The OSCAR PUBLISHING Services
American Journal of Philological Sciences

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue05 2025
PAGE NO. 282-284
DOI 10.37547/ajps/Volume05Issue05-77

Logical Connections in Compound Sentences and Semantic Relationships Between Propositions

Otakhonova Gulshirinoy Kanakhatovna

Teacher Of Namangan Regional Pedagogical Skills Center, Department Of Socio-Economic Sciences And Language Teaching Methods, Uzbekistan

Received: 29 March 2025; **Accepted:** 25 April 2025; **Published:** 30 May 2025

Abstract: This article explores the structural and semantic intricacies of compound sentences in natural language, focusing on the logical connections and the relationships between individual propositions. Compound sentences consist of multiple clauses, each representing a proposition, which are interconnected through various logical and semantic relations such as coordination, causation, condition, and contrast. Understanding these relationships is crucial for analyzing sentence meaning, discourse cohesion, and the speaker's intent. Drawing upon the work of leading linguists including M.A.K. Halliday, Geoffrey Leech, and Eve Sweetser, this study highlights how logical connectors contribute to meaning-making in complex syntactic structures. The article also emphasizes the relevance of these concepts in fields such as discourse analysis, language teaching, and computational linguistics.

Keywords: Compound sentence, proposition, logical connection, semantic relationship, coordination, subordination, discourse coherence, syntactic structure, linguistic analysis.

Introduction: The structure of compound sentences is a core area of study within syntactic and semantic linguistics. Compound sentences, as opposed to simple or complex ones, consist of two or more clauses that are usually coordinated or subordinated in such a way that they convey multiple propositions. These propositions are not merely juxtaposed but are semantically and logically interconnected. Understanding the nature of these logical connections and semantic relationships is essential for a comprehensive analysis of language structure, meaning representation, and discourse coherence. This article explores how logical connections function within compound sentences and how semantic relationships are established between propositions. It draws on the theoretical contributions of prominent linguists and examines various types of logical-semantic relationships that govern compound sentence construction. ompound sentences are a fundamental unit of language structure that enable the expression of multiple ideas within a single grammatical construct. Unlike simple sentences, compound sentences consist of two or more independent clauses—each of which is a proposition conveying a discrete unit of meaning. The logical and semantic ties between these propositions are vital for achieving coherence, clarity, and communicative purpose. Linguists have long investigated the nature of propositions and the ways in which they are connected. As Lyons puts it, “A proposition is what is expressed by a declarative sentence when it is used to make an assertion.” This view underscores the essential role of logic and meaning in sentence structure.

Theoretical Background: Proposition and Compound Sentences

A proposition is a unit of meaning or information that can be deemed true or false. It is generally realized through the syntactic structure of a sentence. As Lyons asserts, "A proposition is what is expressed by a declarative sentence when it is used to make an assertion." In compound sentences, each clause typically represents a separate proposition, but these are linked through logical connectors, giving rise to a unified meaning. According to M.A.K. Halliday and R. Hasan, cohesion in discourse is often achieved through logico-semantic relationships, which include coordination, subordination, and various discourse markers that link propositions. These connections are

vital for interpreting how information flows in extended communication. In Uzbek, each part of a sentence expresses an independent thought (proposition). How they relate to each other and how they contribute to the overall semantics of the sentence is inextricably linked to these logical connections.

Types of Logical Connections in Compound Sentences

A compound sentence consists of two or more independent clauses joined by a coordinating conjunction (and, but, or, so, for, yet, nor) or a semicolon (;). The logical relationship between these clauses depends on the conjunction or context used.

1. Causal Relationship (Cause and Effect). One of the most frequent types of logical-semantic relationships is the causal connection. In such constructions, one proposition serves as the cause or reason for the other. “She didn’t attend the meeting, because she was sick.” Here, the second proposition (“she was sick”) provides the cause for the first. Quirk et al. observe that causal conjunctions like because, since, and as indicate that the truth of one proposition explains or motivates the truth of another. They note: "In cause-effect relationships, the second clause provides the motivation, justification, or consequence of the first.”

2. Conditional Relationship. Conditional sentences contain propositions where the truth of one depends on the truth of another. “If it rains, the match will be canceled.” In this structure, the proposition in the “if” clause sets a condition for the second proposition to be true. According to Sweetser, conditional constructions serve both epistemic and real-world functions: "Conditionals do not merely predict factual outcomes; they represent mental states or logical dependencies in the speaker's worldview. "

3. Contrast and Opposition. Contrastive or adversative relationships express disagreement, opposition, or contradiction between propositions. He is very intelligent, yet he fails every test.” The conjunction “yet” introduces a contrasting relationship. As noted by Chafe, contrastive coordination often serves to juxtapose unexpected or contradictory elements: "Oppositional coordination reflects not only grammatical relations but also the speaker’s attitude toward informational coherence. "

4. Additive or Coordinative Relationships. In coordinative structures, the propositions are semantically equal and often independent, merely adding information. “She read the book and wrote a report.” Here, the logical connection is additive. As described by Crystal, “Additive coordination is a syntactic process by which two equally ranked units are conjoined to extend a proposition.”

Semantic Coherence Between Propositions

Semantic coherence refers to the meaningful and logically consistent relationship between propositions (statements or claims) within a text, argument, or discourse. When propositions are semantically coherent, they connect in a way that supports comprehension, logical flow, and overall unity of meaning. Beyond their logical connections, propositions in compound sentences also exhibit semantic coherence. This means that even if the clauses are grammatically joined by coordination or subordination, they must also relate meaningfully. Halliday and Matthiessen distinguish between taxis (the grammatical arrangement of clauses) and logico-semantic relations (the meaning-based connections between them). They write: “It is the semantic relationship—not the syntactic pattern—that determines whether the speaker perceives the clauses as interdependent. ” Semantic relations may include:

- Temporal Sequence: Events are narrated in order.
- She woke up, then made coffee.
- Purpose and Result: One action leads to another.
- He studied hard so that he could pass.
- Explanation and Clarification: The second clause elaborates.
- She was late, which surprised everyone.

According to Van Dijk and Kintsch (1983), such semantic structures form the macrostructure of discourse, giving cohesion and coherence to larger units of meaning beyond sentence level. Connective words—such as because, although, and, but, so—play a critical role in explicitly marking the relationships between propositions. Fraser (1999) classifies discourse markers into three types: elaborative, contrastive, and inferential, each indicating a particular semantic link.

He argues: “Discourse markers are crucial devices for signaling the speaker’s interpretation of the relationship between propositions. Without them, the semantic force of a compound sentence might remain ambiguous or unanchored.”

Implications for Syntax, Semantics, and Pragmatics

Understanding logical and semantic relations in compound sentences has important implications for multiple fields:

- Syntax: Explains the structural organization of language units.
- Semantics: Illuminates the meaning-based interdependence of clauses.
- Pragmatics: Clarifies the speaker’s intention,

belief, or attitude regarding the interconnected propositions.

In computational linguistics and natural language processing, accurate parsing of such relationships is essential for machine translation, information retrieval, and semantic understanding.

CONCLUSION

The logical connections and semantic relations between propositions in complex sentences in the Uzbek language constitute the logical-syntactic structure of the language. These relations are clearly expressed through the structure of the sentence and ensure the semantic integrity of speech. This study shows that the connection between propositions is manifested through various means - connectors, order, intonation, context. In-depth analysis of these processes in linguistics is important not only for theoretical, but also for practical purposes, in particular for the fields of translation, language teaching and computational linguistics. Logical connections in compound sentences and the semantic relationships between propositions form the backbone of complex meaning-making in natural language. These structures are more than grammatical constructions; they reflect the logical reasoning, temporal sequencing, and pragmatic intentions of the speaker or writer. Linguists such as Lyons, Halliday, Fraser, and Quirk have contributed significantly to our understanding of how propositions function and interact within compound sentence structures. A deeper understanding of these relationships not only enhances linguistic theory but also informs teaching, translation, and computational applications of language. Recognizing and analyzing these connections allows for more coherent, meaningful communication, both in written and spoken discourse.

REFERENCES

1. Xasanova M. Qo’shma Gap Va Uning Tasnifi //Miasto Przyszłości. – 2024. – T. 55. – C. 1112-1114.
2. Yazilova G. SODDA VA QO‘SHMA GAPLAR: TUZILISHI, USLUBIY ROLI VA NUTQIY AMALIYOTI //TRANSFORMING EDUCATION THROUGH SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY. – 2025. – T. 1. – №. 1. – C. 1482-1486.
3. Lyons, J. (1977). Semantics. Cambridge University Press.
4. Halliday, M.A.K., & Hasan, R. (1976). Cohesion in English. Longman.
5. Sweetser, E. (1990). From Etymology to Pragmatics: Metaphorical and Cultural Aspects of Semantic Structure. Cambridge University Press.
6. Chafe, W. (1994). Discourse, Consciousness, and Time. University of Chicago Press.
7. Halliday, M.A.K., & Matthiessen, C.M.I.M. (2004). An Introduction to Functional Grammar.
8. Mavlyanov S. O'zbek tili diplomatik terminlari tizimida semantik munosabatlar //Uzbekistan: Language and Culture. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 1.