



Journal Website:  
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ajsshr>

Copyright: Original  
content from this work  
may be used under the  
terms of the creative  
commons attributes  
4.0 licence.

## IRRATIONALITY UNVEILED: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF DECISION-MAKING HAZARDS

Submission Date: Sep 21, 2023, Accepted Date: Sep 26, 2023,

Published Date: Oct 01, 2023

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/ajsshr/Volume03Issue10-01>

Ibrahim Haruna

Department of Public Administration, Mai Idris Aloomo Polytechnic Geidam, Yobe State, Nigeria

### ABSTRACT

This comprehensive review delves into the intricate landscape of human decision-making, unveiling the often-hidden aspects of irrationality that influence choices and outcomes. Drawing from psychology, economics, and behavioral science, this study explores cognitive biases, heuristics, and emotional factors that frequently lead individuals and organizations astray. By shedding light on these decision-making hazards, the review aims to enhance our understanding of irrationality and offers strategies to mitigate its effects. Ultimately, it seeks to empower individuals and entities with the knowledge needed to make more informed and rational decisions across various domains.

### KEYWORDS

Decision-making; Irrationality; Cognitive biases; Heuristics; Behavioral economics; Emotional factors.

### INTRODUCTION

The human capacity for decision-making is one of our most defining traits. From the simplest choices in our daily lives to complex, high-stakes decisions in business, healthcare, and policymaking, our ability to make choices shapes our individual and collective

destinies. Ideally, decisions should be rational, guided by logic, and aimed at achieving the best possible outcomes. However, a closer examination of the decision-making process reveals a disconcerting truth: humans are not always rational decision-makers.

Irrationality, in its many forms, lurks beneath the surface of our decision-making processes, influencing our choices in profound and often unexpected ways. It is the subtle biases, the cognitive shortcuts, and the emotional undercurrents that frequently lead individuals and organizations astray, resulting in suboptimal decisions and outcomes.

This comprehensive review embarks on an exploration of the fascinating and complex terrain of decision-making hazards, where rationality often takes a backseat. Drawing insights from psychology, economics, behavioral science, and a wealth of empirical research, we unveil the hidden aspects of irrationality that permeate decision-making in a variety of domains.

Our journey through this landscape will involve dissecting cognitive biases that skew our judgments, uncovering the heuristic shortcuts that simplify complex decisions but can lead us astray, and illuminating the emotional factors that cloud our reasoning. We will delve into the world of behavioral economics, where deviations from rationality have been rigorously documented and analyzed.

The objective of this review is not merely to expose the pitfalls of irrational decision-making but to equip readers with a deeper understanding of these hazards. By shedding light on the mechanisms at play, we aim to empower individuals and organizations to recognize

and mitigate the effects of irrationality in their decision-making processes. In doing so, we aspire to pave the way for more informed, rational, and effective decision-making across a multitude of contexts.

As we embark on this journey to unveil the intricacies of irrationality, we invite readers to critically examine their own decision-making processes, recognize the subtle traps that may lie ahead, and explore strategies to navigate the complex terrain of choices with greater insight and rationality.

## METHOD

The comprehensive review titled "Irrationality Unveiled: A Comprehensive Review of Decision-Making Hazards" employs a systematic and structured approach to synthesize existing literature and research findings related to decision-making hazards and irrationality. The methodology consists of the following steps:

### Literature Review:

**Identification of Relevant Sources:** A systematic search is conducted across academic databases, including PubMed, PsycINFO, Google Scholar, and research journals, using keywords such as "decision-making," "irrationality," "cognitive biases," "heuristics," "behavioral economics," and related terms.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:** Studies, articles, and literature relevant to the topic of irrational decision-making are included. Exclusion criteria are applied to filter out irrelevant or low-quality sources.

**Data Collection:**

**Compilation of Research Findings:** Information from selected sources is compiled, including empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, case studies, and expert opinions.

**Organizing Data:** The collected data are categorized into themes, such as cognitive biases, heuristics, emotional factors, and decision-making domains (e.g., healthcare, finance, public policy).

**Synthesis and Analysis:**

**Thematic Analysis:** The data are subject to thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, key concepts, and trends related to decision-making hazards and irrationality.

**Comparative Analysis:** Comparative analysis is conducted to explore variations in decision-making hazards across different domains and populations.

**Structuring the Review:**

**Organizing Sections:** The review is structured into sections that correspond to the identified themes and domains, allowing for a systematic presentation of findings.

**Providing Context:** Each section provides context by discussing the theoretical underpinnings, empirical evidence, and real-world implications of the decision-making hazards discussed.

**Integration and Discussion:**

**Interpreting Findings:** The review integrates the synthesized findings, drawing connections between different aspects of irrationality and decision-making.

**Discussion:** The discussion section critically evaluates the implications of decision-making hazards on individual and organizational decision-making processes, highlighting practical insights and potential areas for improvement.

**Recommendations and Mitigation Strategies:**

Based on the review's findings, recommendations and strategies for mitigating the effects of irrationality in decision-making are presented.

The methodology employed in this review ensures a rigorous and systematic examination of decision-making hazards and irrationality across various domains. It aims to provide readers with a comprehensive understanding of the subject, drawing from a wide range of academic and empirical sources to unveil the intricacies of irrational decision-making.

## RESULTS

The comprehensive review of decision-making hazards and irrationality has uncovered a rich tapestry of insights from diverse fields, shedding light on the often-hidden factors that shape our choices and decisions. The key results and findings from this review can be summarized as follows:

**Cognitive Biases:** A multitude of cognitive biases influence decision-making. These include confirmation bias, anchoring bias, and overconfidence bias, among others. These biases lead individuals to systematically deviate from rational decision-making, often resulting in suboptimal choices.

**Heuristics:** Decision-making is frequently guided by mental shortcuts or heuristics, such as availability heuristic and representativeness heuristic. While these heuristics simplify complex decisions, they can also introduce biases and errors.

**Emotional Factors:** Emotions play a significant role in decision-making. Fear, anger, and optimism can cloud judgment and lead to impulsive or suboptimal choices. Emotional intelligence and emotional regulation are crucial in mitigating these effects.

**Behavioral Economics:** The field of behavioral economics has revealed numerous deviations from rationality, including loss aversion, present bias, and the endowment effect. These insights have practical implications for economics, finance, and public policy.

**Decision-Making Domains:** Decision-making hazards are pervasive across various domains, including healthcare, finance, business, and public policy. Each domain presents unique challenges and opportunities for addressing irrationality.

## DISCUSSION

The discussion of the review's findings explores several key points and implications:

**Influence on Decision-Making:** Decision-making hazards, including cognitive biases and heuristics, are omnipresent and exert a significant influence on individual and organizational decisions.

**Practical Implications:** Understanding the effects of irrationality is essential for improving decision-making processes. Organizations can benefit from recognizing these biases and implementing strategies to counteract them.

**Education and Training:** Education and training programs can play a pivotal role in enhancing decision-makers' awareness of these hazards and equipping them with the skills to make more rational choices.

**Behavioral Interventions:** Behavioral economics offers insights into designing interventions that nudge individuals toward better decisions, such as default options and choice architecture.

**Ethical Considerations:** The review raises ethical considerations, particularly in fields like marketing and public policy, where knowledge of decision-making biases can be leveraged to influence choices.

**Future Research:** The review identifies avenues for future research, including the exploration of decision-making hazards in emerging fields such as artificial intelligence and neuroeconomics.

In unveiling the complexities of irrationality in decision-making, this comprehensive review provides a foundation for understanding and addressing these hazards across diverse contexts. By recognizing the pervasive influence of cognitive biases, heuristics, and emotional factors, individuals and organizations can take proactive steps to make more informed and rational decisions, ultimately leading to improved outcomes and better-informed choices.

## CONCLUSION

The comprehensive review, "Irrationality Unveiled: A Comprehensive Review of Decision-Making Hazards," has journeyed through the intricate landscape of human decision-making, exposing the often-hidden aspects of irrationality that shape choices and outcomes. From cognitive biases that systematically skew judgments to heuristics that simplify complex decisions but can lead astray, and from the emotional factors that cloud reasoning to the insights of

behavioral economics, the review has illuminated the multifaceted nature of irrational decision-making.

Recognizing the pervasiveness of decision-making hazards is paramount for individuals, organizations, and policymakers. The practical implications of this review are profound. It underscores the importance of education, training, and awareness-building to equip decision-makers with the tools to navigate the intricate terrain of choices with greater insight and rationality. Behavioral interventions and ethical considerations must also be at the forefront of strategies to counteract the effects of irrationality.

As we conclude this exploration of irrationality in decision-making, we are reminded that the path to more rational choices is not one of eradication but of enlightenment. By unveiling the complexities of irrationality, we empower individuals and entities to make more informed, deliberate, and effective decisions. This, in turn, has the potential to reshape outcomes across diverse domains, from personal finance and healthcare to public policy and business.

In a world where decisions wield considerable influence over our lives and societies, understanding the hazards of irrationality is not merely an academic pursuit but a practical imperative. By peeling back the layers of human decision-making and shedding light on the subtle traps that lie in wait, we pave the way for a future where choices are made with greater wisdom



and rationality, ultimately enhancing our collective well-being.

## REFERENCES

1. Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
2. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124-1131.
3. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness. Yale University Press.
4. Ariely, D. (2008). Predictably irrational: The hidden forces that shape our decisions. HarperCollins.
5. Loewenstein, G., & Lerner, J. S. (2003). The role of affect in decision making. Handbook of affective sciences, 619-642.
6. Camerer, C. F., Loewenstein, G., & Rabin, M. (Eds.). (2011). Advances in behavioral economics. Princeton University Press.
7. Thaler, R. H. (1980). Toward a positive theory of consumer choice. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 1(1), 39-60.
8. Hsee, C. K., & Hastie, R. (2006). Decision and experience: Why don't we choose what makes us happy? Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 10(1), 31-37.