



Journal Website:  
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ijhps>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

## SOVEREIGNTY AND STATE-CITIZEN RELATIONS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CICERO AND BODIN'S WORKS

Submission Date: Aug 22, 2023, Accepted Date: Aug 27, 2023,

Published Date: Sep 01, 2023

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/ijhps/Volume03Issue09-01>

**Fikret Sahin**

Phd, Kırıkkale University, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Department of Philosophy, Kırıkkale/Turkey

### ABSTRACT

This research paper conducts a comparative analysis of the perspectives on sovereignty and state-citizen relations presented in the works of Cicero and Bodin, two prominent thinkers from different historical eras. Cicero, a Roman statesman and philosopher, and Bodin, a French political theorist, both explored the nature of sovereignty and its implications for the relationship between the state and its citizens. The study examines their respective views on the origin and legitimacy of political authority, the role of the state in safeguarding citizens' rights, and the duties and responsibilities of citizens towards the state. By delving into the writings of these influential figures, this research aims to shed light on the evolution of political thought and its impact on our understanding of sovereignty and state-citizen relations.

### KEYWORDS

Sovereignty, state-citizen relations, political authority, Cicero, Bodin, political thought, legitimacy, rights, responsibilities, political theory, comparative analysis.

### INTRODUCTION

The concept of sovereignty and the relationship between the state and its citizens have been fundamental themes in political thought throughout history. Scholars and philosophers from different eras have explored these concepts, shaping our

understanding of governance and political authority. Among the notable figures in this discourse are Cicero, the Roman statesman and philosopher, and Bodin, the French political theorist. Both authors offer unique

perspectives on sovereignty and state-citizen relations, reflecting the contexts of their respective times.

Cicero, a prominent figure in ancient Rome, lived during a period of political upheaval and transition from the Roman Republic to the Roman Empire. In his works, he deliberated on the principles of justice, the rule of law, and the responsibilities of citizens in maintaining a just and stable state. On the other hand, Bodin, a prominent thinker during the Renaissance and Reformation period, witnessed the rise of absolute monarchy in Europe. In his seminal work, "The Six Books of the Commonwealth," Bodin examined the concept of sovereignty and its concentration in the hands of the ruler, emphasizing the importance of a strong central authority.

This research paper aims to conduct a comparative analysis of the works of Cicero and Bodin, exploring their views on sovereignty and state-citizen relations. By examining their writings, we seek to identify similarities, divergences, and the evolution of political thought regarding these crucial themes. Through this comparative analysis, we hope to gain a deeper understanding of the historical development of political ideas and their relevance to contemporary debates on governance and citizenship.

## METHOD

To conduct this comparative analysis, the research will follow a systematic and rigorous methodological approach. The methodology comprises the following key components:

### Literature Review:

A comprehensive literature review will be conducted to gather relevant primary and secondary sources on the works of Cicero and Bodin. This will involve

studying their original texts, as well as scholarly interpretations and commentaries on their ideas.

### Textual Analysis:

The primary method of data collection will be textual analysis. The selected works of Cicero, such as "De Re Publica" and "De Legibus," and Bodin's "The Six Books of the Commonwealth" will be carefully studied to extract their perspectives on sovereignty and state-citizen relations. Key themes, concepts, and arguments will be identified and analyzed.

### Comparative Framework:

A comparative framework will be developed to systematically compare and contrast the views of Cicero and Bodin on sovereignty and state-citizen relations. This framework will serve as a guide to organize the findings and draw meaningful comparisons between the two thinkers.

### Historical Context:

The research will pay close attention to the historical context in which Cicero and Bodin lived and wrote. Understanding the political, social, and cultural milieu of their times is crucial for interpreting their ideas accurately.

### Theory Application:

Relevant political and philosophical theories will be applied to interpret and contextualize the ideas of Cicero and Bodin. This will provide a theoretical lens to analyze the significance and implications of their views.

### Validity and Reliability:

The research will ensure the validity and reliability of the findings by employing rigorous methodologies and adhering to academic standards. Counterarguments



and alternative interpretations will be considered to present a comprehensive analysis.

By adopting this methodological approach, the research aims to provide a nuanced and insightful comparative analysis of Cicero and Bodin's works on sovereignty and state-citizen relations. The findings will contribute to our understanding of the historical development of political thought and shed light on the relevance of their ideas to contemporary political discussions.

## RESULT

The comparative analysis of Cicero and Bodin's works on sovereignty and state-citizen relations reveals intriguing similarities and distinctions in their perspectives. Both thinkers explore the nature of political authority and its implications for the relationship between the state and its citizens. While Cicero's writings emphasize the importance of justice, the rule of law, and active citizen participation, Bodin's ideas revolve around the concept of absolute sovereignty and the concentration of power in the hands of the ruler. The research highlights how the historical contexts of ancient Rome and the Renaissance period significantly influenced their respective views on governance and citizenship.

## DISCUSSION

Cicero's works, "De Re Publica" and "De Legibus," reflect his belief in a mixed government with checks and balances, where different branches of power collaborate to safeguard the rights and welfare of citizens. He places great importance on the rule of law, advocating for the supremacy of justice and fairness. Cicero views citizens as active participants in the state's affairs, responsible for upholding moral values and contributing to the common good. His vision of

state-citizen relations encourages civic engagement and virtue as fundamental components of a just and prosperous society.

On the other hand, Bodin's "The Six Books of the Commonwealth" introduces the idea of absolute sovereignty, where supreme authority is vested in a single ruler. He contends that the strength and stability of the state depend on the unchallenged power of the sovereign. Bodin's concept of sovereignty emphasizes the indivisibility of the state's authority, which implies that the ruler is not bound by any external authority or laws. In this context, state-citizen relations are characterized by a hierarchical structure, with the ruler exercising absolute control over the citizens.

Comparing these two perspectives, it becomes evident that Cicero's ideas emphasize citizen participation and shared governance, whereas Bodin's views focus on concentrated authority and centralized power. The research reveals how their respective historical contexts shaped their interpretations of sovereignty and state-citizen relations. Cicero lived during a time of political turmoil and the decline of the Roman Republic, which influenced his concerns about justice, law, and citizen participation. In contrast, Bodin's writings emerged during the Renaissance, a period marked by the rise of absolute monarchies, which influenced his emphasis on strong and centralized governance.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of Cicero and Bodin's works on sovereignty and state-citizen relations illuminates significant differences in their perspectives. Cicero's focus on justice, the rule of law, and citizen participation reflects the context of ancient Rome's political turmoil and his commitment to preserving the ideals of the Roman Republic. On the

other hand, Bodin's concept of absolute sovereignty reflects the Renaissance era's shift towards strong centralized governance and the emergence of absolute monarchies.

The research underscores the importance of historical context in shaping political thought and emphasizes that different historical periods produce diverse interpretations of sovereignty and state-citizen relations. Both Cicero and Bodin have made valuable contributions to our understanding of governance and citizenship, with their works continuing to influence political discourse and theory.

This analysis provides valuable insights for contemporary debates on sovereignty and state-citizen relations. By examining the diverse perspectives of Cicero and Bodin, policymakers and scholars can gain a deeper appreciation for the complexities and implications of different models of governance. Understanding these historical perspectives can inform current efforts to foster just, participatory, and effective state-citizen relations in modern societies. Ultimately, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of the evolution of political thought and its impact on our understanding of sovereignty and state-citizen relations.

## REFERENCES

1. AGAOGULLARI, Mehmet Ali (2006), *Ulus-Devlet ya da Halkın Egemenliği* (Nation-State or Sovereignty of People), İmge Kitabevi, Ankara.
2. AGAOGULLARI, Mehmet Ali, Levent Köker (2000), *Kral-Devlet ya da Ölümlü Tanrı* (King-State or Mortal God), İmge Kitabevi, Ankara.
3. AKAL, Cemal Bali (1998), *İktidarın Üç Yüzü* (Three Faces of the Power), Dost Kitabevi, Ankara.
4. AKKAS, Hasan Hüseyin (2004), *Muhafazakâr Düşünce ve Edmund Burke* (Conservative Thought and Edmund Burke), Kadim Yayınları, Ankara.
5. ALLEN, J. M. (1925-1926), "Jean Bodin", Edit.: F. J. C. Hearnshaw, *Social and Political Ideas of Some Great Thinkers of the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries*, George G. Harrap & Company Ltd., London-Calcutta-Sydney, p. 42-61.
6. ASHWORTH, Lucian M. (2003), "The Limits of Enlightenment: Inter-state Relations in Eighteenth-Century Political Thought", Edit.: Paschalis M. Kitromilides, *From Republican Polity to National Community*, Voltaire Foundation Press, Oxford, p. 110-140.
7. AUDIER, Serge (2006), *Cumhuriyet Kuramları* (Theories of the Republic), Translation: İsmail Yerguz, İletişim Yayınları, İstanbul.
8. BEAUD, Oliver (2003), "Egemenlik" ("Sovereignty"), Translation: İsmail Yerguz, *Siyaset Felsefesi Sözlüğü* (Dictionary of Political Philosophy), Edit.: Phillippe Raynaud and Stéphane Rials, İletişim Yayınları, İstanbul, p. 271-280.
9. BODIN, Jean (1955), *Six Books Of The Commonwealth*, Trans.: M. J. Tooley, Printed in Great Britain in the City of Oxford at the Alden Press, Oxford, <http://www.constitution.org/liberlib.com>, 20.04.2011.
10. BRANCOURT, Jean-Pierre (2005), "Estat'lardan Devlete Bir Sözcüğün Evrimi" ("Evolution of a Word from Estat's to the State"), Translation: Beki Haleva ve PınarGüzelyürek, *Devlet Kuramı*, Edit.: Cemal Bâli Akal, Dost Yayınevi, Ankara, p. 177-190.