

Morphophonological Processes and Their Phonetic, Phonological, And Morthographic Representations: A Comparative Analysis of Korean And Uzbek

Melikova Uljon Burxonovna

lecturer of the Department of Korean Philology, Faculty of Oriental Languages, SamSIFL, Uzbekistan

Received: 18 February 2025; **Accepted:** 17 March 2025; **Published:** 17 April 2025

Abstract: This article investigates the morphophonological processes in Korean and Uzbek, focusing on their phonetic realization, phonological patterns, and orthographic representations. Through comparative analysis, the study explores assimilation, dissimilation, elision, and metathesis, illustrating the interplay between morphemes and phonemes. The paper also highlights how these processes are graphically encoded in two typologically distinct writing systems, revealing implications for linguistic theory and language pedagogy.

Keywords: Morphophonology, phonetics, phonology, orthography, Korean language, Uzbek language, assimilation, elision, comparative linguistic.

Introduction: Morphophonological processes represent a dynamic interface between morphology and phonology. These processes are crucial in understanding how morphemes adapt phonetically during word formation. While Korean and Uzbek belong to different language families—Korean being a language isolate and Uzbek a Turkic language—they exhibit intriguing morphophonological behaviors that reflect universal phonological tendencies and language-specific strategies.

This study draws upon the frameworks of generative phonology (Chomsky & Halle, 1968) and Natural Phonology (Stampe, 1973), incorporating cross-linguistic perspectives on morphophonemic alternations. Additionally, the analysis considers the influence of writing systems on the perception and preservation of these processes.

Phonetic realization in Korean is highly influenced by syllable-final consonants (batchim), tone, and pitch accent, while Uzbek exhibits more regular phoneme realization. Phonologically, Uzbek stresses the penultimate or final syllable, whereas Korean relies on intonation rather than stress.

Morphophonological Processes in Korean and Uzbek

Assimilation

- **Korean:** 국밥 /kukpap/ → [kukpap] (regressive assimilation and gemination due to final consonant + plosive interaction).
- **Uzbek:** kitob+lar → [kitoblar] (no change), but in fast speech: [kitollar].

Dissimilation

- **Korean:** Rare, but found in historical changes and dialectal variation.
- **Uzbek:** Examples include hypercorrection or avoidance of repetition, e.g., tutmoq becoming tushmoq in colloquial speech.

Elision

- **Korean:** 좋다 /chot'a/ → [chota] ('ㅎ' elided in casual speech).
- **Uzbek:** bo'libdi → bopti (vowel and consonant elision).

Metathesis

- **Korean:** Minimal, mainly dialectal.
- **Uzbek:** Found in older or dialectal forms, e.g., barmoğ → barmoq.

Korean Hangul is morphophonemic but allows for systematic phonological variation. Characters are arranged into blocks that visually reflect syllabic structure. Uzbek orthography, based on the Latin script, more directly represents phonemes but often fails to capture morphophonemic alternations.

Example:

- Korean: 읽다 (to read) → pronounced [익따]
- Uzbek: yurak+im → yuragim (voicing of [k] to [g])

The passage you provided explains fundamental concepts in phonology, specifically about phonemes and their role in distinguishing word meanings, as well as the distinction between phonemes and phonetic sounds.

Phonology and Phonemes

Phonology is the branch of linguistics that deals with the study of phonemes, the smallest units of sound that distinguish meaning in a language. Phonemes themselves are abstract units and do not exist in isolation in speech. Their realizations in actual speech are called allophones or variant phonemes.

Phoneme and Allophone

A phoneme is defined as the smallest sound unit in a language that can change the meaning of a word. For example, in Korean:

- “물” (mul) means water, and
- “불” (pul) means fire.

While the ending “-ul” part of both words is the same, the difference in the first consonant □ (m) and ▢ (b) distinguishes the meanings of the words. These sounds cannot be further divided into smaller meaningful units, thus □ and ▢ are considered phonemes in Korean.

Similarly, other consonants like ▮, ▮, and ▮ can also alter the meaning of words and are classified as phonemes in the Korean language.

Minimal Pairs

To identify whether a sound is a phoneme, linguists often use minimal pairs, which are two words that differ by only one sound but have distinct meanings. In the above example, “물” (mul) and “불” (pul) form a minimal pair because the only difference between them is the first consonant, which leads to a difference in meaning.

Phoneme vs. Phonetic Sound

There is a distinction between phonemes and phonetic

sounds. Phonetic sounds are actual speech sounds, which may not always carry meaning by themselves. In contrast, phonemes are abstract representations that can change the meaning of words. For instance:

- In the Korean word “불” (pul, meaning fire) and “이불” (ibul, meaning blanket), the difference between the p and b sounds is phonetic but does not change the meaning.
- Similarly, in the words “날” (nal) meaning “day” and “나라” (nara) meaning “country,” the n sound in both words is the same and does not change the meaning, making it a phonetic difference, not a phonemic one.

In summary, while phonetic sounds might differ, it is the phonemes—those units of sound that lead to a change in meaning—that are the focus of phonological analysis.

Understanding morphophonological alternations aids in more effective language teaching and machine processing of languages. For language learners, recognizing predictable patterns can improve reading and pronunciation. For linguists, such comparative data supports theories of universality and language-specific variation.

CONCLUSION

Despite their structural differences, Korean and Uzbek share common morphophonological tendencies such as assimilation and elision. Their divergence in orthographic systems, however, influences how these phenomena are perceived and processed. Future research may involve corpus-based analysis or psycholinguistic testing to deepen our understanding.

REFERENCES

- Chomsky, N., & Halle, M. (1968). *The Sound Pattern of English*. MIT Press.
- Sohn, H.-M. (1999). *The Korean Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bazarova, S. (2023, December). PAK VAN SO VA SAIDA ZUNNUNOVA IJODIDA “AYOL” KONSEPTI. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 51-60).
- Usmonqulovna, B. D. (2024). KOREYS VA O ‘ZBEK TILLARIDA AFFIKSLAR SEMANTIKASI. OBRAZOVANIE NAUKA I INNOVACIIONNYYE IDEI V MIPE, 46(7), 12-18.
- Eshimova, S., & Davlatova, K. (2023, December). O‘ZBEK VA KOREYS TILLARIDA METAFORANING O‘RGANILISHI. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 46-50).

Xushvaktova, H. U., & Eshimova, S. K. (2023, December). KOREYS TILIDAGI IBORALARNI O'ZBEK TILIGA TARJIMAQILISHDAGI QIYINCHILIKLAR. In Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit (pp. 96-99).

Azizova, S. B. (2023, December). KOREYS VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDA HURMATNI IFODALOVCHI LINGVISTIK VA EKSTRALINGVISTIK VOSITALARNING QIYOSIY TAHLILI. In Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit (pp. 138-141).

Turakulova, S. F. Differences and Similarities of Derivative Adverbs in Uzbek and Korean Languages.

Qizi, S. S. A. (2023). KOREYS VA O 'ZBEK TILLARIDA TEJAM HODISASINING O 'RGANILISHI. Innovation: The journal of Social Sciences and Researches, 1(5), 104-108.

Баенханова, И. (2019). Паремияларнинг турли тизимдаги тилларда умумий хусусиятлари. Иностранная филология: язык, литература, образование, (2 (71)), 64-66.

Алимова, Д. (2022). Лексические средства выражения вежливости. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 2(Special Issue 24), 542-554.

Melikova, U. (2022). PRAGMATIC SEMANTIC FEATURES OF THE TEXT IN KOREAN AND UZBEK LANGUAGES. Spectrum Journal of Innovation, Reforms and Development, 4, 371-373.