

# The Meaning Of Life In Existentialist Philosophy

Abdalimova Matluba Abduodirovna

Andijon Iqtisodiyot va pedagogika universiteti, Falsafa fanlari nomzodi dotsent, Uzbekistan

**Received:** 18 October 2025; **Accepted:** 08 November 2025; **Published:** 15 December 2025

**Abstract:** This article examines the existentialist philosophy's perspective on the meaning of life and contrasts it with conventional religious and rationalist interpretations. The research concentrates on prominent figures of existentialist, such as Søren Kierkegaard, Martin Heidegger, Jean-Paul Sartre, Albert Camus, and Viktor Frankl, analyzing fundamental themes of freedom, responsibility, authenticity, absurdity, transcendence, and finitude. Through comparative conceptual study of original texts and hermeneutic interpretation, the paper reconstructs the existentialist assertion that existence lacks an inherent, objective goal, deriving meaning instead from specific personal choices and responsible commitments. The findings indicate that existentialists reframe traditional metaphysical inquiries via the lens of individual existence, experiential reality, and temporal context. For theistic existentialists, meaning is intimately connected to a personal relationship with God and the act of faith; for atheistic existentialists, it emerges from self-creation in a context of contingency and abandonment. The article also talks about how Frankl's existential-analytical approach corrects nihilistic tendencies by focusing on the human "will to meaning" and the idea that you may find meaning even amid pain. The discourse contends that existentialist transcends ordinary expressions of sorrow, instead articulating a rigorous ethics of self-formation and solidarity rooted in precarious freedom. The conclusion emphasizes the enduring significance of existentialist contemplations on meaning in relation to current problems of value, identity, and technological alienation.

**Keywords:** Existentialism, the purpose of life, freedom, authenticity, absurdity, responsibility, and transcendence are all important words.

**Introduction:** The inquiry into the essence of human being has pervaded philosophical discourse from ancient times to contemporary thought. Classical teleological doctrines often addressed this by invoking an objective cosmic order, a rational world structure, or a divinely decreed purpose. In these frameworks, the individual's responsibility was to acknowledge the pre-existing order and conform their behavior accordingly. People thought that a thriving existence meant being part of a logical or sacred unity that made every action and event important.

Modernity fundamentally disrupted this paradigm. Scientific naturalism contradicted conventional metaphysics; religious diversity and secularization diminished the legitimacy of established worldviews; social and political upheavals revealed the precariousness of institutions and norms. In this context, the question of meaning could not be addressed just by invoking a predetermined sequence. It turned into a serious personal and cultural issue, typically felt as a lack of direction, emptiness, or loss of

perspective.

This crisis circumstance gives rise to existentialist philosophy. Existential philosophers do not regard the meaning of life as an abstract theoretical enigma; instead, they consider it a question of actual experience, choice, and commitment. The primary focus is not the essence of life in general, but its significance for a specific human who must make choices, take action, and ultimately die. Existentialism focuses on feelings like anxiety, guilt, responsibility, and authenticity, which show how people genuinely live with the question of meaning.

Existential thinking encompasses both religious and secular varieties, alongside notable distinctions among writers. Kierkegaard, sometimes seen as a forerunner, elucidates meaning via the perspective of religion and the conundrum of the solitary individual in relation to God. Heidegger recontextualizes the issue as an inquiry into Being, emphasizing the temporality and finiteness of human existence. Sartre and Camus express atheistic viewpoints wherein the lack of a supernatural

assurance transforms freedom into both a burden and a potentiality. Frankl, drawing from his experiences in concentration camps, asserts the indelible human pursuit of meaning and formulates a therapeutic approach grounded in this understanding.

This essay aims to elucidate how these various existentialist perspectives address the topic of meaning and to identify their commonalities despite their differences. It seeks to demonstrate that existentialist does not only assert the meaninglessness of existence, but rather offers a fundamentally distinct framework for comprehending meaning, which is inextricably linked to individual freedom, specific circumstances, and the certainty of death.

The primary material for this subject comprises philosophical writings that are commonly acknowledged as fundamental to existentialist thinking. The research utilizes Kierkegaard's *Fear and Trembling* and *The Sickness Unto Death*, which elucidate the issues of faith, despair, and the ego in relation to God. Heidegger's *Being and Time* serves as the foundation for analyzing the relationship among existence, temporality, and authenticity. Sartre's "Being and Nothingness" and the lecture "Existentialism is a Humanism" serve to reconstruct the atheistic perspective on freedom and responsibility. Camus's article *The Myth of Sisyphus* is the primary source for the concept of the ridiculous and the rebellion against meaninglessness. Lastly, Frankl's book *Man's Search for Meaning* and his work in logotherapy provide us a unique look at what meaning is in very difficult situations.

The technique utilized is mostly hermeneutic and comparative. Hermeneutic analysis is utilized for an in-depth examination of significant passages, focusing on conceptual subtleties, metaphors, and argumentation frameworks. Comparative analysis positions the perspectives of many writers in relation to one another, delineating both convergences and divergences in their approaches to the issue of meaning. The research does not endeavor to provide a thorough historical reconstruction of existentialism as a movement; rather, it concentrates on the theme reconstruction of the conceptualizations of life's meaning among numerous prominent philosophers.

The essay also uses secondary literature in existentialist research in a selected way to clear up problems with terminology and put the main texts in the perspective of larger philosophical discussions. The overarching methodological approach is philosophical rather than empirical; it seeks conceptual elucidation and systematic interpretation rather than quantitative evaluation. This aligns with the essence of the study

topic, which pertains to the normative and existential aspects of human existence that are not readily amenable to experimental methodologies.

The examination of existentialist writings uncovers many structural characteristics of their interpretation of life's meaning. Existentialists categorically reject the notion that meaning can be extracted from an abstract essence of "human nature" conceived as a static collection of attributes. Kierkegaard posits the self as a task, a relation that must confront itself in the presence of God; Heidegger describes *Dasein* as a being whose essence is rooted in its existence rather than a stable substance; Sartre articulates the thesis that existence precedes essence, signifying that humans initially exist and subsequently define themselves through their choices. In every instance, meaning is not a predetermined script but rather something that must be actualized via certain actions.

Second, the texts agree on the understanding that the inquiry into meaning emerges most acutely during moments of crisis, rupture, and encounter with finitude. Kierkegaard examines anxiety and despair as emotional states that expose the vulnerability of the self and its reliance on an external foundation. Heidegger characterizes anxiety as a primordial attunement wherein the universe forfeits its familiar significance, revealing the uncanny nature of existence. Camus begins with the sensation of the absurd: the abrupt disconnection between the human need for clarity and the world's silence. Sartre depicts sickness as a profound manifestation of the precariousness of existence. In these experiences, conventional structures of meaning disintegrate, and the person confronts the stark reality of existing without justification.

Third, existentialist writers think that freedom and purpose are two sides of the same coin. Sartre maintains that the lack of a predetermined nature renders each human "condemned to be free," since no superior authority can absolve the individual from the obligation of choice. This freedom is not only the ability to do what one likes; it is a deep obligation to give things worth and meaning. Even the refusal to select or the passive acceptance of social positions represents a choice. Heidegger, while less concentrated on moral decision-making, also views authenticity as a determined embrace of one's own potential in the presence of death, rather than a submersion in anonymous mundanity. Kierkegaard's knight of faith exemplifies an alternative, religiously influenced conception of freedom: a paradoxical act of trust that surpasses ethical universality.

Fourth, existentialists formulate the concept of

authenticity as a fundamental criteria for a meaningful existence. Authentic living entails embracing one's circumstances and opportunities in a manner that is true to oneself, rather than merely emulating others or concealing oneself behind socially imposed identities. For Heidegger, authenticity necessitates a clear understanding of one's own finitude; for Sartre, it entails the recognition of one's freedom rather than retreating into bad faith, whereby one deceives oneself regarding the scope of one's responsibility. Camus, who doesn't like either religious comfort or nihilistic sorrow, sees insurrection as a real way to deal with the absurd: a clear, rebellious way to keep living without believing that there is a higher purpose for it.

Fifth, the research reveals a substantial distinction between theistic and atheistic streams of existentialism regarding their interpretations of meaning. Kierkegaard asserts that the ultimate significance of existence resides in an absolute relationship with God, which cannot be facilitated by intellectual discourse or ethical universality. The leap of faith represents an existential choice that redefines the self and alleviates misery. Marcel and Jaspers both link meaning to a transcendent "Thou" or to an all-encompassing reality that eludes objectification. Sartre and Camus, on the other hand, say that God doesn't exist and that people have to make meaning in a universe without God. Sartre posits that values are produced by autonomous endeavors, but Camus asserts that meaning resides in the profundity of experience and the coherence of rebellion.

Lastly, Frankl's work is a very essential correction to more negative views of existentialism. Based on the extreme experiences of concentration camps, he contends that the drive to meaning is a fundamental motivating force. Even when freedom is severely restricted, individuals retain the capacity to select their attitude and find significance in creative endeavors, affectionate relationships, or honorable suffering. This assertion does not reinstate an objective cosmic purpose; rather, it underscores that the universe always presents possible meanings to be actualized, and that nihilism is not an unavoidable outcome of existential awareness.

The aforementioned results indicate that existentialist philosophy significantly alters the inquiry on the purpose of life. Existentialists do not look for a universal formula that works for all eras and situations. Instead, they look at how meaning comes about or doesn't come about in people's real lives. The focus changes from external justification to inward appropriation, and from metaphysical systems to existential choices. This transformation reflects the historical context of existentialism, born in an age when traditional religious

and philosophical certainties had lost much of their persuasive power.

One central implication of this approach is that meaning cannot be simply received from outside. Even when existentialists affirm God or transcendence, the decisive moment is an act of personal commitment that cannot be replaced by inherited beliefs or social conformity. Kierkegaard's critique of "Christendom" targets precisely the tendency to confuse cultural religiosity with authentic faith. Similarly, secular existentialists criticize the comfort of ready-made ideologies, whether political, scientific or moral, which promise to relieve individuals of responsibility. For them, any such abdication constitutes bad faith, a flight from the anguish of freedom.

At the same time, existentialism does not advocate an arbitrary or purely subjective notion of meaning. Although Sartre insists that values are created by human choice, he also emphasizes that each choice implicitly envisions a conception of humanity and thus carries universal implications. Camus's ethics of revolt stresses solidarity with others who suffer under the same absurd condition. Heidegger's analysis of being-with indicates that existence is always already relational, and authenticity cannot be reduced to isolated self-assertion. Frankl underscores that meaning is something to be found rather than invented *ex nihilo*; it is discovered in tasks, relationships and situations that address the individual from beyond the ego.

These considerations show that existential meaning is neither objectively predetermined nor subjectively arbitrary. It emerges in the tension between freedom and facticity, between the openness of possibilities and the resistance of circumstances. Death plays a crucial role in this tension. For Heidegger, being-toward-death individualizes *Dasein* and reveals the finitude of every project; for Sartre and Camus, mortality underscores the fragility and urgency of life. Awareness of death does not render existence meaningless but intensifies the demand to live deliberately. Meaning is not located in some distant afterlife but must be enacted here and now.

A common misunderstanding portrays existentialism as an essentially pessimistic doctrine equating life with absurdity and despair. The analysis conducted here suggests a more nuanced view. Existential thinkers indeed confront experiences of meaninglessness more radically than many earlier traditions, but this very confrontation opens the possibility of a more honest and robust sense of significance. In denying that meaning is guaranteed by external structures, they re-situate it within human freedom and solidarity.

Camus's image of Sisyphus, imagined as happy despite his endless and futile labor, symbolizes this paradox: meaning is not found in objective success but in the quality of one's stance toward unavoidable conditions.

From a contemporary perspective, existentialist reflections on meaning remain relevant in at least two respects. First, they provide conceptual tools for understanding the subjective effects of social phenomena such as consumerism, bureaucratization and digitalization. These developments can produce experiences of emptiness, anonymity and loss of direction that closely resemble the moods described by existential authors. Second, existentialism has inspired practical approaches in psychotherapy, education and spiritual care that seek to help individuals articulate their own sources of meaning. Frankl's logotherapy is one explicit example, but more broadly, many therapeutic schools now acknowledge the importance of values, purpose and narrative identity in mental well-being.

Nevertheless, existentialist accounts are not without limitations. Their strong emphasis on individual decision can underestimate structural constraints related to class, gender, race or political oppression. While existentialists acknowledge facticity, they sometimes treat it in abstract terms, without fully engaging with concrete social injustices. Furthermore, the focus on crisis and extreme situations may overshadow the quieter forms of meaning found in routine care, shared practices and long-term commitments. Future work integrating existential insights with social and feminist philosophy could address these gaps, enriching both fields.

Existentialist philosophy offers a distinctive and influential response to the question of the meaning of life. Rather than positing an objective purpose embedded in the structure of the universe, existentialists argue that meaning arises in and through human existence itself, in the way individuals assume their freedom, confront their finitude and relate to others and, for some, to God. The analysis of Kierkegaard, Heidegger, Sartre, Camus and Frankl shows that this position is neither a simple relativism nor a despairing nihilism. It is a demanding invitation to live intentionally, to accept responsibility for one's choices and to seek significance even in situations of suffering and absurdity.

For theistic existentialists, meaning ultimately depends on a personal relation to transcendence that cannot be reduced to doctrinal propositions. For atheistic existentialists, meaning is created through projects and commitments that define who one becomes in a world without divine guarantees. Frankl's existential analysis

adds the insight that the orientation toward meaning is a fundamental human dimension and that even extreme deprivation cannot destroy the capacity to respond to life with dignity.

In contemporary contexts marked by value pluralism, cultural fragmentation and the pressures of technological society, existentialist thought continues to illuminate the difficulties and possibilities of living meaningfully. Its insistence on freedom, authenticity and responsibility remains a critical counterweight to tendencies toward passive conformity and instrumental rationality. At the same time, integrating existential themes with attention to social structures and communal practices can deepen our understanding of how meaning is both personally chosen and collectively shaped.

The question of the meaning of life is not thereby solved once and for all; existentialism denies the possibility of such a definitive solution. Instead, it shows that the question must be continuously re-asked and re-answered by each person and generation. In this ongoing task, existential philosophy serves less as a provider of ready-made answers than as a companion and provocation, encouraging reflective engagement with the most fundamental dimensions of human existence.

## REFERENCES

1. Kierkegaard S. *Fear and Trembling* / transl. by A. Hannay. London: Penguin Books, 1985. 176 p.
2. Kierkegaard S. *The Sickness Unto Death* / transl. by A. Hannay. London: Penguin Books, 1989. 192 p.
3. Heidegger M. *Being and Time* / transl. by J. Macquarrie, E. Robinson. Oxford: Blackwell, 1962. 589 p.
4. Sartre J.-P. *Being and Nothingness: An Essay on Phenomenological Ontology* / transl. by H. E. Barnes. London: Routledge, 2003. 797 p.
5. Sartre J.-P. *Existentialism is a Humanism* / transl. by C. Macomber. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007. 128 p.
6. Camus A. *The Myth of Sisyphus and Other Essays* / transl. by J. O'Brien. London: Penguin Books, 2000. 192 p.
7. Frankl V. E. *Man's Search for Meaning*. Boston: Beacon Press, 2006. 184 p.
8. Marcel G. *The Mystery of Being. Vol. 1: Reflection and Mystery*. Chicago: Henry Regnery, 1950. 214 p.
9. Jaspers K. *Philosophy. Vol. 2: Existence and the World*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1970. 460 p.
10. Macquarrie J. *Existentialism*. London: Penguin

Books, 1972. 288 p.

11. Crowell S. Husserl, Heidegger, and the Space of Meaning: Paths Toward Transcendental Phenomenology. Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 2001. 288 p.

12. Элиаде М. Миф о вечном возвращении. Архетипы и повторяемость. М.: Академический проект, 2010. 256 с.