

Socio-Psychological Characteristics Of The Influence Of Parent–Adolescent Relationships On Adolescents’ Self-Esteem

Ustin Pavel Nikolaevich

Institute of Psychology and Education, Kazan (Volga Region) Federal University, Kazan, Russia. Head of the Department of General Psychology, Candidate of Psychological Sciences, Associate Professor, Uzbekistan

Sharafitdinov Abdulla

Republic of Uzbekistan, associate professor, acting head of the department of psychology, Jizzakh Branch of the National University of Uzbekistan

Achilova Durdona

Republic of Uzbekistan, Associate professor, acting head of the department of psychology at Jizzakh Branch of the National University of Uzbekistan

Luxmanov Istedod

A student of the Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan

Received: 16 December 2025; **Accepted:** 26 January 2026; **Published:** 22 February 2026

Abstract: Adolescence is a sensitive developmental period in which global self-esteem becomes increasingly connected to social evaluation, autonomy, and identity consolidation. Parent–adolescent relationships remain a primary relational context shaping adolescents’ self-worth, even as peer influence intensifies. This article synthesizes socio-psychological evidence on how core dimensions of parenting and parent–adolescent bonds—warmth and acceptance, autonomy support and behavioral monitoring, psychological control, and perceived attachment security—are associated with adolescents’ self-esteem. A targeted narrative synthesis was conducted with priority given to meta-analyses and longitudinal studies, complemented by seminal theoretical and measurement sources. Evidence consistently indicates that supportive and responsive parenting is linked to higher adolescent self-esteem, whereas rejection, hostility, and psychologically controlling practices are associated with lower self-esteem and more fragile self-evaluations. Meta-analytic findings show small-to-moderate associations between parenting styles and self-esteem, and a moderate association between attachment security to parents and self-esteem, with emerging longitudinal evidence for bidirectional pathways. Cross-cultural results suggest that the harmful role of perceived rejection is broadly similar across societies, although norms around autonomy and control may shape how adolescents interpret parental behavior. The discussion integrates these findings into a socio-psychological model emphasizing meaning-making, internalized relational representations, and basic psychological need satisfaction, while highlighting moderators such as socioeconomic stress, parent gender, adolescent gender, and family communication patterns. Practical implications focus on promoting autonomy-supportive, warm, and non-intrusive parenting interactions that protect adolescents’ sense of worth during normative conflict and increasing independence.

Keywords: Adolescence; self-esteem; parent–adolescent relationships; parenting styles; attachment security; psychological control; parental acceptance–rejection.

Introduction: Self-esteem is commonly defined as a global evaluation of one’s worth, integrating self-

relevant experiences across domains and relationships. The construct is especially consequential in adolescence because rapid biological maturation, expanding social comparison, and identity exploration increase the salience of interpersonal feedback. Longitudinal evidence indicates that self-esteem shows systematic developmental change across the life span and is meaningfully connected to later mental health and social functioning, making adolescence a key window for understanding protective and risk processes.

Parents remain central socialization agents during adolescence, but the relationship is restructured: the adolescent seeks greater independence, while parents renegotiate authority, monitoring, and emotional closeness. These negotiations can either consolidate a stable sense of worth or heighten vulnerability to shame, self-doubt, and contingent self-regard. In socio-psychological terms, the parent–adolescent relationship functions as a “meaning system” through which adolescents interpret feedback about their value, competence, and belonging. When communication conveys respect, reliability, and emotional availability, adolescents are more likely to internalize a coherent and positive self-view. When parental interaction communicates rejection, unpredictability, or emotional manipulation, adolescents may develop self-esteem that is lower, more unstable, and more dependent on external validation.

A large body of research operationalizes parent–adolescent relationship quality through (a) broad parenting styles (authoritative, authoritarian, permissive, neglectful), (b) specific parenting dimensions (warmth, monitoring, hostility), (c) attachment-related constructs (security with mother/father), and (d) controlling practices that intrude on the adolescent’s psychological experience (psychological control, conditional regard). Meta-analytic evidence suggests that authoritative parenting—high warmth coupled with appropriate structure—is positively associated with children’s and adolescents’ self-esteem, whereas authoritarian and neglectful patterns show negative associations. At the relational level, perceived attachment security to parents demonstrates a moderate positive association with self-esteem across a large international evidence base.

Despite strong convergent findings, two conceptual challenges remain. First, correlations do not map neatly onto one-way causal effects: adolescents’ self-esteem may also shape parenting behaviors and family climate over time. Second, “the same” parenting behavior can be interpreted differently depending on cultural

norms, socioeconomic stress, and family communication styles, which complicates universal prescriptions. Cross-cultural longitudinal evidence grounded in interpersonal acceptance–rejection theory suggests that perceived parental rejection is broadly detrimental across diverse societies, yet the pathways through which rejection affects self-esteem may vary in strength and meaning.

The aim of this article is to synthesize socio-psychological characteristics of how parent–adolescent relationships influence adolescents’ self-esteem, with attention to mechanisms (how effects occur), moderators (when and for whom effects are stronger), and implications for family-based prevention.

A targeted narrative synthesis was conducted to integrate the most robust evidence on parent–adolescent relationships and adolescent self-esteem. Sources were identified through focused searches of major biomedical and psychological indexing platforms and publisher repositories, with backward citation chaining from high-impact reviews. Priority was given to peer-reviewed meta-analyses, longitudinal studies (including cross-lagged designs), and foundational measurement papers because these sources best support conclusions about magnitude, directionality, and construct validity.

Search strings combined terms describing adolescent self-esteem (e.g., “self-esteem,” “Rosenberg”) with terms describing parenting and parent–adolescent relationships (e.g., “parenting styles,” “warmth,” “monitoring,” “psychological control,” “parental acceptance-rejection,” “attachment to parents,” “parent–adolescent”). Evidence was included when it reported empirical associations between parenting/parent–adolescent relationship indicators and adolescents’ global self-esteem or closely related global self-worth constructs, typically measured with validated scales such as the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale. When multiple papers addressed the same construct, meta-analytic estimates were treated as the primary quantitative anchor, with individual longitudinal studies used to interpret direction-of-effect questions.

Across quantitative syntheses, parenting style shows consistent associations with self-esteem, although effect sizes are typically modest. In a large meta-analysis integrating over a hundred studies, authoritative parenting demonstrated a small-to-moderate positive association with offspring self-esteem, whereas authoritarian and neglectful parenting styles were associated with lower self-esteem; the authors also emphasized that evidence for unidirectional parenting-to-self-esteem effects is

limited because relatively few longitudinal studies were available and child effects were detectable in cross-lagged analyses. These findings support a socio-psychological interpretation in which warmth and responsiveness communicate acceptance and respect, while consistent structure supports competence experiences, both of which contribute to a more favorable global self-evaluation.

The parenting style construct is, however, a “bundle” of behaviors rather than a single mechanism. Recent work highlights that psychological control—tactics that manipulate the adolescent’s emotional experience or undermine autonomous self-definition—should be considered explicitly rather than implicitly absorbed into broad categories. This matters because adolescents may comply behaviorally with controlling parents while simultaneously developing diminished self-regard, shame-proneness, or a contingent form of self-esteem that depends on avoiding disapproval.

Attachment theory predicts that repeated relational experiences with caregivers become internalized as working models of the self and others, shaping whether the self is experienced as worthy of care and whether others are expected to be supportive. Consistent with this claim, a large meta-analysis reported a moderate positive association between attachment security to parents and self-esteem, with stronger correlations when attachment was assessed globally rather than separately for mothers or fathers. Importantly, cross-lagged findings within that synthesis indicated evidence for bidirectionality: higher attachment security predicted increases in self-esteem over time, and higher self-esteem also predicted some increases in perceived security, although the security-to-self-esteem effect was larger.

This bidirectional pattern is socio-psychologically plausible. Adolescents with higher self-esteem may interpret parental behavior more benevolently, communicate more confidently, and elicit warmer responses. Conversely, adolescents who experience parents as reliably available may generalize relational safety to their self-evaluations, especially during normative stressors such as academic transitions or peer conflict.

Psychological control is repeatedly linked to poorer adolescent adjustment, and it is conceptually aligned with threats to self-esteem because it targets the self rather than behavior. Classic evidence differentiates psychological control from behavioral control, showing that psychologically controlling practices are predictive of internalizing problems and maladaptive emotional outcomes. Cross-cultural work further indicates that psychological control is associated with adolescent

depressive experiences, supporting the view that intrusive control undermines adolescents’ autonomy-related needs and self-worth.

Conditional positive regard—providing affection and approval primarily when the adolescent meets parental expectations—appears especially relevant to unstable or contingent self-esteem. Evidence suggests that when adolescents perceive affection as dependent on achievement, they are more likely to experience self-aggrandizement after success and shame or self-devaluation after failure, a profile that reflects self-esteem volatility rather than secure self-worth. Such dynamics are socio-psychologically important because they connect family interaction patterns to adolescents’ meaning-making about performance, worthiness, and relational security.

Interpersonal acceptance–rejection theory proposes that perceived parental warmth versus rejection is a core dimension with broad implications for psychological adjustment. Large cross-cultural longitudinal research across multiple countries indicates that different forms of perceived rejection (e.g., coldness, hostility, neglect) are associated with both internalizing and externalizing problems, with effects described as broadly pancultural. Although this evidence focuses on broader adjustment, it supports a direct inference for adolescent self-esteem: rejection communicates low relational value, which plausibly becomes internalized as lower self-worth, especially in contexts where family belonging is central to identity.

Evidence from longitudinal family studies suggests that broader family environment variables co-occur with self-esteem development. A multi-informant longitudinal study following youth from ages 10 to 16 found that warmth, monitoring, economic security, and lower maternal depression predicted higher self-esteem in cross-lagged models, while reciprocal effects from self-esteem to some family values were also observed; results were more conservative under random-intercept models that separate stable between-family differences from within-family fluctuations. This pattern reinforces two socio-psychological conclusions: first, adolescents’ self-esteem is embedded in an ecology of emotional climate and stress; second, effects can be small per time interval but meaningful cumulatively as adolescents repeatedly interpret relational experiences.

The synthesized evidence supports a model in which parent–adolescent relationships influence self-esteem through three interlocking processes: relational meaning, need satisfaction, and internalized self-representations.

Relational meaning refers to how adolescents interpret

daily interactions. Warmth, listening, and respectful communication signal “I matter,” whereas rejection, humiliation, or emotional manipulation signal “I am valued only conditionally” or “my feelings are invalid.” These meanings are not merely cognitive; they are socially constructed in recurring exchanges, especially during conflict. Meta-analytic associations between authoritative parenting and self-esteem are consistent with the idea that adolescents can tolerate structure when it is embedded in acceptance.

Need satisfaction provides a motivational bridge. Self-determination theory proposes that autonomy, competence, and relatedness are basic psychological needs that support well-being and internalization. Parenting that supports choice, explains rules, and recognizes the adolescent’s perspective is more likely to satisfy these needs, fostering stable self-esteem. In contrast, psychological control and conditional regard undermine autonomy and relatedness, predicting shame-based self-evaluations and volatile self-worth.

Internalized self-representations connect relationships to global self-evaluation across time. Attachment security meta-analytic findings suggest that a stable sense of parental availability is associated with higher self-esteem, and longitudinal evidence indicates that secure relationships can precede growth in self-esteem. The same evidence also supports some reverse influence, consistent with transactional family models: adolescents’ self-esteem can affect how they engage with parents and how parents respond.

Cultural context likely moderates interpretation. In communities where interdependence and family obligations are strongly valued, parental monitoring may be interpreted as care, while autonomy negotiation may occur through indirect communication. Conversely, the same monitoring can be experienced as mistrust when accompanied by criticism or humiliation. Cross-cultural longitudinal findings that emphasize pancultural harm of perceived rejection suggest that warmth and acceptance are broadly protective, even if behavioral expressions differ.

Socioeconomic stress is another boundary condition. Economic hardship and parental depression can reduce emotional availability, increase irritability, and intensify controlling practices, thereby shaping adolescents’ self-esteem trajectories. Longitudinal family evidence supports links between economic and parental mental health variables and self-esteem development, though effect sizes can depend on analytic modeling choices.

Parent gender and adolescent gender may also matter, not necessarily by changing the direction of effects but by shaping which cues adolescents attend to. Meta-

analytic attachment findings show broadly similar associations for mothers and fathers, while suggesting that global assessments of “parents in general” can yield stronger correlations, possibly because adolescents form an integrated sense of family security.

From a preventive standpoint, the most actionable target is not “high self-esteem” as a slogan, but stable self-worth grounded in secure belonging and autonomy-respecting structure. Family-based interventions can focus on communication that reduces humiliation and invalidation during conflict, supports perspective-taking, and separates evaluation of behavior from evaluation of the adolescent’s worth. Reducing psychologically controlling practices is especially important because these strategies are aimed at the self and are linked to maladaptive emotional outcomes.

A second implication is to treat adolescent self-esteem as relationally embedded and transactional. Supporting parents alone may be insufficient if adolescents are experiencing peer stress or school transitions that lower self-esteem; similarly, boosting adolescent coping without improving family interaction patterns may leave relational threats intact. Evidence connecting self-esteem to later depression and anxiety underscores the clinical relevance of addressing these family processes early.

The socio-psychological literature converges on a clear pattern: adolescents’ self-esteem is higher when parent–adolescent relationships provide warmth, acceptance, and autonomy-supportive structure, and it is lower when adolescents perceive rejection, hostility, psychological control, or conditional affection. Meta-analyses document reliable associations for both parenting styles and attachment security, and emerging longitudinal findings support transactional pathways in which both parenting and adolescent self-esteem influence each other over time. Cross-cultural evidence suggests that perceived rejection is broadly harmful, reinforcing the universal importance of emotional acceptance even when cultural norms shape how control and autonomy are expressed. Future research should strengthen causal inference through more longitudinal designs, culturally sensitive measurement, and family-based interventions that explicitly target psychologically controlling practices and conditional regard while maintaining appropriate behavioral boundaries.

REFERENCES

1. Rosenberg M. *Society and the Adolescent Self-Image*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1965. 326 p.

2. Pinquart M., Gerke D.-C. Associations of Parenting Styles with Self-Esteem in Children and Adolescents: A Meta-Analysis // *Journal of Child and Family Studies*. 2019. Vol. 28. P. 2017–2035. DOI: 10.1007/s10826-019-01417-5.
3. Pinquart M. Associations of Self-Esteem With Attachment to Parents: A Meta-Analysis // *Psychological Reports*. 2023. Vol. 126, No. 5. P. 2101–2118. DOI: 10.1177/00332941221079732.
4. Barber B.K. Parental Psychological Control: Revisiting a Neglected Construct // *Child Development*. 1996. Vol. 67, No. 6. P. 3296–3319. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1996.tb01915.x.
5. Armsden G.C., Greenberg M.T. The Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment: Individual Differences and Their Relationship to Psychological Well-Being in Adolescence // *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 1987. Vol. 16, No. 5. P. 427–454. DOI: 10.1007/BF02202939.
6. Parker G., Tupling H., Brown L.B. A Parental Bonding Instrument // *British Journal of Medical Psychology*. 1979. Vol. 52, No. 1. P. 1–10. DOI: 10.1111/j.2044-8341.1979.tb02487.x.
7. Baumrind D. The Influence of Parenting Style on Adolescent Competence and Substance Use // *Journal of Early Adolescence*. 1991. Vol. 11, No. 1. P. 56–95. DOI: 10.1177/0272431691111004.
8. Kuppens S., Ceulemans E. Parenting Styles: A Closer Look at a Well-Known Concept // *Journal of Child and Family Studies*. 2019. Vol. 28, No. 1. P. 168–181. DOI: 10.1007/s10826-018-1242-x.
9. Orth U., Robins R.W. The Development of Self-Esteem // *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2014. Vol. 23, No. 5. P. 381–387. DOI: 10.1177/0963721414547414.
10. Orth U., Erol R.Y., Luciano E.C. Development of Self-Esteem from Age 4 to 94 Years: A Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies // *Psychological Bulletin*. 2018. Vol. 144, No. 10. P. 1045–1080. DOI: 10.1037/bul0000161.
11. Sowislo J.F., Orth U. Does Low Self-Esteem Predict Depression and Anxiety? A Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies // *Psychological Bulletin*. 2013. Vol. 139, No. 1. P. 213–240. DOI: 10.1037/a0028931.
12. Deci E.L., Ryan R.M. The “What” and “Why” of Goal Pursuits: Human Needs and the Self-Determination of Behavior // *Psychological Inquiry*. 2000. Vol. 11, No. 4. P. 227–268. DOI: 10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01.
13. Soenens B., Park S.-Y., Vansteenkiste M., Mouratidis A. Perceived Parental Psychological Control and Adolescent Depressive Experiences: A Cross-Cultural Study with Belgian and South-Korean Adolescents // *Journal of Adolescence*. 2012. Vol. 35, No. 2. P. 261–272. DOI: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2011.05.001.
14. Rothenberg W.A., Ali S., Rohner R.P., Lansford J.E., Britner P.A., Di Giunta L., et al. Effects of Parental Acceptance-Rejection on Children’s Internalizing and Externalizing Behaviors: A Longitudinal, Multicultural Study // *Journal of Child and Family Studies*. 2022. Vol. 31, No. 1. P. 29–47. DOI: 10.1007/s10826-021-02072-5.
15. Assor A., Tal K. When Parents’ Affection Depends on Child’s Achievement: Parental Conditional Positive Regard, Self-Aggrandizement, Shame and Coping in Adolescents // *Journal of Adolescence*. 2012. Vol. 35. P. 249–260. DOI: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2011.10.004.
16. Lamborn S.D., Mounts N.S., Steinberg L., Dornbusch S.M. Patterns of Competence and Adjustment among Adolescents from Authoritative, Authoritarian, Indulgent, and Neglectful Families // *Child Development*. 1991. Vol. 62, No. 5. P. 1049–1065. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1991.tb01588.x.
17. Steinberg L., Lamborn S.D., Darling N., Mounts N.S., Dornbusch S.M. Over-Time Changes in Adjustment and Competence among Adolescents from Authoritative, Authoritarian, Indulgent, and Neglectful Families // *Child Development*. 1994. Vol. 65, No. 3. P. 754–770. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1994.tb00781.x.
18. Krauss S., Orth U., Robins R.W. Family Environment and Self-Esteem Development: A Longitudinal Study from Age 10 to 16 // *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2019. Vol. 119, No. 2. P. 457–478. DOI: 10.1037/pspp0000263.
19. Lim Y. Self-Esteem as a Mediator in the Longitudinal Relationship between Dysfunctional Parenting and Peer Attachment in Early Adolescence // *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2020. Vol. 116. Article 105224. DOI: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105224.
20. Harter S. *The Construction of the Self: Developmental and Sociocultural Foundations*. 2nd ed. New York; London: Guilford Press, 2012.