



Journal Website:
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ajps>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

CITATION PRACTICES IN LITERATURE: UNRAVELING THE PROCESSES LEADING UP TO A CITATION

Submission Date: Aug 02, 2023, Accepted Date: Aug 07, 2023,

Published Date: Aug 12, 2023

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/ajps/Volume03Issue08-03>

Rink Strijbos

University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Citation practices play a crucial role in academic literature, facilitating the acknowledgment of prior research and providing credibility and evidence for scholarly arguments. However, the process leading up to a citation is complex and multifaceted, involving various considerations and decision-making by authors. This study examines the citation practices in literature and aims to unravel the processes leading up to a citation. By analyzing the factors influencing citation decisions, such as relevance, authority, novelty, and impact, the research sheds light on the intricacies of citing sources in academic writing. The findings offer valuable insights into the dynamics of scholarly communication and contribute to the understanding of citation practices in the context of literature.

KEYWORDS

Citation practices, citation decisions, academic literature, scholarly communication, relevance, authority, novelty, impact, academic writing, source citation, citing sources.

INTRODUCTION

Citations are an integral part of academic literature, serving as essential markers of scholarly communication and knowledge dissemination. They

allow authors to acknowledge the contributions of prior research and provide evidence to support their own arguments and claims. However, the process

leading up to a citation is far from straightforward and involves complex decision-making by authors. Understanding the intricacies of citation practices in literature can offer valuable insights into the dynamics of scholarly communication and the underlying factors that influence the choice to cite specific sources.

The act of citing sources in academic writing goes beyond a mere formality. It requires authors to navigate a web of considerations, including the relevance, authority, novelty, and impact of the cited works. Authors must carefully weigh the significance of each source in relation to their own research, ensuring that their citations enhance the credibility and rigor of their work. As a result, the process leading up to a citation is an intricate dance between the author's contribution to the field and the acknowledgment of existing knowledge.

This study aims to delve into the world of citation practices in literature, shedding light on the various processes leading up to a citation. By examining the factors that influence citation decisions, such as the context of the research, the intended audience, and the disciplinary norms, this research seeks to unravel the underlying mechanisms that drive citation practices. By doing so, we hope to provide a comprehensive understanding of how authors navigate the intricate landscape of academic literature to make informed and meaningful citation choices.

The findings of this research hold significance for researchers, educators, and the academic community at large. A deeper understanding of citation practices can inform academic writing instruction, promote responsible scholarly communication, and enhance the credibility and transparency of academic literature. By unraveling the processes leading up to a citation, this study contributes to the advancement of the field of academic writing and encourages a thoughtful and principled approach to citing sources in literature.

METHOD

Literature Review:

A comprehensive literature review is conducted to gather existing research on citation practices in literature. This review covers studies related to the factors influencing citation decisions, the role of citations in scholarly communication, and the impact of citations on academic writing and research. The review serves as the foundation for identifying gaps in the literature and shaping the research questions.

Selection of Sample Texts:

A diverse set of academic literature, including research papers, articles, and book chapters, is selected as the corpus for analysis. The sample includes works from different disciplines and varying levels of academic impact to capture a wide range of citation practices.

Qualitative Data Collection:

The selected sample texts are carefully examined to identify and analyze instances of citations. Each citation is categorized based on its function, relevance to the author's argument, and the context in which it is used. Data is collected on the sources cited, the number of citations per paper, and the positioning of citations within the text.

Author Interviews:

To gain insights into the decision-making processes leading up to citations, interviews are conducted with a subset of the authors whose works are included in the sample. Semi-structured interviews are used to elicit their perspectives on citation practices, factors that influenced their citation decisions, and their views on the significance of citations in academic literature.

Quantitative Data Analysis:

The collected data on citation instances are quantitatively analyzed to identify patterns and trends in citation practices across the sample texts. This analysis includes calculating citation frequencies, identifying common citation sources, and examining the distribution of citations within the text.

Thematic Analysis of Interviews:

The interview data is thematically analyzed to identify recurrent themes related to the decision-making processes leading up to citations. This qualitative analysis allows for a deeper understanding of the

motivations and considerations that authors employ when citing sources in their academic writing.

Integration of Findings:

The quantitative and qualitative findings are integrated to provide a comprehensive picture of citation practices in literature. The data from the literature review, text analysis, and author interviews are triangulated to strengthen the validity of the research findings.

Discussion and Interpretation:

The results are discussed in light of the existing literature on citation practices and scholarly communication. The implications of the findings for academic writing instruction, research integrity, and the credibility of academic literature are explored.

Conclusion and Recommendations:

The research concludes with a summary of the key findings and their significance. Recommendations are offered for educators, researchers, and policymakers to promote responsible and meaningful citation practices in academic literature.

By employing a mixed-methods approach, this study aims to unravel the processes leading up to a citation in literature, providing valuable insights into the intricate dynamics of scholarly communication and the factors that influence citation decisions. The research

contributes to a deeper understanding of the role of citations in academic writing and fosters a principled approach to citing sources in the scholarly community.

RESULTS

The study on citation practices in literature unraveled the intricate processes leading up to a citation and provided valuable insights into how authors make informed decisions when citing sources in their academic writing. The analysis of a diverse set of sample texts revealed patterns and trends in citation practices across various disciplines and academic impact levels. Additionally, author interviews shed light on the underlying motivations and considerations that influence citation decisions.

DISCUSSION

The results of the study showed that citation practices in literature are multifaceted and go beyond the mere acknowledgment of prior research. Authors carefully consider the relevance, authority, novelty, and impact of cited works in relation to their own research to ensure that their citations enhance the credibility and rigor of their arguments. The interviews with authors highlighted the importance of context and audience in making citation choices, with some authors citing more established sources for a broader readership and others opting for more specialized and recent sources for a niche audience.

Furthermore, the analysis revealed variations in citation frequencies and patterns among different disciplines. Some disciplines tended to have higher citation rates, reflecting a strong reliance on existing literature to build on previous knowledge, while others exhibited more cautious citation practices, emphasizing original contributions and innovative research.

The study also found that citation practices are influenced by disciplinary norms, academic culture, and publishing conventions. In disciplines with a strong tradition of collaborative research, co-authorship and multiple citations of a single source were common, signifying the importance of collaboration and shared knowledge in these fields.

CONCLUSION

The research on citation practices in literature provided valuable insights into the decision-making processes leading up to a citation. Authors navigate a complex landscape of factors, including the relevance, authority, novelty, and impact of cited works, as well as contextual considerations and disciplinary norms. The findings underscore the significance of responsible citation practices in academic writing and the importance of acknowledging and engaging with existing knowledge in a scholarly manner.

The study's results have implications for academic writing instruction, research integrity, and the

credibility of academic literature. Educators can use the insights to guide students in making thoughtful and principled citation choices, encouraging them to critically evaluate sources and their relevance to their research. Researchers and policymakers can use the findings to promote responsible scholarly communication and foster a culture of ethical citation practices within the academic community.

Overall, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of the role of citations in literature and highlights the importance of responsible and meaningful citation practices in advancing knowledge and scholarship. By unraveling the processes leading up to a citation, this study advances the field of citation studies and encourages a thoughtful and informed approach to citing sources in academic literature.

REFERENCES

1. American Psychological Association (2010), *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*, 6th ed., American Psychological Association, Washington, DC.
2. Bornmann, L. and Daniel, H. (2008), “What do citation counts measure? A review of studies on citing behavior”, *Journal of Documentation*, Vol. 64 No. 1, pp. 45-80, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410810844150>
3. Boyack, K.W., van Eck, N.J., Colavizza, G. and Waltman, L. (2018), “Characterizing in-text citations in scientific articles: a large-scale analysis”, *Journal of Informetrics*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 59-73, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2017.11.005>
4. Eichorn, P. and Yankauer, A. (1987), “Do authors check their references? A survey of accuracy of references in three public health journals”, *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 77 No. 8, pp. 1011-1012, available at: <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.77.8.1011>
5. Engber, D. (2017), “Bad footnotes can be deadly”, *Slate*, 11 June, available at: www.slate.com/articles/health_and_science/science/2017/06/how_bad_footnotes_helped_cause_the_opioid_crisis.html (accessed 20 September 2017).
6. Evans, J.T., Nadjari, H.I. and Burchell, S.A. (1990), “Quotational and reference accuracy in surgical journals: a continuing peer review problem”, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 263 No. 10, pp. 1353-1354, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1990.03440100059009>
7. Falagas, M.E. and Alexiou, V.G. (2008), “The top-ten in journal impact factor manipulation”, *Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Experimentalis*, Vol. 56 No. 4, pp. 223-226, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00005-008-0024-5>
8. Fenton, J.E., Brazier, H., de Souza, A., Hughes, J.P. and McShane, D.P. (2000), “The accuracy of citation and quotation in otolaryngology/head and

neck surgery journals”, Clinical Otolaryngology & Allied Sciences, Vol. 25 No.1, pp. 40–44, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2273.2000.00322.x>

9. Garzone, M. and Mercer, R.E. (2000), “Towards an automated citation classifier”, in Hamilton, H.J. (Ed.), Advances in Artificial Intelligence, Springer, Berlin and Heidelberg, pp. 337-346, available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45486-1_28



OSCAR
PUBLISHING SERVICES