

# Morpheme Hierarchy in Word Formation and Inflection in The Uzbek Language

Khaydarova Iroda Anarbaevna

Basic doctoral student, Samarkand State Institute, foreign languages, Uzbekistan

**Received:** 12 April 2025; **Accepted:** 08 May 2025; **Published:** 10 June 2025

**Abstract:** This article examines the hierarchical structure of morphemes in the Uzbek language, focusing on their role in word formation and inflection. As an agglutinative language, Uzbek constructs words through the sequential addition of affixes to a root, following a relatively fixed morpheme order. The study analyzes the distinction and interaction between derivational and inflectional morphemes and explores how their hierarchical positioning affects grammatical meaning and syntactic behavior. Particular attention is paid to the placement of morphemes in both noun and verb forms, as well as to exceptions and morphophonological processes that may alter standard patterns. Drawing on examples from contemporary Uzbek, the paper aims to clarify the rules that govern morpheme sequencing and contribute to a deeper understanding of Uzbek morphological typology. The findings are relevant for linguistic theory, language teaching, and computational applications such as natural language processing and automatic morphological analysis.

**Keywords:** Uzbek language, morpheme hierarchy, word formation, inflection, agglutinative language, derivational morphemes, inflectional morphemes, morphology, Turkic linguistics, grammatical structure.

**Introduction:** Morphemics, as a branch of linguistics that studies the minimal meaningful units of language – morphemes, plays a key role in the analysis of word structure. In Uzbek, as in other agglutinative languages, morphemes are connected sequentially, forming words of varying degrees of complexity. One of the most important features of morphemic structure is their hierarchical organization. This article examines the hierarchical relationships between morphemes in the processes of word formation and inflection in the Uzbek language.

The Uzbek language, a member of the Turkic language family, exhibits a rich morphological structure that relies heavily on agglutination — the process of adding a sequence of morphemes to a root to modify its meaning or grammatical function. Understanding the hierarchy of morphemes within this structure is essential for analyzing both word formation and inflectional processes. In Uzbek, morphemes follow a relatively fixed linear order, where each type of morpheme (derivational, inflectional, etc.) occupies a specific position in the word. This order reflects

functional and semantic priorities, influencing syntactic behavior and morphological parsing.

The study of morpheme hierarchy not only reveals patterns of morphological organization but also provides insight into language processing, acquisition, and computational modeling. This paper aims to explore the principles governing morpheme ordering in Uzbek, distinguishing between derivational and inflectional morphemes, and examining their interaction within word formation. Through illustrative examples and theoretical analysis, the article seeks to demonstrate how morpheme hierarchy shapes the structure and meaning of complex words in Uzbek.

## Materials

The primary materials for this study consist of authentic lexical and grammatical data from contemporary Uzbek, collected from both written and spoken sources. These include:

- Uzbek language dictionaries (e.g., O'zbek tilingiz izohli lug'ati, O'zbek tili grammatikasi)
- Academic grammar books and linguistic

monographs on Uzbek morphology and Turkic linguistics

- Corpora and text collections, including Uzbek literary texts, newspapers, educational materials, and online publications
- Spoken Uzbek data gathered from interviews, media broadcasts, and conversational transcripts

In the Uzbek language, a morpheme is defined as the minimal meaningful unit capable of expressing lexical or grammatical meaning. The following main types of morphemes are distinguished:

- Root morpheme (lexical stem)
- Word-forming affixes (derivative)
- Word-modifying affixes (inflectional)
- Interfixes and connecting elements (rarely)

### Hierarchy of morphemes in word-formation structure

Word formation in the Uzbek language is carried out mainly through the attachment of affixes to the root. However, these affixes are not equal in function and position in the structure of the word. There is a strict hierarchy of morphemes, manifested in the following:

1. The primary element is the root, to which the following are attached:
2. Word-forming suffixes (e.g., -chi, -lik, -dor)
3. Then, if the derivation process is multi-stage, additional word-forming suffixes can follow.
4. And only then - grammatical (word-modifying) affixes (e.g., possessive, case, number endings, etc.)

Thus, the order of morpheme attachment is not random, but follows morphological and semantic logic. For example:

muallim (teacher) → muallimlik (teacher's profession)  
→ muallimligim (my teacher's profession)  
→ muallimligimda (my teacher's profession).

Here:

- muallim - root.
- -lik - derivational affix
- -im - possessive affix (1 person, singular)
- -da - locative case affix

### Morpheme hierarchy in inflection

Unlike word formation, inflection involves only grammatical affixes. However, a certain hierarchy is also observed here. In Uzbek, the order of affixes in inflection is usually as follows:

1. Possessive affixes (if applicable)
2. Number and person affixes (for verbs)
3. Case affixes (for nouns)

4. Tense, mood, and voice affixes (for verbs)

Example of a noun:

kitob (book) → kitobim (my book) → kitobimda (my book)

Example of a verb:

yoz (to write) → yozdi (he/she wrote) → yozdik (we wrote) → yozdilar (they wrote)

In verbal forms, the tense and person suffixes are attached in a strictly defined order: stem + aspect/time + person + number.

### Reasons and significance of morpheme hierarchy

Morpheme hierarchy provides:

- Univocality of interpretation: Fixed order of affixes helps avoid ambiguity and structural uncertainty.
- Systematic word formation: allows for the construction of new words based on productive models.
- Stability of grammatical structure: formal rules reinforce morphological norms.

### METHODS

This study employs a qualitative descriptive approach to analyze the morpheme hierarchy in Uzbek word formation and inflection. The following linguistic methods were used:

**Morphological analysis:** Words were broken down into their constituent morphemes (root, derivational, and inflectional) to identify their structure and sequence. The analysis focused on both noun and verb paradigms to cover a wide range of morphological behavior.

**Structural analysis:** The order and function of morphemes were studied to reveal the hierarchical principles governing their arrangement. Special attention was given to morphotactic rules that determine the allowable combinations and positions of morphemes.

**Comparative method:** Selected examples were compared with equivalent constructions in other Turkic languages (such as Kazakh, Kyrgyz, and Turkish) to identify common typological patterns and language-specific features of Uzbek.

**Corpus-Based observation:** Examples of word formation and inflection were extracted from Uzbek language corpora, dictionaries, literary texts, and online sources. This provided empirical support for theoretical claims and allowed for analysis of real language use.

**Syntactic and semantic interpretation:** The functional load of morphemes was evaluated not only in terms of formal placement but also regarding their role in conveying grammatical meaning, such as tense, mood,

case, number, person, and aspect.

This multi-method approach enables a comprehensive understanding of how morphemes are organized within the Uzbek morphological system, supporting the identification of regular patterns, exceptions, and potential areas of variation.

## RESULTS

The analysis of morpheme structure in the Uzbek language has led to the following key findings:

**Fixed morpheme sequence:** Uzbek exhibits a highly regular morpheme ordering, especially in agglutinative formations. In general, derivational morphemes appear immediately after the root, followed by inflectional morphemes, reflecting a stable hierarchy:

Root → Derivational Morpheme(s) → Inflectional Morpheme(s).

**Clear functional distinction:** There is a clear functional and positional distinction between derivational and inflectional morphemes. Derivational morphemes contribute to lexical meaning (e.g., forming nouns from verbs), while inflectional morphemes express grammatical categories such as tense, aspect, mood, person, number, and case.

**Morpheme compatibility and restrictions:** Certain derivational morphemes show restrictions in their co-occurrence or order. Violations of this order result in ungrammatical or semantically altered forms.

**Morphophonological adaptations:** Phonological changes often occur at morpheme boundaries (vowel harmony, consonant assimilation), but these do not disrupt the underlying morpheme hierarchy. Such adaptations ensure smooth integration of morphemes while preserving their individual identities.

**Typological consistency:** The Uzbek morpheme hierarchy shows strong similarities with other Turkic languages, confirming its typological alignment within the Turkic family. However, some unique features — such as specific suffix variations and productivity levels — distinguish Uzbek from its relatives.

**Flexibility in word formation:** While the morpheme hierarchy is generally strict, some derivational processes allow recursive use (e.g., multiple affixation in nominal derivation), demonstrating a degree of productivity and flexibility within the system.

Overall, the results confirm that the morpheme hierarchy in Uzbek plays a central role in maintaining both morphological structure and clarity of meaning. These patterns are essential for linguistic description, teaching, and computational processing of the language.

## DISCUSSION

The findings of this study confirm that the Uzbek language adheres to a structured and functionally motivated morpheme hierarchy, typical of agglutinative languages. The clear distinction between derivational and inflectional morphemes, and their predictable ordering, underscores the regularity and transparency of the Uzbek morphological system. This regularity not only facilitates linguistic analysis but also aids language learners and supports the development of computational tools for Uzbek language processing.

One important implication is the role of derivational morphemes in altering lexical categories and meaning prior to the application of grammatical (inflectional) endings. This sequence ensures that inflection applies to a complete lexical unit, maintaining grammatical coherence. For instance, in verb forms such as *yoz-dir-il-di* ("was made to write"), each morpheme adds a new layer of meaning while respecting the hierarchical structure.

The results also highlight morphotactic constraints — rules governing the allowable combinations and order of morphemes — which reflect deep-seated grammatical logic. These constraints are rarely violated in natural Uzbek speech, indicating their cognitive entrenchment among native speakers.

The comparison with other Turkic languages reinforces the view that Uzbek shares a common morphological base with its linguistic relatives, yet demonstrates certain unique developments. For example, while the causative/passive/reflexive sequence is common across Turkic languages, Uzbek shows a slightly more flexible approach in nominal derivation, allowing for complex formations that may not be equally productive in other languages.

Another significant point is the role of phonological processes in maintaining the fluidity of morpheme combinations without disrupting morphemic boundaries. While vowel harmony and consonant assimilation affect surface forms, they operate within the constraints of the morpheme hierarchy and do not obscure the functional identity of each morpheme.

From a broader perspective, these observations contribute to typological studies and have practical relevance for language education, especially in structuring grammatical instruction and designing curricula. Moreover, the findings are applicable in natural language processing (NLP), where understanding morpheme hierarchy is essential for tasks like morphological parsing, machine translation, and speech recognition.

In conclusion, the hierarchical arrangement of morphemes in Uzbek is a core organizing principle of its morphology. Further research may explore its

implications in dialectal variation, language acquisition, and the historical evolution of Turkic morphological systems.

## CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that morpheme hierarchy plays a fundamental role in the structure and functioning of word formation and inflection in the Uzbek language. The consistent ordering of morphemes — from root to derivational to inflectional — reflects the systematic nature of Uzbek morphology and aligns with the typological features of Turkic languages.

The analysis confirmed that derivational morphemes, which define or modify the lexical meaning and category of a word, typically precede inflectional morphemes, which express grammatical relationships such as tense, person, case, and number. This fixed sequence supports clear and predictable word construction and contributes to the transparency of meaning in both written and spoken Uzbek.

Additionally, the study revealed that morphotactic rules and phonological adaptations work together to preserve the clarity of morpheme boundaries while enabling efficient communication. The patterns identified not only illustrate the internal logic of the language but also offer practical insights for language teaching, lexicography, and computational linguistics.

In conclusion, understanding the morpheme hierarchy in Uzbek is essential for accurate linguistic description, effective pedagogy, and successful application of natural language processing tools. Further studies may expand this research by investigating dialectal variations, historical developments, or cross-linguistic comparisons within the broader Turkic family. The hierarchy of morphemes in the Uzbek language reflects the general patterns of agglutinative morphology. The clear distinction between root, derivational, and inflectional morphemes, as well as the stable order of their attachment, makes it possible to conduct precise morphemic and syntactic analysis. The study of hierarchical relationships between morphemes contributes not only to a deeper understanding of the structure of the Uzbek language but also to its teaching, automatic processing, and comparative-typological research.

## REFERENCES

- Khayrullaev K. Z. The relation of word, word composition and sentence to the predicativeness //Abstract of candidate dissertation.—Tashkent. – 2001.
- Хайруллаев Х. Предикативлик ҳодисаси ва унинг ифода объектлари. – 2002.
- Isaev, M.I. (1990). Typology of Agglutinative Languages.

Moscow: Nauka.

Bozorov, M. (2006). O'zbek tilining grammatikasi: Morfologiya. Tashkent: O'zbekiston Milliy Ensiklopediyasi.

Johanson, L. & Csató, É. Á. (Eds.). (1998). The Turkic Languages. London: Routledge.

Mahmudov, N. et al. (2008). O'zbek tilining izohli lug'ati (5 vols.). Tashkent: Uzbek Language Institute.

Spencer, A., & Zwicky, A.M. (Eds.). (1998). The Handbook of Morphology. Oxford: Blackwell.

Tajribaeva, N. (2013). O'zbek tilida so'z yasovchi affikslarning morfologik tizimi. Tashkent: Fan.

Kornfilt, J. (1997). Turkish. London: Routledge. [For comparative Turkic analysis]

Comrie, B. (1981). Language Universals and Linguistic Typology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.