

Harnessing Artificial Intelligence to Enhance English Learning in Early Grades

Jumazoda Shohidai Jaloliddin

Basic doctoral candidate at Urgench State University named after Abu Rayhon Beruni, Uzbekistan

Received: 23 April 2025; **Accepted:** 19 May 2025; **Published:** 21 June 2025

Abstract: The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) has begun to transform language education, offering adaptive, data-driven approaches that are especially valuable in the formative years of schooling. This article investigates how AI-based solutions—ranging from adaptive learning environments and natural-language-processing chatbots to automated speech-recognition tutors—can enrich English instruction in grades 1–4. Drawing on a mixed-methods design that combined classroom interventions in three Uzbek primary schools with longitudinal analytics from an adaptive learning platform, the study tracked 216 pupils over two academic terms. Quantitative results demonstrate statistically significant gains in vocabulary depth, phonological awareness and reading fluency, while qualitative classroom observations reveal heightened learner motivation and more diversified teacher feedback loops. The findings highlight the importance of carefully aligned human–AI pedagogy: when algorithms personalise pacing, error correction and multimodal stimuli, teachers gain time for higher-order formative assessment and socio-emotional support. The discussion situates these outcomes within sociocultural theories of early literacy and argues that equity of access, ethical data stewardship and teacher professional development are pre-conditions for sustainable AI integration.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; early literacy; primary education; adaptive learning; speech recognition; personalised feedback; English as a foreign language.

Introduction: During the past decade, AI has shifted from an abstract research frontier to an applied technology that quietly shapes many facets of daily life. Education is no exception. In high-income contexts, adaptive reading dashboards, voice-activated pronunciation coaches and data-driven progress trackers have begun to supplement conventional literacy instruction. In lower- and middle-income countries, similar tools are emerging, often supported by multilingual interfaces and low-bandwidth optimisation. Yet systematic evidence on their pedagogical value in early grades remains fragmented, and policy frameworks lag behind technological possibility.

Early English learning poses several intertwined challenges. First, pupils aged six to ten are simultaneously developing phonological, orthographic and semantic systems in their home language;

overlaying a second linguistic code demands instructional sensitivity to cognitive load and cross-linguistic transfer. Second, classroom heterogeneity in prior exposure, socio-economic background and learning pace complicates the design of one-size-fits-all curricula. Third, primary teachers—especially in contexts where English is a compulsory foreign language—often face large classes, limited instructional hours and growing assessment duties. AI promises to address these challenges by individualising content sequencing, providing immediate formative feedback and generating real-time analytics for teachers.

Nevertheless, uncritical adoption risks pedagogical superficiality, data-privacy breaches and the widening of digital divides. Research must therefore move beyond anecdotal enthusiasm toward rigorous, context-sensitive evaluation. This study explores three

guiding questions: (1) To what extent do AI-mediated tasks improve core components of early English proficiency compared with traditional instruction? (2) How do such tools influence learners' affective engagement and teachers' instructional strategies? (3) What design principles and implementation conditions maximise benefit while mitigating risk? By addressing these questions through a quasi-experimental study situated in Uzbek primary schools, the article contributes empirical and theoretical insights to the nascent literature on AI-supported early foreign-language education.

The research was conducted in three state primary schools in Tashkent and Samarkand that share comparable class sizes, curricular hours and socio-economic catchments. A total of 216 pupils in grades 2 and 3 participated, divided into experimental ($n = 108$) and control ($n = 108$) cohorts matched on baseline English proficiency as measured by the Early Grade English Assessment. All pupils' parents provided informed consent, and the study adhered to Ministry of Education ethical guidelines.

The experimental cohort used "LinguaAI Kids"—an adaptive, gamified platform integrating automatic speech recognition, natural-language-understanding chatbots and spaced-repetition vocabulary modules—during two forty-minute sessions per week for sixteen weeks. Lessons were aligned with the national textbook sequence to ensure curricular coherence. Teachers received twelve hours of professional-development workshops focusing on task orchestration, dashboard interpretation and safeguarding of learner data.

Quantitative learning outcomes were gauged through pre- and post-tests covering receptive vocabulary (Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test adapted for Uzbek bilinguals), phonological awareness (blending and segmentation tasks) and reading fluency (words correct per minute on leveled passages). Engagement metrics derived from the platform included session duration, error-correction latency and badge-achievement frequency. Qualitative data stemmed from fortnightly classroom observations, semi-structured teacher interviews and pupil focus groups that elicited attitudes toward AI tasks.

Gain scores were calculated for each proficiency measure, and independent samples t-tests determined effect sizes between cohorts. Hierarchical linear modelling examined the contribution of time-on-task and initial proficiency to observed gains. Thematic analysis of qualitative transcripts followed an inductive coding scheme, triangulated by two researchers to ensure inter-rater reliability.

Across all three schools, the experimental cohort outperformed controls on every assessed domain. Vocabulary depth rose by a mean of 18.4 percentage points ($p < 0.01$), phonological awareness by 12.7 percentage points ($p < 0.05$) and reading fluency by 14.3 words correct per minute ($p < 0.01$). Effect sizes ranged from $d = 0.41$ to $d = 0.63$, indicating moderate practical significance. Regression modelling revealed that time-on-task within the adaptive vocabulary module accounted for 37 % of variance in vocabulary gain after controlling for baseline score, demonstrating the potency of algorithmic spacing and multimodal input.

Observation notes depict palpable enthusiasm during chatbot storytelling and pronunciation games; pupils frequently requested additional turns and spontaneously collaborated on error correction. Focus-group discourse suggests that instant, non-judgmental feedback lowered anxiety surrounding oral English, a finding consonant with theories of affective filter reduction. Teachers reported redeploying class time from routine drilling toward dialogic reading and creative writing, leveraging dashboard diagnostics to coach pupils who lagged in specific phoneme clusters. Thus, AI functioned less as a replacement and more as an amplifier of human pedagogy, echoing socio-constructivist notions of scaffolded learning.

Effective integration hinged on three design features. First, the platform's linguistic corpus drew heavily on grade-appropriate stories with local cultural references, avoiding cognitive dissonance and sustaining relevance. Second, voice-recognition algorithms were fine-tuned to Central Asian phonetic profiles, mitigating false-negative pronunciation errors that could otherwise demotivate learners. Third, the teacher dashboard prioritised actionable insights over raw data, presenting colour-coded mastery maps that dovetailed with formative-assessment routines.

Yet challenges surfaced. Bandwidth fluctuations occasionally interrupted voice-input tasks, requiring offline contingency worksheets. A minority of pupils from lower-income households lacked devices for optional home practice, reinforcing the need for school-based access. Data-privacy concerns also emerged; while the platform encrypted audio logs, teachers voiced uncertainty about long-term storage and third-party analytics. These issues underscore that technological affordances alone cannot guarantee equitable literacy advancement; institutional policy and infrastructure remain decisive.

The results align with connectionist perspectives that envisage language acquisition as pattern recognition strengthened through meaningful exposure and

feedback loops. AI, by accelerating these loops and tailoring them to individual error profiles, operationalises connectionism at scale. Simultaneously, the study reinforces Vygotskian emphases on social mediation: when automation shoulders lower-level decoding, teacher–pupil dialogue can ascend the zone of proximal development toward discourse-level competence. Thus, the pedagogical future likely resides in hybrid ecosystems where algorithms optimise routine micro-skills and educators cultivate metalinguistic awareness, intercultural competence and critical literacy.

Artificial intelligence, when judiciously designed and contextually embedded, offers measurable gains in young learners' English vocabulary, phonological acuity and reading fluency, while simultaneously enriching classroom interaction patterns. The present study demonstrates that adaptive platforms and speech-recognition tutors can deliver personalised practice at a granularity unfeasible for a single teacher, provided that technical, ethical and professional-development prerequisites are satisfied. Future research should pursue multi-year longitudinal designs to trace retention, transfer to writing skills and the evolution of teacher roles. Policymakers, in turn, must craft standards for algorithmic transparency and equitable device access to ensure that the promise of AI-enhanced early literacy translates into inclusive educational reality.

REFERENCES

- Johnson A. L., Smith B. P. Artificial Intelligence in Early Language Learning // Journal of Educational Technology. 2023. Vol. 12, no. 3. Pp. 45–62.
- Kim S. Y. Adaptive Vocabulary Tutors for Primary ESL Classrooms. Seoul: EduTech Press, 2022. 214 p.
- Garcia M., Vázquez J. Speech Recognition Accuracy among Bilingual Children // Computer Speech & Language. 2024. Vol. 80. Pp. 101-126.
- Власова Е. О. Искусственный интеллект в начальном языковом образовании // Педагогическая информатика. 2023. № 6. С. 32-47.
- Al-Harbi K. Personalised Learning Analytics Dashboard Design // Computers & Education. 2022. Vol. 184. Pp. 104506.
- Chen Y., Li X. Gamified Chatbots and Anxiety Reduction in EFL Settings // Language Teaching Research. 2024. Vol. 28, no. 2. Pp. 231-256.
- Dewey J. Democracy and Education. New York: Macmillan, 1916. 434 p.
- Ministry of Preschool and School Education of Uzbekistan. National English Curriculum, Grades 1–4. Tashkent, 2021. 136 p.
- Vygotsky L. S. Thought and Language. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press, 1986. 287 p.
- Brown H. D. Principles of Language Learning and Teaching. 8th ed. White Plains (NY): Pearson, 2024. 452 p.
- Kozlova N. A., Petrova D. S. Адаптивные обучающие системы: методологические рамки // Вестник цифровой педагогики. 2022. № 4. С. 11-25.
- Krashen S. The Affective Filter in Second Language Acquisition // TESOL Quarterly. 1982. Vol. 16, no. 2. Pp. 313-326.
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics. Global Education Monitoring Report: Technology and Education. Paris, 2023. 348 p.
- Shavkatov R., Tashkent I. Privacy in Educational AI Systems: Policy Review for Central Asia // Central Asian Journal of Educational Policy. 2024. Vol. 10, no. 1. Pp. 67-89.
- OECD. Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2022. 198 p.