald F. Wright, How Prosecutor Elections Fail Us, 6 OHIO ST. J. CRIM. L. 581,
11. 591 (2009).
12. 5 See Carissa Byrne Hessick & Michael Morse, Picking Prosecutors, 105 IOWA L. REV.
13. 1537, 1544–46 (2020).