International Journal Of History And Political Sciences

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue11 2025
PAGE NO. 1-10

The Totalitarian Imagination: Scientism, Natural Law, And The Defense Of Moral Order In C. S. Lewis's That Hideous Strength

Dr. Alistair Finch
Faculty of Philosophy and Letters, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Scotland

Received: 03 September 2025; **Accepted:** 02 October 2025; **Published:** 01 November 2025

Abstract: Background: C. S. Lewis's 1945 novel, That Hideous Strength, is often read as a science-fiction thriller or Christian allegory. However, its profound engagement with political and philosophical themes, particularly its critique of modernity's trajectory, warrants a more focused analysis within contemporary ethical and political discourse.

Objective: This article aims to analyze That Hideous Strength as a sophisticated defense of the Natural Law tradition against the rise of a "totalitarian imagination" fueled by scientism. We argue that Lewis stages a conflict between an objective moral order (the Tao) and a reductionist, technocratic worldview that seeks to conquer and redefine human nature.

Methods: The study employs a qualitative methodology of philosophical textual analysis. The narrative of That Hideous Strength [22] is examined through a theoretical framework informed by Lewis's non-fiction, particularly The Abolition of Man [12], the Natural Law philosophy of John Finnis [8, 9], and Michael Polanyi's post-critical epistemology [18]. Key concepts such as scientism, Natural Law, and the totalitarian imagination are defined and applied to the novel's characters, institutions, and central conflict.

Results: The analysis reveals that the novel's antagonist, the National Institute of Co-ordinated Experiments (N.I.C.E.), serves as a literary embodiment of scientism's dehumanizing potential, pursuing a posthumanist agenda that Lewis equates with the "abolition of man." In contrast, the community of St. Anne's represents a society founded upon the principles of Natural Law, emphasizing moral virtue, tradition, and the integration of the material and spiritual realms. The narrative conflict is thus framed as a war between two fundamentally opposed conceptions of reality, knowledge, and the human good.

Conclusion: That Hideous Strength emerges as a prescient and enduring critique of the technocratic and totalitarian impulses inherent in a purely materialistic worldview. By grounding his defense of humanity in the classical-Christian concept of Natural Law, Lewis provides a vital intellectual and moral resource for navigating contemporary challenges related to bioethics, posthumanism, and the erosion of shared moral foundations.

Keywords: C. S. Lewis, That Hideous Strength, Natural Law, Scientism, Totalitarianism, Moral Order, Posthumanism.

Introduction: 1.1. The Contemporary Resonance of That Hideous Strength

Decades after its initial publication in 1945, C. S. Lewis's That Hideous Strength [22] continues to provoke and unsettle its readers. Ostensibly the concluding volume of a science-fiction trilogy, the novel transcends its genre trappings to offer a profound and disturbingly prophetic philosophical vision. It presents a world teetering on the brink of a new kind of tyranny, one not imposed by overt force alone, but welcomed under the guise of progress, efficiency, and scientific rationality. In the sterile corridors of the National Institute of Co-ordinated Experiments (N.I.C.E.) and the quiet, ordered life of the community at St. Anne's, Lewis stages a dramatic conflict between two fundamentally opposed

views of humanity and its future. This is a narrative that resonates with striking clarity in the 21st century, speaking directly to our contemporary anxieties surrounding technological overreach, the ethical boundaries of genetic engineering, the rise of a technocratic managerial class, and the pervasive challenge of grounding moral values in what Charles Taylor has termed "a secular age" [21].

The novel's enduring power lies in its function as a narrative culmination of the philosophical arguments Lewis laid out with academic precision in his 1943 lectures, The Abolition of Man [12]. In that slim but potent volume, Lewis warns that a society that rejects the existence of objective value—what he calls the Tao or Natural Law—and embraces a purely utilitarian and subjectivist ethic will inevitably grant some individuals the power to condition and ultimately redefine what it means to be human. That Hideous Strength is the fictional working-out of this thesis. It explores what happens when this "abolition" moves from a philosophical proposition to a concrete, institutionalized project. The novel forces us to confront the possibility that the greatest threats to human freedom may not come from jackboots and barbed wire, but from the quiet, air-conditioned laboratories of those who promise to liberate us from our very nature. It presents a future where humanity, in its quest to conquer Nature, succeeds only in conquering itself, creating a world where the final stage of man's "progress" is the subjugation of the many by the few "Conditioners" who determine the shape of the future. This warning feels more urgent than ever in an era grappling with the implications of artificial intelligence, bio-enhancement, and what some thinkers celebrate as a "posthuman" future [4].

1.2. Problem Statement and Literature Gap

The vast body of scholarship on C. S. Lewis has expertly illuminated many facets of his work. Scholars have meticulously explored the theological underpinnings of his apologetics [17], the rich mythological and astrological symbolism woven into his fiction [16], and his personal journey from atheism to belief [24]. Excellent guides and companions provide comprehensive overviews of his life and literary output [5, 11]. Yet, within this extensive scholarly landscape, a specific gap remains. While many recognize the connection between The Abolition of Man and That Hideous Strength, few have undertaken a systematic analysis of the novel as a direct engagement with formal philosophical traditions, particularly the classical-Christian theory of Natural Law and the post-critical philosophy of thinkers like Michael Polanyi [18].

Consequently, the novel is often interpreted primarily through a theological or literary lens, while its function as a robust work of political and social theory is frequently understated. Its critique of scientism is acknowledged, but not always connected to a coherent, alternative epistemology. Its depiction of the good society at St. Anne's is seen as an idealized Christian community, but not fully analyzed as a practical model of a society structured according to the precepts of Natural Law as articulated by thinkers from Aquinas [25] to the modern theorist John Finnis [8, 9]. This paper seeks to fill that gap by reading That Hideous Strength not just as a story, but as a sustained philosophical argument presented in narrative form—a literary defense of a moral and political order grounded in objective reality against the corrosive effects of a reductionist and ultimately totalitarian worldview.

1.3. Thesis Statement

This article contends that C. S. Lewis's That Hideous Strength stages a conflict between two opposing "imaginations": a totalitarian imagination, fueled by scientism and a desire to technologically transcend human nature, and a moral imagination, grounded in the classical-Christian tradition of Natural Law. The narrative, therefore, serves as a defense of an objective moral order against its reductionist and ultimately dehumanizing eclipse. By placing Lewis's narrative in direct dialogue with the philosophical traditions that informed his thought, we can see the novel as a sophisticated exposition of how a society's metaphysical assumptions directly shape its political and social realities. The struggle between N.I.C.E. and St. Anne's is not merely a battle of good versus evil, but a war between two competing visions of knowledge, authority, and the very definition of the human person.

1.4. Article Structure Overview

To substantiate this thesis, the article will proceed in four parts. The following section will outline the Methodology, establishing the theoretical framework for the analysis by defining the core concepts of scientism, Natural Law, and the totalitarian imagination. The third section, the Analysis, will apply this framework directly to the text of That Hideous Strength, examining how the antagonist, N.I.C.E., embodies the principles of scientism and how the community at St. Anne's represents a society living in accordance with Natural Law. The final section, the Discussion, will synthesize these findings, consider the novel's profound implications for contemporary society, acknowledge the study's limitations, and suggest avenues for future research.

2. METHODOLOGY (Theoretical Framework)

2.1. A Framework for Textual Analysis

The methodological approach of this paper is a qualitative textual and philosophical analysis. It treats That Hideous Strength [22] as a primary source document that is not merely illustrative but argumentative, presenting a complex philosophical position through character, plot, and symbolism. The analysis moves beyond simple literary criticism to engage the novel as a "thought experiment" that explores the societal consequences of adopting certain philosophical premises. This approach involves integrating a close reading of the narrative with a clear articulation of the key philosophical concepts that form the intellectual backdrop of the story. By placing the literary text in conversation with works of political philosophy, ethics, and epistemology—specifically those that align with Lewis's own intellectual world—we can illuminate the depth and coherence of his critique of modernity.

2.2. Defining the Core Concepts

To proceed with the analysis, a precise understanding of three central concepts is necessary: scientism, Natural Law, and the totalitarian imagination. These concepts form the theoretical lens through which the novel will be interpreted.

2.2.1. Scientism

It is crucial to distinguish science from scientism. Lewis was not anti-science; as a scholar, he held a deep respect for empirical inquiry and rational thought [24]. Scientism, however, is not a scientific method but a philosophical prejudice. It is the ideological conviction that the methods of the natural sciences are the only valid means of acquiring knowledge and that the reality apprehended by these methods is the only reality that exists. As Michael Polanyi argued in his landmark work Personal Knowledge, this "objectivist" creed falsely claims to provide a picture of the universe devoid of human perspective and commitment, ignoring the "personal" and "tacit" dimensions of all knowing [18].

In the world of scientism, any claim that cannot be empirically verified or falsified—such as claims about morality, beauty, purpose, or the divine—is dismissed as mere subjective emotion or meaningless noise. This is precisely the position Lewis critiques in The Abolition of Man, where the authors of his "Green Book" reduce a statement of value ("this waterfall is sublime") to a statement about the speaker's feelings [12]. Scientism, therefore, is a reductionist philosophy. It reduces the human person to a complex biological machine, society to a system of manageable forces, and nature to a collection of raw materials to be manipulated at will. This worldview, by its very nature, disenchants the world, stripping it of any inherent meaning or purpose and paving the way for its unlimited exploitation.

2.2.2. Natural Law (The Tao)

As a counterpoint to scientism, Lewis champions what he calls the Tao, a term he borrows from Chinese philosophy to denote the doctrine of objective value. The Tao is Lewis's umbrella term for the universal moral tradition shared across cultures and civilizations—the belief that certain attitudes are truly right and others truly wrong, and that this moral reality is as objective and discoverable as the laws of physics [12]. This concept is synonymous with the classical and scholastic tradition of Natural Law.

As formulated by thinkers like St. Thomas Aquinas [25] and articulated in modern jurisprudence by philosophers such as John Finnis, Natural Law holds that human reason can discern a set of fundamental goods for human flourishing (e.g., life, knowledge, friendship, practical reasonableness) and that morality consists in acting in ways that respect and promote these goods [8]. Natural Law is not a set of arbitrary rules handed down from on high, but an "internal" moral grammar accessible to all through reason and experience. It posits a stable human nature and a corresponding set of moral principles that are not invented but discovered. As Finnis argues, these principles provide a rational basis for law and a critical standard by which to judge positive laws and social customs [9]. For Lewis, to operate "outside the Tao" is to operate without any standard for judgment at all, leaving only arbitrary impulse or sheer power as a guide for action.

2.2.3. The Totalitarian Imagination

The totalitarian imagination is the political and social expression of scientism. When the belief in objective value is abandoned, the project of social organization is no longer about conforming society to a transcendent moral order; it becomes a technical problem of social engineering. This is the mindset that Max Weber identified in the rise of the modern bureaucratic state, where "rational" administration, governed by abstract and impersonal rules, becomes the dominant form of power [26]. While seemingly efficient and neutral, this bureaucratic logic can become a tool for immense control, divorced from traditional moral constraints.

The totalitarian imagination, as depicted by Lewis, seeks total control over every aspect of life. It is not content with merely political power; it aims to reshape nature, society, and ultimately, human consciousness itself. It views tradition, custom, and religion not as sources of wisdom but as irrational obstacles to be cleared away. Its authority is legitimized by an appeal to scientific expertise and a promise of a technologically perfected future. As Jacques Ellul

argued in his critique of la technique, this technological mindset assimilates all spheres of human activity into its logic of efficiency and control, creating a "technological society" where human values are subordinated to technical imperatives [6]. In That Hideous Strength, this imagination is given institutional form in N.I.C.E., an organization whose benign name masks a project of absolute and horrifying ambition.

3. RESULTS

Applying this theoretical framework, That Hideous Strength can be read as a dramatic enactment of the collision between the world of the Tao and the world of scientistic control. The novel's central conflict is not just between heroes and villains, but between the moral imagination of St. Anne's and the totalitarian imagination of N.I.C.E.

3.1. N.I.C.E. as the Embodiment of Scientism and the Totalitarian Imagination

The National Institute of Co-ordinated Experiments is a masterpiece of satirical and philosophical critique. It is the bureaucratic, institutionalized form of the worldview Lewis deconstructed in The Abolition of Man. N.I.C.E. is not merely evil; it is the embodiment of a particular kind of modern, technical, and soulless evil.

3.1.1. The Language of Obfuscation

One of Lewis's most astute observations is how the totalitarian imagination manipulates language. The internal communications at N.I.C.E. are a morass of bureaucratic jargon, meaningless euphemisms, and circular reasoning. The purpose of this language is not to communicate but to obscure, to conceal immoral actions behind a veneer of scientific and administrative neutrality. When the young sociologist Mark Studdock is brought into the inner circle, he finds himself struggling to penetrate a world where "the important thing was to acquire the right tone" and where clarity was a sign of being an outsider. This mirrors Weber's analysis of bureaucracy as an "iron cage" of rationality, where the adherence to procedure and the mastery of official jargon supplant substantive moral reasoning [26].

N.I.C.E.'s stated purpose is the "remaking of man," but this is always couched in bland, technical terms like "social hygiene," "penological reform," and "scientific planning." They do not speak of torture, but of "objective" experimentation on criminals. They do not speak of destroying nature, but of "sterilizing" and "controlling" it for human benefit. This linguistic corruption is a critical tool of their power. By draining words of their moral content, they create a reality where ethical objections can be dismissed as unscientific and sentimental. This is the practical consequence of the philosophy of The Green Book: if value judgments are merely expressions of feeling, then the language of morality can be safely ignored in the "serious" business of scientific administration [12].

3.1.2. The Abolition of Man in Practice

The entire project of N.I.C.E. is the "abolition of man" made manifest. The Institute's inner circle, the "Conditioners," explicitly see themselves as the vanguard of a new stage of evolution, one that will leave ordinary humanity behind. Their goal is to move beyond the constraints of the Tao—to treat human nature not as a given to be respected, but as raw material to be reshaped. Lord Feverstone (the redeemed villain from the previous novel, Dick Devine) articulates this vision with chilling clarity: the new man will be one "who has cut the umbilical cord with the past" and sees the world as "mere nature."

This project unfolds on multiple fronts. At the most basic level, it involves the conquest of external nature. The destruction of Bragdon Wood is not merely an act of greed but a symbolic assault on the organic and the traditional. It is a "straightening out" of the crooked lines of nature to impose a rational, geometric order. At a deeper level, it involves the conquest of human nature. The "re-education" of criminals at the Institute, which involves psychological and physical torment, is a pilot program for the conditioning of the entire population. The ultimate aim is to replace conscience, virtue, and reason with a set of scientifically inculcated responses. As Lewis warned, the power to "make axioms" for others is the power to make them into artifacts, shaped to the will of their creators [12]. This is the terrifying freedom that the Conditioners seek: the freedom from the constraints of Natural Law, which is ultimately the freedom to dehumanize others without limit.

3.1.3. The Posthumanist Ambition

The climax of N.I.C.E.'s vision is the disembodied Head of the scientist Alcasan, kept alive through technology and serving as a conduit for the demonic entities Lewis calls "Macrobes." This grotesque image is the novel's most potent symbol of the endpoint of scientism. It represents the Gnostic dream of escaping the limitations of the physical body and achieving a purely intellectual, disincarnate existence. The Head is pure intellect, divorced from emotion, morality, and the physical world—a perfect "objective" consciousness.

This ambition is a clear forerunner of what is now termed transhumanism or posthumanism [4]. The leaders of N.I.C.E. believe that humanity as we know it is obsolete. Their goal is to create a new species, one that is not born but made, and therefore not subject to the old moral laws or biological limitations. Rosi

Braidotti, a key theorist of critical posthumanism, speaks of moving beyond the traditional, unified subject of humanism to embrace a more fluid, technologically mediated existence [4]. While Braidotti's framework is offered as a form of liberation, Lewis presents its dark shadow. In his vision, this "liberation" from human nature is not a democratic process but a totalitarian project, where the few who control the technology will determine the future for all. The N.I.C.E. project reveals that the dream of the posthuman can easily become the nightmare of the inhuman.

3.2. St. Anne's: A Community Rooted in Natural Law and Moral Order

In stark contrast to the sterile, bureaucratic hell of N.I.C.E. stands the small community at the manor of St. Anne's-on-the-Hill. If N.I.C.E. is a society built on the rejection of the Tao, St. Anne's is a society built on living within it. It is Lewis's fictional representation of a community ordered according to the principles of Natural Law.

3.2.1. Living within the Tao

St. Anne's is not an egalitarian democracy but a hierarchical community, headed by the Director, Ransom, who holds his authority by virtue of his spiritual and moral insight. This hierarchy, however, is not one of arbitrary power but of function and purpose, reflecting a divinely ordered cosmos [1]. Each member, from the Director down to the cook, has a role to play in the service of a common good. This structure embodies the classical understanding of justice as a harmonious ordering of parts within a whole.

Life at St. Anne's is characterized by a respect for tradition, a rhythm of work and rest, and an integration of the spiritual and the material. They tend a garden, share meals, and engage in common prayer. This is a world where the body is not a machine to be transcended but an integral part of the human person. Their actions are governed not by a rulebook of procedures but by the dictates of practical reasonableness—a core concept in Natural Law theory [8]. They seek to act in accordance with the reality of their situation, a reality that includes both the physical world and the transcendent moral and spiritual order. This community is a living refutation of N.I.C.E.'s claim that the Tao is a relic of the past; at St. Anne's, it is the very source of life and strength.

3.2.2. Personal Knowledge and Moral Attention

The journey of Jane Studdock, Mark's wife, is central to the novel's philosophical theme. Jane begins the story as a thoroughly modern academic, seeking a detached, "objective" understanding of the world. She prizes her intellectual independence and fears the commitment and vulnerability that relationships require. Her journey to St. Anne's is a process of conversion from this objectivist epistemology to what Michael Polanyi would call "personal knowledge" [18].

Jane is gifted with clairvoyant visions, but she initially treats them as a mere phenomenon to be studied, not as a reality demanding her participation. At St. Anne's, she is taught to move beyond this detachment. She learns that true knowledge is not a matter of neutral observation but of personal commitment and participation in reality. She has to learn to trust, to obey, and to find her place within a community. This requires the cultivation of what contemporary ethicists call "moral attention"—the ability to perceive the morally salient features of a situation [19]. Jane's education is a training in seeing the world not as a collection of facts, but as a place filled with meaning, purpose, and moral demand. Her final, willing acceptance of her role and her reunion with Mark symbolize the healing of the modern divide between reason and emotion, fact and value, mind and body.

3.2.3. The Defense of Culture and Tradition

The community at St. Anne's is also a bastion for the defense of culture against the onslaught of reductive planning. T. S. Eliot, a contemporary and friend of Lewis, argued in The Idea of a Christian Society and Notes towards the Definition of Culture that a healthy culture is necessarily organic, rooted in a particular place and tradition, and animated by a religious faith [23, 15]. A society that tries to engineer a purely secular, rational culture will inevitably produce a shallow and sterile one.

St. Anne's embodies this Eliotic vision. It is a place where myth, poetry, and history are alive and active. The revival of Merlin is not just a plot device; it is a symbol of the reawakening of a deep, pre-modern wisdom from the land of Britain itself—a wisdom that the planners at N.I.C.E. can neither understand nor control. The fight to save Bragdon Wood is a fight to save a repository of memory and meaning embedded in the landscape. St. Anne's is defending not just humanity, but the cultural and spiritual ecosystem that sustains it. They understand that a society without a connection to its past, without its stories and its traditions, is a society that has lost its soul and is vulnerable to any new ideology that promises a future unburdened by memory.

3.2.4. The Polanyian Social Order: Spontaneous Order vs. Central Planning

While the journey of Jane Studdock illustrates the epistemological chasm between St. Anne's and N.I.C.E.—a shift from detached observation to what

Michael Polanyi calls "personal knowledge" [18]—Polanyi's broader social and economic thought provides an even more powerful framework for understanding the fundamental structural conflict between these two communities. The battle between St. Anne's and N.I.C.E. is not only a war of worldviews but also a clash between two irreconcilable models of social organization: the spontaneous order of a free society versus the centrally planned administration of a totalitarian state [2]. Lewis, in his narrative, masterfully dramatizes the core arguments Polanyi would make against the pretensions of scientific central planning and in favor of a society coordinated by tradition, tacit knowledge, and shared moral commitments.

Polanyi's social theory is a direct extension of his epistemology. He argued that the ideal of a completely objective, "critical" philosophy that underpins scientism is a dangerous illusion. A vast portion of human knowledge is "tacit"—it is the unspecifiable, skill-based knowledge we possess but cannot fully articulate, like the ability to ride a bicycle or diagnose an illness [18]. A free and dynamic society, for Polanyi, depends on the liberty of individuals to pursue their own goals and utilize their own unique, localized, and often tacit knowledge. The coordination of these myriad individual actions creates a complex and beneficial "spontaneous order" that could never be designed or controlled by a central authority. Any attempt by a central planner to replace this intricate web of personal interactions with a comprehensive "scientific" plan is doomed to be both tyrannical and inefficient, precisely because no single mind or committee can ever possess the immense, distributed knowledge that informs the actions of a whole society [2]. N.I.C.E. is the ultimate embodiment of this central planning fallacy, while St. Anne's, for all its apparent archaism, functions as a microcosm of a Polanyian free society.

N.I.C.E. represents the apotheosis of the central planning impulse, driven by what Polanyi called a "fiduciary passion" for a misguided and morally inverted ideal. The very name—the National Institute of Co-ordinated Experiments—reveals its core identity. It seeks to "co-ordinate" all of society, to rationalize every aspect of human life, and to subject it to "experimentation" from above. This is the logic of the engineer applied to the soul of a nation. The leaders of N.I.C.E., such as Wither and Feverstone, believe they can redesign society and even humanity itself from a single, centralized point of control. They dismiss the accumulated wisdom of tradition—the Tao—as an irrational impediment to their plan. In their view, history, morality, and custom are simply data to be analyzed and variables to be manipulated. This is a direct parallel to the socialist calculation debate, where Polanyi and economists like F.A. Hayek argued against the feasibility of a planned economy on the grounds that no central board could ever gather and process the necessary information to run it effectively. N.I.C.E.'s ambition is even greater: it seeks not just to plan an economy, but to plan existence itself. The result, as Lewis vividly portrays, is not order but chaos; not efficiency but grotesque waste; and not progress but a regression into barbarism. The Institute is a place of endless committees, incomprehensible memoranda, and departments working at cross-purposes—a perfect satire of the bureaucratic inertia and ignorance inherent in any system that attempts to supplant spontaneous order with a rigid, top-down design [26].

In contrast, the community at St. Anne's, despite its formal hierarchy under the Director, operates on the principles of spontaneous order. The Director, Ransom, is not a central planner. He does not issue detailed directives for every aspect of life at the manor. Instead, his role is to uphold the "fiduciary standards" of the community—the shared moral and spiritual commitments grounded in the Tao. He is the guardian of the tradition within which the community lives and acts. Within that shared framework, the members of St. Anne's are free to apply their own unique, tacit skills to the tasks at hand. Mrs. Dimble's knowledge of cooking and housekeeping, MacPhee's skeptical rationalism, and Dr. Dimble's deep learning in Arthurian legend are all distinct forms of personal knowledge that contribute to the whole. They coordinate their actions not through a master plan, but through what Polanyi termed "conviviality" and mutual adjustment, guided by a shared love for the good [18].

This model of society—a "polycentric order" of free individuals adjusting their actions in light of a shared tradition—is precisely what Polanyi advocated for as the structure of a free society, whether in science, economics, or culture [2]. The scientific community, for Polanyi, was the paramount example: individual scientists freely pursue their own research, but their efforts are coordinated by their shared commitment to the standards and traditions of scientific inquiry. No "Ministry of Science" could ever replicate the fruitfulness of this spontaneous order. St. Anne's is, in effect, a "republic of faith," functioning in the same way. The members are free persons, but their freedom is not the empty, modern freedom of arbitrary choice. It is a disciplined freedom, oriented toward a transcendent Good and exercised within the context of a moral community. This is the social embodiment of Natural Law: a framework of objective moral principles that allows for, and indeed fosters, the flourishing of individual agency and diverse talents [8].

Furthermore, Polanyi's thought illuminates the journey of Mark Studdock as a critique of a certain kind of value-free social science. Mark begins the novel as an aspiring sociologist, a member of the "Progressive Element" at Bracton College. He is desperate to be an insider, to be part of the small circle that "really runs things." His sociology is not a genuine search for truth but a technique for social climbing and manipulation. He sees society as a mechanism to be understood in order to be controlled—a perspective that makes him ideal prey for N.I.C.E. From a Polanyian perspective, Mark's initial academic posture represents the error of "objectivism" applied to the human sciences. He attempts to study society from a detached, morally neutral standpoint, ignoring the fact that he is a participant, not an external observer, and that a true understanding of society requires moral and personal commitment.

His brutal "re-education" within N.I.C.E., and his eventual repentance, is a journey toward personal knowledge. He is forced to abandon the illusion of detached objectivity and confront the reality of good and evil. He rediscovers the simple, "tacit" knowledge of his own upbringing—the basic decencies and moral intuitions that his intellectual ambition had taught him to despise. His final decision to defy his masters is not based on a new sociological theory but on a personal, moral commitment. He learns, as Polanyi insisted, that all significant knowledge is rooted in commitment, and that a truly humane science must be one that acknowledges its own moral and fiduciary foundations [18].

Finally, N.I.C.E. serves as a terrifying illustration of what Polanyi identified as "moral inversion." Moral inversion is a pathological process that occurs when the high moral passions of humanity, particularly the modern passion for a secular, scientific utopia, are unleashed from the constraints of traditional morality. When ideals like equality, reason, and progress are pursued with fanatical devotion but are severed from their roots in the Tao, they become inverted and turn into instruments of immense cruelty and destruction [2]. The leaders of N.I.C.E. are not, for the most part, motivated by simple greed or sadism; they are driven by a passionate, quasi-religious belief in their own world-historical importance. They believe they are serving a higher cause—the cause of scientific progress and the evolution of man. They are willing to liquidate the past, torture individuals, and destroy nature in service of this abstract future. This is a perfect literary depiction of moral inversion: a dynamic nihilism where the highest moral energies are harnessed to achieve the most immoral ends. The only antidote to this pathology, for both Polanyi and Lewis, is a return to a society that recognizes a transcendent moral order, one that places firm limits on the ambitions of would-be perfecters of humanity.

By reading That Hideous Strength through a Polanyian social framework, the novel's critique of modernity becomes even more precise and devastating. Lewis is not simply attacking science or planning; he is attacking a specific and fallacious conception of science and planning that ignores the personal, tacit, and moral dimensions of human reality. The conflict between N.I.C.E. and St. Anne's is a dramatization of the choice every modern society faces: between a free society of responsible persons, coordinated by the spontaneous orders of culture, tradition, and morality, and a centrally administered state where human beings are reduced to mere objects of a scientific plan. Lewis's answer is clear: the hideous strength of central planning is an illusion, a rebellion against reality that must ultimately collapse into gibbering chaos. The quiet, enduring strength of a moral community, rooted in the nature of things, is the only foundation for a truly human future.

3.3. The Cosmic and the Political: A War of Worldviews

Lewis radically expands the scope of the conflict by introducing a supernatural framework. The struggle between N.I.C.E. and St. Anne's is revealed to be a terrestrial manifestation of a cosmic war between the "Macrobes" (demons) who control N.I.C.E. and the eldila (angels) who guide St. Anne's. This is a deliberate narrative strategy to underscore the ultimate metaphysical stakes of the political struggle. As Bruce Adam has argued, Lewis's cosmology is integral to his defense of truth and meaning; the universe is not a silent, empty void but a theater of spiritual conflict [1].

By embedding the political conflict within this cosmic drama, Lewis makes a profound philosophical point: the modern attempt to create a purely secular politics is an illusion [21]. Political and social orders are always grounded in some ultimate, quasi-religious belief about the nature of reality. N.I.C.E. is not truly secular; it is a religious cult dedicated to the worship of Power and the disembodied intellect. Its leaders are animated by a spiritual hunger that science cannot satisfy.

The intervention of the eldila and the chaotic power of the un-fallen animals at the climax do not represent a deus ex machina that invalidates the human struggle. Rather, they signify Lewis's conviction that a worldview based on materialism and scientism is fundamentally unreal. It is a rebellion against the very structure of the cosmos, and therefore, it is doomed to collapse into self-destruction. The "hideous strength" of N.I.C.E. is ultimately a fragile and incoherent illusion. The true, enduring strength belongs to the reality of the Tao,

which is aligned with the grain of the universe. The political battle for the soul of Britain can only be won when the true nature of the cosmos is acknowledged.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Synthesis of Findings

The analysis of That Hideous Strength through the lens of scientism, Natural Law, and the totalitarian imagination reveals the novel to be a coherent and powerful work of philosophical and political critique. C. S. Lewis successfully demonstrates in narrative form the argument he makes explicit in The Abolition of Man: that the rejection of objective value, or the Tao, is not a path to liberation but to a new and more insidious form of servitude. The novel presents a compelling case that a society built upon the premises of scientism—the reduction of all knowledge to empirical data and all reality to matter—will logically progress toward a totalitarian and posthuman vision.

The stark contrast between the two central communities in the novel serves as the primary vehicle for this argument. N.I.C.E. is not just a collection of villains; it is the institutionalization of a flawed philosophy. Its bureaucratic language, its program of social engineering, and its ultimate ambition to transcend the human body are all direct consequences of its initial metaphysical commitment to materialism. Conversely, St. Anne's is more than an idealized pastoral community; it is a working model of a society structured according to the principles of Natural Law. Its hierarchical yet organic order, its integration of faith and reason, and its emphasis on personal knowledge and moral virtue offer a compelling alternative to the sterile functionality of N.I.C.E. The novel's plot is thus driven by the logical and spiritual consequences of these two opposing starting points, culminating in a cosmic clash that affirms the objective reality of the moral order Lewis seeks to defend.

4.2. Implications for Contemporary Society

The relevance of That Hideous Strength has arguably grown, not diminished, in the decades since its publication. Lewis's warnings about the potential for a "scientific" tyranny speak directly to some of the most pressing issues of the 21st century. The debates surrounding genetic editing, artificial intelligence, and corporate or state surveillance are often framed in the same utilitarian and consequentialist terms used by the leaders of N.I.C.E. The promise of "improving" human capabilities or "optimizing" social outcomes can easily mask a deeper ambition to control and define human life in ways that discard traditional ethical constraints. Lewis's novel forces us to ask critical questions: Who gets to be the "Conditioners"? By what standard will they operate if the Tao is rejected?

Furthermore, the novel's critique of the technocratic mindset is profoundly relevant in an age where "expert" knowledge is often presented as the final word in political and social debates. Lewis, like Polanyi [18], understood the danger of a purely technical or "objective" approach to human problems, which can ignore the personal, cultural, and spiritual dimensions of human existence. The novel serves as a powerful reminder of the need for what ethicists now call "responsible innovation" and the cultivation of moral virtues, like prudence and humility, within technical and scientific fields [19].

Finally, in an increasingly fragmented and secularized West [21], Lewis's defense of Natural Law as a potential source of common moral ground remains a vital contribution to public discourse. His concept of the Tao as a cross-cultural reality offers a powerful challenge to the radical subjectivism that often dominates contemporary ethical debates. That Hideous Strength does not argue for a theocracy, but it does insist that a just and humane society cannot be sustained without a shared belief in a transcendent moral order that stands above the will of the state or the preferences of the individual. It asks us to consider whether a truly free society can long endure once it has abolished the very idea of a stable human nature and the moral laws that derive from it.

4.3. Limitations of the Study

This analysis, by its nature, has certain limitations. In focusing on the political and philosophical themes through the specific lens of Natural Law and scientism, other important dimensions of the novel have been given less attention. For instance, the intricate Arthurian symbolism and the novel's relationship to the broader genre of mythopoeic fantasy have been treated primarily as they serve the philosophical argument, rather than as subjects of study in their own right. A fuller literary analysis might delve deeper into Lewis's use of character archetypes, narrative structure, and imagery [5, 16].

Additionally, the theological specificity of Lewis's vision, rooted in his particular Anglican Christianity, has been framed in the more universal philosophical language of Natural Law. While this approach highlights the public, rational appeal of Lewis's argument, it may downplay the uniquely Christian elements that animate the community at St. Anne's and form the core of Lewis's own worldview [17, 24]. Finally, this study has placed Lewis in dialogue with a select group of thinkers (Finnis, Polanyi, Weber). Engaging his work with other philosophical traditions—such as existentialism, critical theory, or different strands of liberalism—could yield other valuable and contrasting insights into his

thought.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, That Hideous Strength stands as a work of remarkable foresight and enduring philosophical depth. It is far more than a simple dystopian tale; it is a sophisticated literary defense of an entire moral and metaphysical tradition. By personifying the conflict between a scientistic, totalitarian imagination and a moral imagination rooted in Natural Law, C. S. Lewis crafted a narrative that illuminates the profound connection between a society's foundational beliefs and its political destiny. The novel remains a vital resource for understanding the perennial struggle to defend human dignity, freedom, and meaning against the seductive promises of technocratic control.

This study opens several avenues for future research. A comparative analysis of That Hideous Strength with other 20th-century critiques of totalitarianism, such as Orwell's Nineteen Eighty-Four or Huxley's Brave New World, could explore the unique contribution of Lewis's metaphysical framework. Further research could also delve into Michael Polanyi's social and economic theories [2] to more fully explicate the political economy of St. Anne's as an alternative to both collectivism and unchecked capitalism. Finally, a feminist critique of the novel could offer a more nuanced reading of the roles of Jane, the Fairy Hardcastle, and the other female characters, exploring how Lewis portrays the feminine and masculine principles in the context of his broader philosophical argument. Such inquiries would continue to unpack the rich and complex layers of this truly hideous, and truly brilliant, strength.

REFERENCES

[1] Adam, B. (2016). Cosmic warfare: Changing models of the universe and C.S. Lewis's defence of truth and meaning. https://doi.org/10.4225/28/5ab33140faf9b

[2] Beira, E. (2021). Michael Polanyi's social theory and economic thought. Tradition and Discovery: The Polanyi Society Periodical, 47(3), 32–43. https://doi.org/10.5840/traddisc202147330

[3] Berlinger, N. (2003). What is meant by telling the truth: Bonhoeffer on the ethics of disclosure. Studies in Christian Ethics, 16(2), 80–92. https://doi.org/10.1177/095394680301600206

[4] Braidotti, R. (2019). A theoretical framework for the critical posthumanities. Theory, Culture & Society, 36(6), 31–61. https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276418771486

[5] Downing, D. C. (2005). Into the wardrobe: C.S. Lewis and the Narnia chronicles. Jossey-Bass.

[6] Falk, H., Ellul, J., & Wilkinson, J. (1965). The technological society. Technology and Culture, 6(3), 532. https://doi.org/10.2307/3101837

[7] Ferretter, L. (2006). Louis Althusser. Routledge.

[8] Finnis, J. (2011). Natural law and natural rights (2nd ed.). Clarendon Press.

[9] Finnis, J., Keown, J., & George, R. P. (Eds.). (2013). Reason, morality, and law: The philosophy of John Finnis. Oxford University Press.

[10] Hauerwas, S. (2004). Performing the faith: Bonhoeffer and the practice of nonviolence. Brazos Press.

[11] Hooper, W. (1996). C.S. Lewis: A companion & guide. HarperSanFrancisco. https://archive.org/details/cslewiscompanion0000hoop

[12] Lewis, C. S. (2017). The abolition of man. Goodreads. https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/25825420-the-abolition-of-man

[13] Gillespie, M. A. (2008). The theological origins of modernity. University of Chicago Press.

[14] Nickerson, A. J. (2018). Modernist poetics and the secular imagination. Religion & Literature, 50(1–2), 197–186. https://doi.org/10.1353/rel.2018.0015

[15] Eliot, T. S. (2015). Notes towards the definition of culture. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.159230

[16] Ward, M. (20110). Planet Narnia: The seven heavens in the imagination of C. S. Lewis. Open Library. https://openlibrary.org/books/OL27310449M/Planet_Narnia_The_Seven_Heavens_in_the_Imagination_of_C._S._Lewis

[17] Poe, H. L. (2021). The making of C. S. Lewis (1918–1945): From atheist to apologist. Crossway.

[18] Polanyi, M. (1958). Personal knowledge: Towards a post-critical philosophy. University of Chicago Press. https://philpapers.org/rec/POLPKT

[19] Ratti, E., & Graves, M. (2021). Cultivating moral attention: A virtue-oriented approach to responsible data science in healthcare. Philosophy & Technology, 34(4), 1819–1846. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-021-00490-3

[20] Swilling, M. (2019). The age of sustainability. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429057823

[21] Taylor, C. (2023). A secular age. Harvard University Press. https://www.hup.harvard.edu/books/9780674026766

[22] Lewis, C. S. (2015). That hideous strength. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.207839

[23] Eliot, T. S. (2015). The idea of a Christian society. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.209768

[24] Jacobs, A. (2021). The Narnian: The life and imagination of C. S. Lewis. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/narnianlifeimagi0000jaco

[25] Thomas, A. S. (2006). The treatise on the divine nature. Summa Theologiae 1, 1–13.

[26] Weber, M. (2014). From Max Weber: Essays in sociology (H. H. Gerth & C. W. Mills, Eds.). Routledge.