Crossref doi | Google Scholar | WorldCat | MENDELEY

OSCAR PUBLISHING SERVICES

🔓 Research Article

ADVANTAGES AND CHALLENGES OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED FORMATIVE AND SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT IN TEACHER EDUCATION

Nomonkhonova Muattarkhan Nasirkhan qizi
Namangan State Institute of Foreign Languages named after I. Ibrat, Teacher of the Department of Humanities and Physical Education, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized various sectors, including education, by providing innovative tools to enhance learning and assessment processes. In teacher education, AI-based formative and summative assessments offer significant advantages, such as personalized feedback, efficiency, objectivity, and adaptability. Formative assessments allow educators to gauge student progress in real-time and adapt their instruction accordingly, while summative assessments ensure comprehensive evaluation of learning outcomes. However, integrating AI into teacher education also presents challenges. These include data privacy concerns, the potential for over-reliance on technology, lack of contextual understanding by AI systems, and the need for teachers to acquire digital literacy skills. This article explores both the benefits and challenges of AI-driven assessment methods in the context of teacher education, emphasizing the need for a balanced and ethical integration of AI to support the professional development of future educators.

KEYWORDS

Artificial Intelligence, Teacher Education, Formative Assessment, Summative Assessment, Educational Technology, Personalized Feedback, Digital Literacy.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in education, significantly impacting how learning and assessment are conducted. In the realm of teacher education, AI-based assessment tools are being increasingly adopted to enhance the formative and summative assessment processes.[1] These tools not only streamline the evaluation of student performance but also support personalized learning pathways, enabling future teachers to become more effective educators. This article examines the advantages and challenges associated with AI-driven formative and summative assessments in teacher education.[2] AI-based formative assessment focuses on providing continuous feedback during the learning process, allowing educators and students to monitor progress and make adjustments as needed. This type of assessment is essential for teacher education because it encourages reflective practice and promotes a deeper understanding of pedagogical concepts. On the other hand, AI-driven summative assessment aims to evaluate the final outcome of a learning process, offering a comprehensive overview of a student's achievements. AI systems can analyze large amounts of data to provide personalized feedback to students, highlighting their strengths and areas for improvement. This personalized approach is particularly valuable in teacher education, as it allows aspiring educators to receive tailored suggestions on how to enhance their teaching methods. Moreover, AI-based formative assessments can adapt to individual learning styles, offering resources and activities that align with a student's unique needs.

Assessment plays a critical role in the field of teacher education, helping to ensure that future educators possess the skills and knowledge necessary to succeed in their profession. Two primary types of assessment—formative and summative—are utilized in teacher training programs to evaluate and enhance the learning process. Both have distinct purposes, benefits, and challenges, and understanding the differences between them is essential for effective teacher preparation. Formative assessment is a type of evaluation that takes place during the learning process. It is designed to provide feedback to both the instructor and the student, allowing for adjustments to teaching strategies and learning approaches. The main goal is to enhance the learning experience and provide ongoing support. Formative assessments are ongoing and provide regular feedback.[3] This helps students understand their strengths and weaknesses, allowing them to make necessary improvements. Unlike summative assessments, formative evaluations are generally low stakes, meaning they do not contribute heavily to the final grade. This encourages students to focus on learning rather than just scoring well. These assessments are diagnostic in nature, identifying gaps in knowledge and skills that need to be addressed.

Summative assessment, in contrast, is evaluative and occurs at the end of a learning period. Its primary

purpose is to measure what students have learned after completing a course or module.[4] The results are often used to make final judgments about a student's competency and readiness to proceed to the next level or enter the teaching profession. Summative assessments are conducted after the completion of a significant segment of learning, such as the end of a semester or course. These assessments often carry a high weight in terms of grading, making them crucial for determining whether a student meets the required standards. Results from summative assessments can be used to compare students' performance, assess the effectiveness of the curriculum, and make data-driven decisions. Comprehensive exams that test knowledge in pedagogy, subject matter expertise, and educational theory. Teacher candidates may be required to develop a comprehensive teaching portfolio or conduct a research project related to education. During the final phases of teacher education, candidates complete student teaching assignments, which are evaluated by mentor teachers and university supervisors. Some teacher preparation programs require passing scores on standardized tests to qualify for certification or licensure. Summative assessments provide a clear indication of a student's readiness to become a professional educator. They ensure that teacher candidates have a solid grasp of the concepts and skills necessary to manage a classroom effectively.

One of the primary advantages of AI in assessments is the significant time savings it offers. Traditional assessments often require substantial manual effort from educators to grade and provide feedback. AI can automate these processes, freeing up time for educators to focus on more complex instructional tasks. In teacher education, this efficiency can lead to more opportunities for practical teaching experiences and in-depth discussions on educational theory.[5] AI-based assessments are less prone to bias compared to traditional grading systems, as they follow predefined algorithms and criteria. This objectivity ensures fair evaluation of student performance, an essential factor in teacher education, where future educators are trained to uphold ethical and unbiased practices. AI tools can also identify patterns in student performance that may not be evident through manual assessment, leading to more accurate evaluations.[6] AI-powered formative assessments provide real-time feedback, allowing students to address their weaknesses promptly. In teacher education, this immediate feedback loop helps aspiring teachers to refine their instructional strategies continuously. AI systems can track progress over time, enabling educators to make data-driven decisions about how to support students' development effectively.

AI-based systems can analyze vast datasets quickly, generating detailed reports on student performance. These insights are invaluable for teacher educators,

who can use them to identify trends, measure the effectiveness of instructional methods, and make informed adjustments. The data can also be used to guide professional development programs, ensuring that teachers receive the training they need to succeed in the classroom.

Challenges of AI-Based Assessments in Teacher Education

One of the major challenges in implementing AI-based assessments is ensuring the privacy and security of student data. In teacher education, sensitive information about future educators' performance is collected and analyzed, raising ethical concerns. Protecting this data from unauthorized access and ensuring compliance with data privacy regulations is crucial for maintaining trust in AI-driven assessment systems. AI-based assessments, while efficient, may lead to an over-reliance on technology in teacher education. This dependency could result in a reduction of critical thinking and human judgment in the assessment process. Future educators need to be trained not only in using AI tools but also in balancing technological assessments with their own professional insights and observations. AI systems are proficient at processing data, but they often lack the contextual understanding that human educators possess.[7] In teacher education, this limitation can lead to oversimplified evaluations of complex teaching scenarios. AI-driven assessments may not fully capture

the nuances of classroom dynamics, interpersonal skills, and other qualitative aspects of teaching that are crucial for effective education. For AI-based assessments to be effective, educators themselves must possess a high level of digital literacy. In teacher education, this necessitates additional training and professional development to ensure that future teachers can use AI tools effectively.[8] The rapid pace of technological advancement also requires ongoing learning, which can be a challenge for both teacher educators and students. Balancing AI-driven assessments with traditional methods is a significant challenge. In teacher education, formative and summative assessments often rely on qualitative observations, peer feedback, and reflective practices. Integrating AI-based tools without diminishing the value of these traditional assessment forms requires a thoughtful and well-designed strategy.

Recommendations for Effective Integration

To harness the benefits of AI-based formative and summative assessments in teacher education while mitigating challenges, several strategies can be adopted: Combine AI-based assessments with traditional methods to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of student performance, particularly in areas requiring qualitative judgment. Establish clear ethical guidelines for the use of AI in teacher education, focusing on data privacy, security, and fairness in assessment. Invest in ongoing digital literacy

training for educators to enable effective use of AI tools and ensure they can interpret AI-generated insights accurately. Develop AI systems that can be customized to specific educational contexts, ensuring they align with the unique needs and challenges of teacher education.[9]

CONCLUSION

AI-based formative and summative assessments have the potential to transform teacher education by providing personalized feedback, increasing efficiency, ensuring objectivity, and offering detailed data analysis. However, challenges such as data privacy, over-reliance on technology, lack of contextual understanding, and the need for digital literacy must be addressed. A balanced integration of AI with traditional assessment methods, guided by ethical standards and continuous professional development, can support the effective training of future educators. As AI continues to evolve, it is essential to approach its use in teacher education with caution, ensuring that technology enhances rather than diminishes the human aspects of teaching and learning. Formative and summative assessments are essential elements of teacher education, each contributing to the development and evaluation of future educators. Formative assessments support growth, providing a pathway for improvement, while summative assessments ensure readiness and competence. By integrating both assessment types, teacher education

programs can better prepare candidates for the challenges of the classroom, fostering a new generation of skilled, reflective, and effective educators. Understanding the strengths and limitations of each assessment type allows teacher educators to design more effective training programs that not only meet academic standards but also address the diverse needs of learners in today's dynamic educational environment.

REFERENCES

1. Buchholtz, Nils Frederik, et al. "Combining and integrating formative and summative assessment in mathematics teacher education." ZDM 50 (2018): 715-728.

2. Chetcuti, Deborah A., and Michael A. Buhagiar. "Assessing the field placement in initial teacher education: Finding a balance between formative and summative assessment." Problems of Education in the 21st Century 58 (2014): 39.

3. Taras, Maddalena. "Summative and formative assessment: Perceptions and realities." Active learning in higher education 9.2 (2008): 172-192.

4. Mohamadi Zenouzagh, Zohre. "The effect of online summative and formative teacher assessment on teacher competences." Asia Pacific Education Review 20.3 (2019): 343-359.

5. Mughal, Arif Ali. "Artificial Intelligence in Information Security: Exploring the Advantages, Challenges, and Future Directions." Journal of

Crossref doi | Google Scholar | WorldCat® | MENDELEY

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Management 2.1 (2018): 22-34.

6. Ali, A. N. F., Sulaima, M. F., Razak, I. A. W. A., Kadir, A. F. A., & Mokhlis, H. (2023). Artificial intelligence application in demand response: advantages, issues, status, and challenges. IEEE access, 11, 16907-16922.

7. Nomonkhonova M. N. Analysis of the current state of training linguists using information technology. International Journal Of Advance Scientific Research, Volume 04 issue 01 pages: 86-90, SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2023: 6.741)

8. Al-Ansi, Abdullah M., and Ahmed Al-Ansi. "An overview of artificial intelligence (AI) in 6G: Types, advantages, challenges and recent applications." Buletin Ilmiah Sarjana Teknik Elektro 5.1 (2023): 67-75.

9. Makhmudovich, Gulomov Shavkat. "INTEGRATING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTO LEARNING: ADVANTAGES AND CHALLENGES." Next Scientists Conferences. 2023.

10. Rahman, Junaid, et al. "Optimizing the digital marketing landscape: A comprehensive exploration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, applications, advantages, and challenges." Frontiers of Finance 2.2 (2024).