American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research

VOLUME Vol.06 Issue04 2026
PAGE NO. 133-139
DOI 10.37547/ajsshr/Volume06Issue04-32

Psychological Diagnostics and Prevention of Deviant Behavior in Adolescents

Kulakhmetov Abdusattor B.

PhD in Juridical Sciences, Associate Professor, First Tashkent Academic Lyceum of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Muminov Davron K.

Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Nephrology and Hemodialysis, Tashkent State Medical University, Uzbekistan

Received: 08 March 2026; **Accepted:** 29 March 2026; **Published:** 24 April 2026

Abstract: Adolescence is one of the most critical and multifaceted stages of human development, characterized by rapid and far-reaching changes across psychological, emotional, neurobiological, and social domains. These transformations create significant vulnerabilities that may manifest as various forms of deviant behavior an increasingly pressing concern in modern educational and social institutions worldwide. The present study undertakes a comprehensive examination of the psychological mechanisms underlying deviant behavior in adolescents, analyzes its most prevalent forms, and evaluates the most effective diagnostic and preventive strategies currently available to specialists. Special attention is devoted to multi-source collection of anamnestic data, the collaborative model of interaction between psychologists, educators, and parents, and the design of evidence-based prevention programs. The findings suggest that early, systematic, and professionally coordinated psychological intervention is essential to ensuring the healthy social adaptation and long-term psychological well-being of adolescents.

Keywords: Adolescence, deviant behavior, psychological diagnostics, prevention, educational environment, motional regulation, risk factors.

Introduction: The transition from childhood to adulthood, commonly referred to as adolescence represents one of the most transformative and turbulent periods in the human life cycle. Spanning roughly from ages 10 to 19, this stage is defined by simultaneous upheaval across biological, cognitive, emotional, and social domains. The adolescent brain undergoes substantial restructuring, particularly in regions governing impulse control, emotional regulation, and long-term decision-making. At the same time, young people face mounting social pressures: the need to establish a coherent personal identity, to achieve peer acceptance, to navigate increasingly complex interpersonal relationships, and to meet the academic and behavioral expectations of family and educational institutions alike[1,6]. It is precisely this convergence of internal vulnerability and external demand that renders adolescence a high-risk period for the emergence of deviant behavior. Deviant behavior, broadly defined, refers to any conduct that departs significantly from the norms, expectations, and values of a given social group or institution. In the educational context, such behavior may include chronic absenteeism, academic disengagement, aggression toward peers or teachers, substance use, and persistent violations of institutional rules[2]. Left unaddressed, these patterns can consolidate into more serious antisocial conduct, impeding the individual's long-term social, professional, and psychological functioning. The problem has gained renewed urgency in recent decades. The proliferation of digital technologies and social media has introduced new vectors for deviant expression including cyberbullying and online radicalization while simultaneously eroding

traditional protective mechanisms such as parental oversight and face-to-face peer interaction. In rapidly developing societies, adolescents are exposed to additional stressors arising from shifting cultural norms, economic pressures, and evolving institutional structures[1]. Against this backdrop, the role of early psychological diagnostics and systematic prevention becomes indispensable. The present study pursues three interconnected objectives: first, to characterize the main psychological features and typology of deviant behavior in adolescents; second, to examine the diagnostic procedures particularly the collection of anamnestic data that enable accurate and timely identification of behavioral deviations; and third, to outline evidence-based preventive strategies applicable within the educational setting[6].

Theoretical Framework: Understanding Deviant Behavior

The concept of deviant behavior has been approached from multiple disciplinary perspectives. Sociological traditions understand deviance as a product of weakened social bonds and normative disintegration. Psychological frameworks, by contrast, locate the origins of deviance within individual traits impulsively, emotional dysregulation, attachment disruption as well as in the interaction between personal characteristics and environmental conditions. For the purposes of this study, deviant behavior in adolescents is understood as a pattern of conduct that systematically deviates from age-appropriate social norms and educational expectations, causes harm to the individual or others, and is not fully explicable by acute situational factors alone. This definition deliberately excludes isolated incidents of norm-transgression which are normative in adolescence in favor of identifying persistent, patterned behavioral difficulties that signal underlying psychological risk[3]. A robust body of neuroscientific research has established that the adolescent brain is structurally and functionally distinct from both the child and adult brain in ways directly relevant to the understanding of deviant behavior. The limbic system — responsible for emotional reactivity, reward-seeking, and social sensitivity — reaches functional maturity earlier than the prefrontal cortex, which governs inhibitory control, planning, and moral reasoning. This temporal mismatch creates a developmental window during which adolescents are biologically predisposed toward sensation-seeking and heightened emotional reactivity, while simultaneously lacking the cognitive resources to adequately modulate these impulses. This neurobiological reality does not determine behavioral outcomes, but it does establish a substrate of vulnerability that environmental factors may either exacerbate or mitigate[8]. Understanding this foundation is essential for psychologists seeking to interpret adolescent behavior without pathologizing what is, in many respects, a biologically normative developmental phase[4]. Deviant behavior in adolescence is rarely monocausal. Research consistently demonstrates that it arises from the interplay of multiple risk factors operating across different levels of the individual's ecological environment. These factors can be organized at the level of the individual, the family, the peer group, the school, and the broader socio-cultural context.

Table 1.

Main risk factors influencing deviant behavior in adolescents

Level	Factor	Description
Individual	Emotional dysregulation	Difficulty identifying, expressing, and managing emotional states; heightened reactivity to stressors
Individual	Impulsivity	Tendency to act without adequate deliberation; poor inhibitory control leading to rule-breaking behavior
Individual	Low self-esteem	Negative self-concept; heightened susceptibility to external validation and peer pressure
Family	Parenting style	Authoritarian, permissive, or neglectful parenting associated with poorer behavioral outcomes
Family	Family conflict	Chronic exposure to interparental conflict; unstable or chaotic home environment
Family	Attachment quality	Insecure attachment undermines development of emotional regulation capacities in the child
Peer	Peer rejection	Social exclusion increases vulnerability to affiliation with deviant peer groups
Peer	Deviant peer affiliation	Association with peers who model and reinforce antisocial norms and behavior
School	Academic failure	Persistent underachievement undermines motivation and sense of institutional belonging

School	School climate	Punitive, unsupportive environments reduce engagement and increase alienation from learning
Societal	Digital environment	Exposure to violent or normatively deviant content through social media and online platforms
Societal	Socioeconomic deprivation	Poverty, unemployment in the family, and limited access to resources and educational opportunities

Source: compiled by the authors based on Saladino et al. (2020), Jiménez-Barbero (2016), Dufur (2015), and Cioban et al. (2021).

It bears emphasis that the presence of individual risk factors does not deterministically produce deviant outcomes. Protective factors a stable attachment relationship with at least one caring adult, academic competence, and a sense of personal efficacy can substantially buffer the impact of adversity. The diagnostic and preventive task, therefore, is not simply to identify risk, but to map the balance between risk and protective resources in the life of each individual adolescent.

Forms and Typology of Deviant Behavior

Based on clinical observation, psychodiagnostic practice, and the existing literature, deviant behavior in adolescents can be organized into several broad categories, each with distinct psychological underpinnings and implications for intervention. Externalizing behaviors are those directed outward, toward other people or the environment. They represent the most visible and institutionally disruptive form of adolescent deviance. Aggression perhaps the most frequently observed form of deviant behavior in educational settings encompasses both physical violence and verbal hostility. Proactive aggression, motivated by the anticipation of reward or the desire to dominate, differs meaningfully from reactive aggression, which arises as an emotionally dysregulated response to perceived provocation. Each subtype requires a distinct clinical approach: adolescents displaying proactive aggression may benefit from empathy development and moral reasoning training, while those exhibiting reactive aggression require emotional regulation and stress management interventions. Attention deficit and hyperactivity (ADHD) represent a neurologically grounded vulnerability that substantially elevates the risk of behavioral difficulties in the school environment. Adolescents with ADHD frequently experience academic failure, peer rejection, and disciplinary conflict outcomes that, without appropriate support, may accelerate progression toward more serious conduct problems. Discipline violations and truancy often signal a breakdown in the adolescent's sense of belonging and investment in the educational institution. Research indicates that chronic absenteeism is among the strongest predictors of school dropout and subsequent social marginalization[10].

Internalizing behaviors, though less immediately visible, carry equally significant risks and are frequently overlooked in educational settings due to their non-disruptive nature. Social withdrawal and isolation describe a pattern in which the adolescent progressively disengages from peer interaction and social participation. While withdrawal may reflect temperamental introversion in some cases, it may also signal social anxiety, depression, or the aftermath of peer victimization. Isolated adolescents are at elevated risk for both self-harm and exploitation by deviant peer groups[9]. Anxiety-based behavioral avoidance manifests as school refusal, avoidance of evaluative situations, and retreat from age-appropriate social challenges. When unaddressed, such patterns can consolidate into anxiety disorders with lasting functional consequences for academic and social development.

Peer rivalry and relational aggression including manipulation, social exclusion, and deliberate reputation damage are particularly prevalent in adolescent social dynamics. These forms of deviance are often overlooked because they do not involve overt physical confrontation, yet they can cause severe and lasting psychological harm to victims. The emergence of digital communication platforms has created additional dimensions of relational deviance [7]. Cyberbullying the use of electronic means to harass, threaten, or humiliate peers extends conflict beyond school hours and physical space, dramatically amplifying its psychological impact. Adolescents who are victimized online are at significantly elevated risk of depression, anxiety, and academic disengagement [11].

Psychological Diagnostics: Principles and Procedures

Effective psychological diagnostics in the context of adolescent deviant behavior must be guided by two foundational principles. Adequacy refers to the reliability, validity, and comprehensiveness of the diagnostic process. An adequate assessment draws on multiple sources of information, employs standardized and validated instruments where appropriate, and situates behavioral observations within the broader

context of the adolescent's developmental history and current life circumstances[12,13]. A diagnosis based on a single informant or a single behavioral incident fails the standard of adequacy and risks producing misleading conclusions.Timeliness refers to the imperative of early identification — engaging with behavioral difficulties at the earliest possible stage, before they escalate and consolidate into stable maladaptive patterns. Research consistently demonstrates that early intervention produces substantially better outcomes than treatment initiated after deviant behaviors have become entrenched. In practical terms, timeliness requires ongoing, systematic monitoring of student well-being, rather than responding only to acute crises. The cornerstone of comprehensive diagnostic work with adolescents is the systematic collection of anamnestic data — a gathering of biographical, developmental, and contextual information from multiple informants[12]. This process serves several essential functions: it situates current behavioral difficulties within the adolescent's developmental trajectory[5]; it identifies potential precipitating events or chronic stressors; it reveals discrepancies between how the adolescent functions across different settings; and it lays the foundation for a collaborative, multi-stakeholder approach to intervention. Teachers occupy a uniquely privileged observational position: they interact with adolescents in structured, evaluative contexts over extended periods and across a range of social and academic situations. Their reports provide information that is often unavailable from any other source. Key dimensions of teacher-reported information include:

- Academic functioning: level of achievement relative to potential; specific areas of difficulty; patterns of academic avoidance or disengagement; response to academic challenge and failure.
- Classroom behavior: frequency and nature of rule violations; capacity to sustain attention and follow instructions; impulsivity; responses to authority figures.
- Social behavior: quality of peer relationships; social status within the peer group; patterns of aggression or victimization; capacity for cooperative behavior.
- Emotional presentation: observable indicators of anxiety, sadness, or volatility; coping responses in stressful situations; sudden changes in mood or behavior.
- Engagement and motivation: attendance patterns; level of class participation; expressed attitudes toward school and learning[5].

When gathering information from teachers, the psychologist should be attentive to the possibility that perceptions may be influenced by classroom management pressures or implicit biases. Triangulation across multiple teachers and settings is therefore advisable. The parental interview provides access to developmental and family-contextual information that is essential for a complete understanding of the adolescent's behavioral profile. Key domains of inquiry include:

- Developmental history: course of early development, milestones (motor, language, social), history of medical conditions, and early temperamental characteristics.
- Family structure and dynamics: composition of the household, quality of parental relationships, presence of significant losses or transitions, patterns of family communication and conflict resolution.
- Parenting practices: prevailing parenting style, consistency and clarity of rules, disciplinary strategies employed, degree of parental monitoring[2].
- Behavioral history: onset and course of problematic behaviors, situations in which they most frequently occur, parental responses and their perceived effectiveness.
- Psychosocial functioning: peer relationships, use of digital technology and social media, sleep patterns, presence of fears, somatic complaints, or mood disturbances.
- Family mental health history: presence of psychiatric or behavioral difficulties in other family members, which may indicate genetic vulnerability or shared environmental stressors[1].

The parental interview should be conducted in a collaborative, non-judgmental spirit. Parents who feel blamed or criticized are unlikely to provide candid information, and the therapeutic alliance formed at the diagnostic stage has important implications for subsequent engagement in preventive or corrective work. In addition to collateral information, the psychologist should conduct a direct assessment of the adolescent using a combination of clinical interview and standardized psychometric instruments. The clinical interview provides an opportunity to establish rapport, to gather the adolescent's own account of their difficulties, and to assess dimensions of functioning that may not be apparent to external observers — including internal emotional experience, self-concept, and subjective appraisals of significant relationships[5,14]. Standardized instruments commonly used include self-report scales of anxiety and depression, measures of emotional regulation and impulsivity, behavioral rating scales completed by

teachers and parents, and cognitive assessments where academic difficulties are a prominent concern. The selection of instruments should be guided by the specific referral question and adapted to the cultural and linguistic context of the adolescent being assessed. The culmination of the diagnostic process is not a categorical label but a psychological formulation an integrated, individualized account of the adolescent's difficulties that encompasses their nature, severity, probable origins, maintaining factors, and prognostic implications. A well-constructed formulation guides intervention planning, facilitates communication among stakeholders, and can itself be a therapeutic tool when shared sensitively with the adolescent and their family. Diagnostic findings should be communicated to all relevant parties — teachers, parents, and where appropriate, the adolescent — in accessible language, with care to avoid stigmatizing or deterministic framing. The emphasis should be placed on modifiable risk factors and available protective resources, rather than on fixed deficits or pathological categories [3,4].

Prevention of Deviant Behavior: A Multilevel Framework

Contemporary prevention science distinguishes between three levels of preventive intervention, each targeting a different population and risk profile.

Table 2.

Levels of preventive intervention in educational settings

Level	Target Population	Goal and Approach
Universal	All students	Build protective competencies (SEL, conflict resolution) across the entire student body regardless of individual risk level
Selective	At-risk subgroups	Provide supplementary support to students exposed to specific risk factors (family adversity, academic failure) before difficulties emerge
Indicated	Students with early signs of difficulty	Arrest the progression of existing behavioral problems through individual counseling, behavioral support, and family engagement[2]

Universal prevention programs within educational institutions should aim to cultivate protective competencies across the entire student body[2]. Social-emotional learning (SEL) encompasses the development of self-awareness, self-regulation, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making. A substantial body of research demonstrates that high-quality SEL programs produce significant improvements in student behavior, academic achievement, and mental health, with effects that persist beyond the period of program delivery[2]. Positive school climate refers to the collective perceptions of safety, respect, inclusion, and fairness that characterize the daily experience of school life. Schools with strong positive climates show lower rates of bullying, disciplinary incidents, and truancy. Building such a climate requires attention to both structural features — policies, physical environment — and relational qualities, including the nature of teacher-student interactions[5]. Media literacy and digital citizenship education has become an increasingly important component of universal prevention, given the pervasive influence of digital technologies on adolescent socialization. Programs in this domain equip students with critical competencies needed to navigate online environments safely and responsibly. For students identified as at elevated risk, selective prevention may include:

- Small-group social skills training: structured opportunities to develop and practice competencies — empathy, assertiveness, conflict resolution — that buffer against peer rejection and deviant group affiliation.
- Mentoring programs: pairing at-risk students with trained adult mentors who provide consistent, supportive relationships and serve as prosocial role models. Research suggests that high-quality mentoring can significantly reduce behavioral problems, particularly for students lacking stable adult support at home.
- Academic support and engagement interventions: addressing the pathway from academic failure to behavioral disengagement through tutoring, modified instructional approaches, and career-oriented programming that restores a sense of academic self-efficacy.
- Family-based interventions: working with parents to strengthen parenting practices, improve parent-adolescent communication, and build parental capacity to monitor and respond appropriately to behavioral difficulties.

For students already displaying behavioral difficulties, indicated prevention transitions into clinical support, including:

- Individual psychological counseling: providing a confidential therapeutic space in which the adolescent can explore emotional difficulties, develop coping strategies, and work toward behavioral change. Cognitive-behavioral approaches have the strongest evidence base for both externalizing and internalizing problems in adolescence.
- Behavioral contracts and goal-setting: engaging the adolescent as an active participant in defining specific, achievable behavioral targets and monitoring their own progress — capitalizing on the adolescent's developing capacity for self-reflection and autonomy.
- Crisis intervention protocols: ensuring that educational institutions have clear, practiced procedures for responding to acute behavioral crises in ways that prioritize safety while preserving the therapeutic relationship[7].

Perhaps the single most important structural feature of effective prevention is the quality of collaboration among the three primary stakeholder groups: school psychologists, teachers, and parents. Each brings unique and complementary knowledge of the adolescent, and each occupies a distinct relationship that can be leveraged for therapeutic purposes. The psychologist's role is to provide professional assessment, to formulate individualized intervention plans, and to offer consultation and training to teachers. The teacher's role is to implement classroom-level strategies, to maintain a consistent and supportive relationship with the student, and to provide ongoing behavioral observation data. The parent's role is to support and reinforce the goals of school-based intervention at home and to communicate openly about developments in the family environment. Effective collaboration requires structural supports regular case conferences, shared documentation systems, clearly delineated roles and responsibilities as well as relational conditions: mutual respect, open communication, and a shared commitment to the well-being of the adolescent[15,16]. Without these conditions, even the most carefully designed preventive programs will fall short of their potential.

CONCLUSION

Adolescence is a time of extraordinary developmental possibility and of genuine psychological vulnerability. The characteristics of this period, including emotional volatility, identity exploration, and heightened sensitivity to social experience, create conditions in which deviant behavior may emerge as a response to unmet developmental needs, environmental adversity, or the convergence of multiple risk factors. Understanding these characteristics is the first step toward responding to them effectively. This study has argued for an approach to adolescent deviant behavior that is early, comprehensive, ecologically grounded, and collaborative. Early psychological diagnostics grounded in the rigorous, multi-source collection of anamnestic data makes it possible to identify at-risk adolescents before their difficulties escalate. Systematic preventive programming, organized across universal, selective, and indicated levels, creates the conditions for healthy social and emotional development across the full spectrum of student need. And the cultivation of genuine collaboration among psychologists, teachers, and parents ensures that the adolescent is supported not only by professional expertise, but by the network of caring relationships that constitutes the most powerful protective resource available to any young person. The proposed approach to collecting anamnestic data has direct practical applicability for psychologists and educators working within school systems. The findings are consistent with previous studies devoted to the analysis of deviant behavior in adolescence, and contribute to a growing evidence base for the proposition that deviant behavior is not a fate to be accepted, but a challenge to be met with knowledge, commitment, and the belief that timely, well-designed support can make a decisive difference in the lives of young people.

REFERENCES

1. Cioban, S., Lazăr, A.R., Bacter, C., & Hatos, A. (2021). Adolescent Deviance and Cyber-Deviance. Frontiers in Psychology, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.661052
2. Saladino, V., Mosca, O., Cabras, C., & Verrastro, V. (2020). Family Structure and Deviance Propensity during Adolescence. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(18), 6497.
3. Jiménez-Barbero, J.A., Ruiz-Hernández, J.A., Llor-Zaragoza, L., Pérez-García, M., & Llor-Esteban, B. (2016). Influence of attitudes, impulsivity and parental styles in adolescents' externalizing behavior. Journal of Health Psychology, 21(1), 122–131.
4. Granic, I., Morita, H., & Scholten, H. (2020). Beyond Screen Time: Identity Development in the Digital Age. Psychological Inquiry, 31(3), 195–223.
5. Dufur, M.J., Parcel, T.L., & McKune, B.A. (2015). Examining the Effects of Family and School Social Capital on Delinquent Behavior. Deviant Behavior,

36(4), 309–328.

6. Kulakhmetov, A.B., & Muminov, D.K. (2024). Features of Deviant Behavior in Adolescence. German International Journal of Modern Science, 88.
7. Greenberg, M.T. (2010). School-based prevention: Current status and future challenges. Effective Education, 2(1), 27–52.
8. Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., Dymnicki, A.B., Taylor, R.D., & Schellinger, K.B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis. Child Development, 82(1), 405–432.
9. Steinberg, L. (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. Developmental Review, 28(1), 78–106.
10. Moffitt, T.E. (2018). Male antisocial behaviour in adolescence and beyond. Nature Human Behaviour, 2(3), 177–186.
11. Kowalski, R.M., Giumetti, G.W., Schroeder, A.N., & Lattanner, M.R. (2014). Bullying in the digital age: A critical review of the literature. Psychological Bulletin, 140(4), 1073–1137.
12. Kazdin, A.E. (2017). Addressing the treatment gap: A key challenge for extending evidence-based psychosocial interventions. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 88, 7–18.
13. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design. Harvard University Press.
14. Catalano, R.F., Berglund, M.L., Ryan, J.A.M., Lonczak, H.S., & Hawkins, J.D. (2004). Positive youth development in the United States. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 591(1), 98–124.
15. Tokunaga, R.S. (2010). Following you home from school: A critical review and synthesis of research on cyberbullying victimization. Computers in Human Behavior, 26(3), 277–287.
16. Walker, H.M., Horner, R.H., Sugai, G., Bullis, M., Sprague, J.R., Bricker, D., & Kaufman, M.J. (1996). Integrated approaches to preventing antisocial behavior patterns among school-age children and youth. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 4(4), 194–209.