

Challenges of Providing Cybersecurity in Central Asia: Threats and Opportunities for Regional Cooperation

Musanov Kenjaboy Pulatovich

Independent Researcher, Department of International Relations, Tashkent State University of Oriental Studies, Uzbekistan

Received: 02 August 2026; **Accepted:** 28 August 2026; **Published:** 26 September 2026

Abstract: This study examines the evolving landscape of cybersecurity within the Central Asian region, evaluating national legislative frameworks, institutional mechanisms, and cross-border vulnerabilities. Positioned as a critical geopolitical hub, Central Asia faces escalating asymmetric cyber threats, including state-sponsored infrastructure attacks, digital extremism, systemic disinformation campaigns, and cross-border financial fraud. Through a comparative institutional analysis of Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, and Kyrgyzstan, this paper assesses the efficacy of current domestic defense mechanisms - such as Uzbekistan's 2022 Law "On Cybersecurity" and Kazakhstan's "Cyber Shield" initiative. The findings indicate that while national legislative architectures are substantially developed, regional cyber-defense remains highly fragmented due to the absence of unified data-sharing protocols and formal multilateral operational structures. To mitigate these vulnerabilities, the study proposes the establishment of a centralized "Central Asian Cyber Response Network" (CACRN) and a harmonized regional legal framework to institutionalize collective digital resilience.

Keywords: Cybersecurity, Central Asia, Digital Threat Landscape, Regional Cooperation, Cyber Legislation, Information Warfare.

Introduction: In the 21st century, the rapid proliferation of information and communication technologies (ICT) has emerged as a primary catalyst for global socioeconomic transformation. Concurrently, the accelerated expansion of the digital ecosystem has generated unprecedented architectural vulnerabilities and non-traditional security threats. Consequently, cybersecurity has transitioned from a technical operational concern into a core component of state sovereignty and regional stability.

The countries of Central Asia - comprising Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan - occupy a pivotal geopolitical position characterized by deepening economic integration, accelerating state-led digital transition programs, and profound exposure to external digital shockwaves. Despite aggressive domestic pushbacks toward digitization, the regional security paradigm remains vulnerable to targeted digital exploitation. This study provides an in-depth academic analysis of the contemporary cyber threat landscape in Central Asia, evaluates the structural

efficacy of existing national legal-regulatory frameworks, and explores institutionalized mechanisms for multilateral security cooperation.

METHODOLOGY

This study utilizes a qualitative and comparative institutional research design to assess the cybersecurity architecture of Central Asia, focusing on Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, and Kyrgyzstan. The empirical foundation relies on secondary data triangulation, integrating:

1. National legislative acts, executive decrees, and strategic state programs (e.g., the 2022 Law "On Cybersecurity" of Uzbekistan).
2. Quantitative empirical data extracted from official national statistical agencies, state security monitoring centers (such as UzSOC), and validated international open-source platforms (e.g., Vision of Humanity).
3. Comparative legal and institutional mapping to identify regulatory gaps, cross-border operational bottlenecks, and structural divergence across regional

frameworks.

RESULTS

The Contemporary Cyber Threat Landscape in Central Asia

The empirical data demonstrates that cybersecurity threats in Central Asia are multifaceted, evolving, and highly asymmetric. These threats can be categorized into four primary operational vectors:

1. **State and Non-State Infrastructure Attacks:** Malicious actors increasingly target state portals, central banking systems, and critical national infrastructure (CNI), undermining economic and sociopolitical stability. Over the past five years, distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) and Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) campaigns have systematically targeted web resources in Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan. In Uzbekistan, the e-government system and vital state portals (including Senat.uz, Minsport.uz, Adolat.uz, Edu.uz, and Agmk.uz) recorded 11 million cyberattacks of varying severity in 2023. By 2024, this volume escalated to 12 million incidents. Critically, 45 major websites (12 public sector and 33 private sector platforms) suffered severe compromises due to non-compliance with mandatory state information-security protocols. Similarly, in 2020, Kazakhstan’s state web resources were subjected to coordinated cyber strikes originating from over 500 distinct IP addresses across 48 foreign jurisdictions.
2. **Digital Radicalization and Violent Extremism:** Transnational terrorist and extremist networks leverage encrypted communication channels to

disseminate radical ideologies, target vulnerable youth demographics, and orchestrate illicit financing mechanisms. Organizations such as ISIS and its regional affiliates systematically deploy closed channels on end-to-end encrypted applications (e.g., Telegram and WhatsApp). In 2023 alone, law enforcement agencies in Uzbekistan intercepted and identified over 500 cases of digital extremist propaganda and radicalization networks operating online.

3. **Information Warfare, Distrust, and Geopolitical Disinformation:** Within the arena of intense regional and global geopolitical competition, weaponized disinformation operations threaten local social cohesion. During the COVID-19 pandemic, systemic infodemics and state-targeted fake news undermined public trust in public health policies. Furthermore, during the 2022 civil unrest in Nukus (Karakalpakstan), localized political processes were severely aggravated by external media manipulation, where external digital actors actively disseminated biased narratives to polarize the population.
4. **Financial Cybercrime and Transnational Fraud:** The integration of digital banking and e-commerce ecosystems has generated a surge in cyber-enabled financial crimes. In Kyrgyzstan, data theft and online banking fraud cases rose from 6,871 incidents in 2020 to 9,041 by 2024. In Uzbekistan, cyber fraud grew by 34% year-on-year in 2024. In the first half of 2025, Kazakhstan reported over 11,000 corporate and individual cyber fraud cases, resulting in financial damages exceeding 25 billion tenge (approximately USD 47 million).

Table 1: Matrix of Core Cybersecurity Threats and Socio-Political Impacts in Central Asia

Threat Typology	Empirical Examples & Incidents	Sociopolitical & Economic Impacts
Infrastructure Attacks (DDoS, Malware, APTs)	Systematic attacks on state portals (Uzbekistan: 2023 - 2024; Kazakhstan: 2020)	Interruption of electronic government services; compromised state data integrity.
Digital Extremism	Radical propaganda via encrypted networks (Telegram, WhatsApp)	Accelerated youth radicalization; expansion of domestic terror financing cells.
Information Warfare	COVID-19 infodemics; 2022 Nukus events media manipulation	Erosion of public trust in state institutions; civil unrest; social destabilization.
Financial Cybercrime	Phishing, identity theft, and online banking fraud (Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan: 2025)	Substantial macroeconomic losses; systemic threat to e-commerce adoption.

National Legislative Architectures and Institutional Frameworks

To counter these escalating vectors, Central Asian states have developed individualized legislative

strategies. Kazakhstan initiated this shift by adopting the “Cyber Shield of Kazakhstan” Cybersecurity Concept in 2017. This framework established specialized national monitoring centers to dynamically secure national informational infrastructure. In 2019, the Kyrgyz Republic enacted its own “Cybersecurity Concept of the Kyrgyz Republic” focused on securing critical national infrastructure through the

establishment of the State Cyber Incident Response Team (CERT-KG).

Uzbekistan has significantly consolidated its statutory framework by passing the comprehensive Law “On Cybersecurity” in 2022, which codifies the protection parameters for state, social, and individual data architectures.

Table 2: Chronological Evolution of Uzbekistan’s Cybersecurity Legislation

Statutory Title	Enactment Year	Core Objective & Mandate	Strategic Significance
Law "On Informatization"	2003 (Amended 2021)	Regulates the creation, deployment, and protection of information systems.	Establishes the foundational legal duties for public and private network operators.
Law "On Electronic Government"	2015	Digital transformation of public service delivery pipelines.	Provides legal guarantees for secure data exchange across state platforms.
Law “On Personal Data”	2019	Standardizes collection, processing, and localized storage of citizen data.	Enforces personal data protection; mandates compliance for foreign IT entities.
Law “On Electronic Commerce”	2022	Secures electronic contracts, transaction environments, and digital payments.	Codifies cryptographic and transactional safety parameters within the digital economy.
Law “On Cybersecurity”	2022	Outlines authorized state bodies and cross-sectoral security duties.	Formally unifies state defense mechanisms against internal and external cyber threats.

DISCUSSION

The institutional mapping of Central Asia’s digital defense architecture reveals a profound structural contradiction: while national legislative systems (such as Uzbekistan’s specialized laws or Kazakhstan’s “Cyber Shield”) are increasingly sophisticated, the region’s operational defense paradigm remains inherently fragmented.

This structural vulnerability stems from several critical gaps:

- 1. Absence of Multilateral Operational Protocols:** There is no comprehensive, legally binding regional memorandum or joint treaty that standardizes cyber incident responses across Central Asian borders.
- 2. Asymmetric Institutional Development:** While Uzbekistan established its state-of-the-art "UzSOC" (Cybersecurity Monitoring Center) in 2024 and Kazakhstan has matured its Cyber Shield monitoring centers, institutional technical capabilities remain uneven across neighboring states like Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan.

3. Information Siloing: The absence of automated, real-time data-sharing networks concerning active malware campaigns, threat actors, and IP reputations prevents a collective defense response. Because cyber threats are inherently borderless, an attack originating from or routed through one regional state directly exposes the shared vulnerabilities of the others.

To bridge these gaps, regional cooperation must move beyond broad political declarations. The region requires a institutionalized framework, such as a Central Asian Cyber Response Network (CACRN), to synchronize national CERTs and harmonize cross-border legal investigations into financial cybercrime.

CONCLUSION

Central Asia has arrived at a critical turning point in its digital development. The rapid expansion of the digital economy has significantly outpaced the evolution of collective regional security frameworks. The data analyzed in this study clearly demonstrates that individual national legal frameworks - regardless of their internal sophistication - cannot fully insulate a state from interconnected, cross-border digital threats.

State-sponsored infrastructure disruptions, encrypted extremist propaganda, and multi-million-dollar financial frauds require a unified regional response.

To ensure long-term regional stability, the states of Central Asia must actively transition from isolated national defense strategies toward a deeply integrated, multilateral cybersecurity ecosystem. The institutionalization of a unified regional data-sharing protocol and joint incident response teams represents the most viable path forward to secure the strategic digital future of the region up to 2030 and beyond.

REFERENCES

1. Council of Europe. Convention on Cybercrime / Budapest Convention. URL: <https://www.coe.int/en/web/cybercrime/home>
2. Estonian Ministry of Defense. (2018). National Cyber Security Strategy 2019 - 2022. Retrieved from https://www.kaitseministeerium.ee/sites/default/files/elfinder/article_files/national_cyber_security_strategy_2019-2022_eng.pdf
3. Singapore ICT Market. Mordor Intelligence. URL: <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/ru/industry-reports/singapore-ict-market>
4. Giles, K., & Price, B. (Eds.). (2016). *Information Warfare: Truth and Deception in the Age of Social Media*. Routledge.
5. Connell, M., & Vogler, S. (Eds.). (2019). *Hybrid Warfare: Security and Asymmetric Conflict in International Relations*. Routledge.