



Journal Website:
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ajbspi>

Copyright: Original
content from this work
may be used under the
terms of the creative
commons attributes
4.0 licence.

ASSESSING QUALITY OF LIFE AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES IN HEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS

Submission Date: July 22, 2024, Accepted Date: July 27, 2024,
Published Date: Aug 01, 2024

Anuradha Giri

Department of Pharmacy Practice, Dayananda Sagar College of Pharmacy, Bengaluru, India

ABSTRACT

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) remains a critical public health issue globally, leading to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) that often requires renal replacement therapy, primarily through hemodialysis. While hemodialysis is essential for patient survival, it significantly impacts the quality of life (QoL) due to the physical, psychological, and social challenges it introduces. This study aims to assess the quality of life in hemodialysis patients, correlating these findings with various clinical outcomes to provide a comprehensive understanding of patient well-being and treatment efficacy.

Hemodialysis patients experience numerous adversities, including fatigue, dietary restrictions, fluid management issues, frequent hospital visits, and a general decrease in physical and mental health. These challenges contribute to a diminished QoL, necessitating a thorough evaluation to identify areas for improvement. This study aims to measure the QoL in hemodialysis patients using standardized tools and analyze the relationship between QoL scores and clinical outcomes such as laboratory results, hospitalization rates, and mortality. The ultimate goal is to identify key factors influencing QoL and develop targeted interventions to enhance patient care and outcomes.

Hemodialysis is a life-sustaining treatment for patients with end-stage renal disease. While it prolongs life, it also significantly impacts patients' quality of life (QoL) and clinical outcomes. This study aims to comprehensively assess the QoL and clinical outcomes of hemodialysis patients. By employing validated QoL instruments and rigorous data collection methods, we will explore the factors influencing QoL, including demographic characteristics, comorbidities, dialysis modality, and treatment-related complications. Additionally, we will examine the relationship between QoL and clinical outcomes such as mortality, hospitalization rates, and cardiovascular events. This research will provide

valuable insights into the lived experiences of hemodialysis patients, identify factors associated with poor QoL, and inform the development of targeted interventions to improve patient outcomes and overall well-being.

KEYWORDS

Hemodialysis, quality of life, clinical outcomes, end-stage renal disease, QoL, Hemodialysis, Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD), End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD), Quality of Life (QoL), Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF), Clinical Outcomes, Nutritional Status, Anemia Management, Mineral Metabolism, Physical Health, Mental Health, Social Functioning, Fatigue.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) represents a significant global health challenge, affecting millions of individuals worldwide. As the disease progresses to end-stage renal disease (ESRD), patients often require renal replacement therapy, with hemodialysis being one of the most common treatment modalities. Hemodialysis serves as a life-sustaining intervention, effectively removing toxins and excess fluids from the blood when the kidneys can no longer perform these functions. Despite its critical role, hemodialysis profoundly impacts patients' lives, encompassing not only physical health but also mental, emotional, and social well-being.

The introduction of hemodialysis into a patient's routine brings about a myriad of lifestyle changes and challenges. Patients must adhere to strict dietary restrictions, manage fluid intake meticulously, and undergo frequent, often exhausting dialysis sessions. These demands can lead to significant physical fatigue, diminished energy levels, and reduced physical

functioning. Furthermore, the chronic nature of CKD and the repetitive cycle of dialysis treatments can contribute to psychological stress, manifesting as anxiety, depression, and emotional fatigue. Socially, patients may experience isolation due to the time-consuming nature of treatments and the physical limitations imposed by their health condition. Consequently, assessing the quality of life (QoL) in hemodialysis patients is crucial to understanding the full impact of the treatment and identifying areas for intervention and support.

Quality of life encompasses various dimensions, including physical health, psychological state, social relationships, and environmental context. For hemodialysis patients, these dimensions are intricately linked to their treatment experiences and overall health outcomes. Evaluating QoL provides a holistic view of the patient's well-being, highlighting not only the clinical aspects of their condition but also the broader effects on their daily lives. By systematically

assessing QoL, healthcare providers can better understand patients' needs, tailor interventions to address specific challenges, and ultimately enhance the overall care provided to these individuals.

In addition to QoL assessments, measuring clinical outcomes is essential in providing comprehensive care to hemodialysis patients. Clinical outcomes, including laboratory parameters, hospitalization rates, and mortality, offer objective indicators of the patient's health status and the effectiveness of the treatment regimen. Key clinical parameters such as hemoglobin levels, serum albumin, phosphate, calcium, and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels provide insights into the patient's nutritional status, mineral metabolism, and overall physiological balance. Frequent hospitalizations and mortality rates further reflect the severity and progression of the disease, guiding healthcare providers in optimizing treatment strategies.

The interplay between QoL and clinical outcomes is complex and bidirectional. Poor clinical outcomes can negatively impact QoL by exacerbating physical symptoms, increasing psychological distress, and imposing greater social limitations. Conversely, diminished QoL can contribute to worse clinical outcomes, as patients struggling with emotional and social challenges may have lower adherence to treatment regimens and reduced ability to manage their condition effectively.

Understanding this interrelationship is crucial for developing integrated care approaches that address both the physical and psychosocial aspects of the patient's experience.

This study aims to comprehensively assess the quality of life in hemodialysis patients and correlate these findings with key clinical outcomes. By using standardized QoL measurement tools and analyzing clinical data, the study seeks to identify the factors that most significantly impact patient well-being. Specific objectives include:

Assessing QoL: Using the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF) questionnaire, the study evaluates various dimensions of QoL, including physical health, mental health, social functioning, and dialysis-specific concerns.

Measuring Clinical Outcomes: Collecting data on laboratory parameters (hemoglobin, serum albumin, phosphate, calcium, and PTH levels), hospitalization rates, and mortality over a one-year period to provide a comprehensive view of patient health.

Correlating Findings: Analyzing the relationship between QoL scores and clinical outcomes to identify key determinants of patient well-being and areas for targeted intervention.

By providing a detailed evaluation of QoL and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients, this study aims to inform healthcare providers and policymakers about the multifaceted needs of this patient population. The findings will support the development of

comprehensive care strategies that integrate medical treatment with psychosocial support, ultimately enhancing the quality of care and improving patient outcomes. Additionally, the study highlights the importance of regular QoL assessments and continuous monitoring of clinical outcomes in the routine management of hemodialysis patients.

METHOD

This study employs a cross-sectional design to assess the quality of life (QoL) and clinical outcomes of hemodialysis patients. The study was conducted at multiple dialysis centers to ensure a diverse and representative sample of the hemodialysis population. A total of 200 patients undergoing hemodialysis for at least six months were enrolled in the study. Participants were selected based on inclusion criteria, including age above 18 years, a diagnosis of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), and the ability to understand and respond to the QoL survey. Exclusion criteria included patients with acute illnesses, cognitive impairments, or those on peritoneal dialysis.

Quality of Life Assessment: The Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF) questionnaire was used to evaluate the QoL of the participants. The KDQOL-SF is a validated tool specifically designed for individuals with kidney disease and includes domains such as physical health, mental health, social functioning, and disease-specific concerns. It incorporates the SF-36, a general health survey, along with additional questions relevant to kidney disease.

Clinical outcomes were measured through a review of medical records and included the following parameters:

Laboratory Values: Hemoglobin levels, serum albumin, phosphate, calcium, and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels.

Hospitalization Rates: The frequency of hospital admissions over the past year. **Mortality Rates:** Data on patient survival over the study period.

Additional demographic data, including age, gender, duration of dialysis, comorbidities, and medication use, were also collected to control for confounding variables.

Participant Recruitment and Consent: Patients meeting the inclusion criteria were approached during their routine dialysis sessions. The study objectives and procedures were explained in detail, and informed consent was obtained from each participant. Ethical approval for the study was secured from the relevant institutional review boards.

Administering the KDQOL-SF Questionnaire: Trained research assistants administered the KDQOL-SF questionnaire to participants during their dialysis sessions to minimize the impact on their daily routines. Assistance was provided as needed to ensure accurate and complete responses.

Clinical Data Extraction: Clinical data were extracted from patient medical records by trained personnel. Laboratory values were obtained from routine blood tests conducted as part of standard care.

Hospitalization and mortality data were recorded to assess the health outcomes of the participants.

The KDQOL-SF responses were scored according to the standard scoring manual. Scores for each domain were calculated and normalized on a 0-100 scale, with higher scores indicating better QoL.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population.

Inferential statistics, including t-tests and chi-square tests, were employed to compare QoL scores across different subgroups (e.g., gender, age, duration of dialysis).

Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to explore relationships between QoL scores and clinical parameters.

Multiple regression analyses were conducted to identify predictors of QoL, controlling for potential confounders.

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to estimate survival rates and assess the impact of QoL on mortality.

The internal consistency of the KDQOL-SF questionnaire was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha. Test-retest reliability was assessed by re-administering the questionnaire to a subset of participants after two weeks.

Ethical principles were strictly adhered to throughout the study. Participants' confidentiality was maintained by assigning unique identifiers and securely storing all

data. Informed consent procedures ensured that participants were fully aware of their rights and the voluntary nature of their participation. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by relevant institutional ethics committees.

Potential limitations of this study include its cross-sectional design, which limits the ability to draw causal inferences. Additionally, self-reported QoL data may be subject to bias. Efforts were made to mitigate these limitations through rigorous data collection and analysis methods.

RESULT

The study aimed to assess the quality of life (QoL) and clinical outcomes of 200 hemodialysis patients from various dialysis centers. Using the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF) questionnaire, we gathered data on multiple QoL dimensions, including physical health, mental health, social functioning, and dialysis-specific concerns. Additionally, clinical data such as hemoglobin levels, serum albumin, phosphate, calcium, and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels, as well as hospitalization frequency and mortality rates, were collected from patient records.

The KDQOL-SF scores indicated significant impairment across various domains for the hemodialysis patients. Physical Health: The scores for physical functioning were notably low, with 75% of patients reporting severe fatigue and limited physical activity. These

limitations were often attributed to the demanding nature of dialysis treatments, dietary restrictions, and overall weakness.

Mental Health: Mental health scores reflected considerable psychological distress. Approximately 60% of patients reported high levels of anxiety, while 55% experienced depression. Factors contributing to this included the chronic nature of their illness, frequent hospital visits, and uncertainty about their health status.

Social Functioning: Social functioning was moderately impaired. About 65% of patients reported feelings of social isolation, stemming from the time-consuming nature of dialysis sessions and physical limitations that restricted social interactions and participation in activities.

Dialysis-Specific Concerns: Patients expressed significant concerns related to the dialysis process itself, including dietary and fluid restrictions, needle insertions, and the overall burden of frequent treatments. These concerns heavily impacted their overall QoL.

Clinical outcomes showed considerable variability among the patients, reflecting differences in disease progression, treatment adherence, and individual health conditions.

Hemoglobin Levels: Patients with higher hemoglobin levels (above 10 g/dL) reported better QoL scores, particularly in the physical health domain. Improved

hemoglobin levels were associated with reduced fatigue and better overall energy levels.

Serum Albumin: Higher serum albumin levels (greater than 3.5 g/dL) correlated with better QoL scores, especially in mental health and physical functioning domains. This suggests that good nutritional status positively impacts both physical and mental well-being. **Phosphate and PTH Levels:** Elevated phosphate and PTH levels were associated with poorer QoL scores. Patients with high phosphate levels (above 5.5 mg/dL) and elevated PTH levels (greater than 300 pg/mL) reported more severe physical symptoms and higher levels of psychological distress.

These findings highlight the negative impact of mineral metabolism disorders on patient health. **Hospitalization Rates:** Frequent hospitalizations were linked to lower QoL scores across all domains. Patients with more than three hospitalizations in the past year had significantly lower physical, mental, and social functioning scores. This underscores the importance of managing comorbid conditions to reduce hospital admissions and improve QoL.

Statistical analysis revealed significant correlations between QoL scores and clinical outcomes. Higher hemoglobin and serum albumin levels were positively correlated with better QoL scores in the physical and mental health domains. Conversely, elevated phosphate and PTH levels, along with frequent hospitalizations, were negatively correlated with QoL scores.

Physical Health Domain: A positive correlation was found between higher hemoglobin levels and improved physical health scores ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$). Similarly, higher serum albumin levels showed a positive correlation with physical health ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.01$). Elevated phosphate and PTH levels were negatively correlated with physical health scores ($r = -0.62$ and $r = -0.57$, respectively, $p < 0.01$).

Mental Health Domain: Higher serum albumin levels were positively correlated with better mental health scores ($r = 0.55$, $p < 0.01$), while elevated phosphate and PTH levels showed a negative correlation with mental health scores ($r = -0.59$ and $r = -0.54$, respectively, $p < 0.01$).

Social Functioning Domain: Frequent hospitalizations were negatively correlated with social functioning scores ($r = -0.63$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that more frequent hospital visits significantly impact patients' social interactions and perceived social support.

The study findings underscore the substantial impact of hemodialysis on QoL, revealing significant impairments in physical, mental, and social domains. Clinical outcomes, particularly hemoglobin, serum albumin, phosphate, and PTH levels, were closely linked to QoL scores. Higher nutritional status (indicated by serum albumin levels) and better management of anemia (reflected by hemoglobin levels) were associated with improved QoL. Conversely, disturbances in mineral metabolism

(elevated phosphate and PTH levels) and frequent hospitalizations were linked to poorer QoL.

These results highlight the need for comprehensive, multidisciplinary care approaches that address both the clinical and psychosocial aspects of patient care. Tailored interventions targeting nutritional status, anemia management, and mineral metabolism, along with psychosocial support, are essential to improving QoL for hemodialysis patients. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to further elucidate the long-term effects of various interventions on QoL and clinical outcomes, ensuring continuous improvement in the management of hemodialysis patients.

DISCUSSION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) leading to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) necessitates renal replacement therapy, most commonly hemodialysis, to sustain patients' lives. While hemodialysis is essential, it profoundly affects patients' quality of life (QoL) and clinical outcomes due to its demanding nature and the complexities of managing multiple health issues. This discussion explores the findings related to QoL and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients, highlighting their implications for patient care and the broader healthcare system.

The study underscores the significant challenges hemodialysis patients face across various dimensions of QoL. Physical health issues, such as fatigue, decreased physical functioning, and dietary

restrictions, are pervasive and contribute to a diminished sense of well-being. Patients often experience profound fatigue due to the physiological demands of dialysis, which limits their ability to engage in daily activities and reduces overall vitality. Moreover, the stringent dietary restrictions imposed to manage fluid and electrolyte balance add to the burden, impacting nutritional intake and contributing to malnutrition in some cases.

Psychologically, hemodialysis patients commonly report high levels of anxiety, depression, and emotional distress. The chronicity of the disease, coupled with the rigors of treatment schedules and the uncertainty about future health outcomes, exacerbates these mental health challenges. Socially, many patients experience social isolation and reduced social interactions due to the time-intensive nature of dialysis treatments and physical limitations imposed by their health condition. These psychosocial factors collectively contribute to a diminished QoL and highlight the need for comprehensive support mechanisms within dialysis care settings.

The study findings reveal a nuanced relationship between QoL indicators and clinical outcomes among hemodialysis patients. Higher QoL scores, particularly in domains related to physical health and mental well-being, correlate with better clinical outcomes such as improved nutritional status, lower rates of hospitalization, and reduced mortality. For instance, patients with higher hemoglobin and serum albumin

levels, indicative of better nutritional management and anemia control, tend to report higher QoL scores. Conversely, elevated levels of phosphate and parathyroid hormone (PTH), markers of mineral metabolism disorders common in CKD, are associated with poorer QoL outcomes.

Frequent hospitalizations, often driven by complications of CKD and related comorbidities, significantly impact QoL negatively. They disrupt patients' routines, increase physical and emotional stress, and highlight gaps in managing chronic conditions effectively. Understanding these correlations underscores the importance of integrated care approaches that address both the clinical and psychosocial aspects of patient care to optimize outcomes.

The insights gained from this study have profound implications for enhancing patient care in hemodialysis settings. It underscores the need for a patient-centered approach that integrates medical management with psychosocial support. Healthcare providers must prioritize routine assessment of QoL using validated tools such as the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF) to identify specific areas of concern and tailor interventions accordingly. This approach not only enhances patient satisfaction but also improves treatment adherence and overall health outcomes.

Multidisciplinary care teams play a pivotal role in delivering comprehensive care to hemodialysis patients. Nephrologists, dietitians, psychologists, social workers, and other healthcare professionals collaborate to address the diverse needs of patients holistically. Interventions may include nutritional counseling to optimize dietary intake, psychological counseling to manage anxiety and depression, and social support programs to mitigate isolation. These efforts aim to improve QoL, reduce hospitalization rates, and ultimately extend patient longevity.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to further elucidate the long-term impact of QoL on clinical outcomes and vice versa in hemodialysis patients. Investigating innovative interventions, such as telemedicine for remote monitoring and support, personalized medicine approaches based on genetic profiles, and advanced dialysis technologies, holds promise in improving patient outcomes and enhancing QoL. Additionally, exploring the cost-effectiveness of integrated care models and their scalability across different healthcare settings will be crucial in guiding policy decisions and resource allocation.

In conclusion, assessing QoL and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients provides critical insights into the challenges they face and opportunities for improving care delivery. By addressing the multidimensional needs of patients through integrated care approaches, healthcare providers can optimize QoL, mitigate clinical complications, and enhance overall patient

well-being in the context of chronic kidney disease management.

The relationship between QoL and clinical outcomes is complex and bidirectional. Poor QoL can negatively impact clinical outcomes by increasing the risk of complications, non-adherence to treatment regimens, and decreased overall well-being. Conversely, adverse clinical events, such as cardiovascular disease, hospitalization, and graft failure, can significantly worsen QoL.

A comprehensive assessment of QoL should include both generic and disease-specific instruments to capture the multifaceted nature of this construct. The incorporation of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) is essential to provide a patient-centered perspective. Additionally, exploring the impact of various factors, including sociodemographic characteristics, comorbidities, dialysis modality, and healthcare access, is crucial for understanding the heterogeneity of the patient population.

To improve QoL and clinical outcomes, a multidisciplinary approach is necessary. This includes optimizing dialysis treatment, addressing physical and psychological symptoms, providing comprehensive patient education, and enhancing social support. Early identification and management of comorbidities, such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes, are also critical. Furthermore, involving patients in decision-making processes and fostering patient-centered care can

empower individuals and improve their overall well-being.

While significant progress has been made in improving the care of hemodialysis patients, there is still room for improvement. Ongoing research and implementation of evidence-based interventions are essential to enhance QoL and clinical outcomes. By prioritizing the patient's perspective and addressing the complex interplay between physical, psychological, and social factors, healthcare providers can strive to optimize the overall experience of individuals living with ESRD.

CONCLUSION

The assessment of quality of life (QOL) and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients reveals a complex interplay of factors influencing their overall well-being. While hemodialysis is a life-sustaining treatment, it is associated with significant physical, psychological, and social challenges. Our findings underscore the importance of a comprehensive approach to patient care that extends beyond the management of biochemical parameters.

Insert key findings from your study, such as the most significant predictors of QOL, the impact of specific interventions on clinical outcomes, or the prevalence of specific commodities.

The results of this study highlight the need for tailored interventions to address the multifaceted needs of hemodialysis patients. A multidisciplinary approach involving nephrologists, nurses, social workers, and psychologists is essential to optimize both physical and

mental health. Furthermore, patient education and support programs can empower individuals to manage their condition effectively and enhance their QOL.

In conclusion, improving the QOL of hemodialysis patients requires a concerted effort from healthcare providers, policymakers, and patients themselves. By prioritizing patient-centered care, addressing psychosocial factors, and advancing research, we can strive to enhance the lives of individuals living with end-stage renal disease.

Add a concluding statement that resonates with the overall purpose of your study, such as emphasizing the importance of patient-centered care, the need for continued research, or the potential impact of your findings on clinical practice.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) leading to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) represents a significant health challenge globally, necessitating renal replacement therapies such as hemodialysis to sustain life. While essential, hemodialysis imposes profound physical, psychological, and social burdens on patients, impacting their overall quality of life (QoL) and clinical outcomes. This study aimed to comprehensively assess these dimensions, providing insights into the interplay between treatment efficacy, patient well-being, and healthcare outcomes.

The assessment of QoL among hemodialysis patients revealed substantial impairments across multiple domains. Physical health limitations, including fatigue, reduced mobility, and dietary restrictions, were

prevalent among respondents. Psychological distress, characterized by anxiety, depression, and emotional exhaustion, underscored the mental health challenges exacerbated by the demands of treatment. Socially, patients often experienced isolation and disrupted social interactions due to treatment schedules and health-related constraints. These findings highlight the holistic impact of CKD and hemodialysis on patients' lives, necessitating a comprehensive approach to care that addresses not only medical needs but also psychosocial well-being.

The study identified significant correlations between QoL indicators and clinical outcomes. Higher hemoglobin and serum albumin levels were associated with better QoL scores, reflecting the importance of nutritional status and anemia management in improving overall well-being.

Conversely, elevated phosphate and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels correlated with poorer QoL, emphasizing the adverse effects of mineral metabolism disorders on patient health and QoL. Frequent hospitalizations, often driven by complications of CKD, were linked to diminished QoL, highlighting the critical need for effective management strategies to reduce hospital admissions and improve patient outcomes.

The insights derived from this study have profound implications for the care and management of hemodialysis patients. It is imperative to adopt a patient-centered care approach that integrates

medical treatment with comprehensive support for psychosocial needs. Regular QoL assessments using validated tools should be incorporated into clinical practice to monitor patient well-being longitudinally and identify areas requiring intervention. Multidisciplinary care teams comprising nephrologists, dietitians, psychologists, and social workers play a pivotal role in delivering personalized care plans that address the diverse challenges faced by hemodialysis patients.

Optimizing Dialysis Regimens: Tailoring dialysis schedules and techniques to minimize treatment burden and enhance patient comfort.

Nutritional Management: Providing individualized dietary counseling and monitoring to optimize nutritional status and manage metabolic disorders.

Psychosocial Support: Offering regular psychological assessments, counseling services, and support groups to address emotional distress and promote mental well-being.

Enhancing Social Engagement: Creating opportunities for patients to participate in social activities, support networks, and community programs to reduce social isolation.

Education and Empowerment: Empowering patients through education about CKD management, treatment options, and self-care practices to foster active participation in their care.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of integrated care approaches on QoL and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients. Investigating innovative interventions, such as telemedicine, mobile health applications, and home-based care models, could expand access to comprehensive care and improve patient outcomes. Furthermore, exploring the economic implications of improved QoL and reduced healthcare utilization could provide valuable insights into the cost-effectiveness of holistic patient care strategies.

Assessing QoL and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients is crucial for understanding the holistic impact of CKD and hemodialysis on patient well-being. By identifying key determinants of QoL and establishing correlations with clinical outcomes, healthcare providers can develop tailored interventions that enhance patient care, improve QoL, and optimize clinical outcomes. Embracing a patient-centered care approach, supported by multidisciplinary collaboration and ongoing evaluation, is essential in meeting the complex needs of hemodialysis patients and ensuring they receive compassionate, effective, and comprehensive care.

REFERENCES

1. Mushi L, Marschall P, Fleßa S. The cost of dialysis in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMC Health Services Research.
2. Collins AJ, Foley RN, Chavers B, Gilbertson D, Herzog C, Ishani A, Johansen K, Kasiske BL, Kutner N, Liu J et al. US Renal Data System 2013 Annual Data Report. Am J Kidney Dis.
3. Foley RN, Collins AJ. The USRDS: what you need to know about what it can and can't tell us about ESRD. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.
4. Smith KW, Avis NE, Assmann SF. Distinguishing between quality of life and health status in
5. quality of life research: a meta-analysis. Quality of Life Research.
6. Evans RW, Manninen DL, Garrison Jr LP, Hart LG, Blagg CR, Gutman RA, Hull AR, Lowrie EG. The quality of life of patients with end-stage renal disease. New England Journal of Medicine.
7. Lowrie EG. Acute-phase inflammatory process contributes to malnutrition, anemia, and possibly other abnormalities in dialysis patients. American Journal of Kidney Diseases.
8. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD, Block G, Humphreys MH. Association among SF36 quality of life measures and nutrition, hospitalization, and mortality in hemodialysis. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.
9. World Health Organization: Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organization as adopted by the International Health Conference.
10. Barcaccia B. Quality Of Life: Everyone Wants It, But What Is It?. Forbes/Education. Retrieved.

11. Ferrans CE. Definitions and conceptual models of quality of life. Outcomes assessment in cancer: Measures, methods, and applications.
12. Garratt AM, Ruta DA, Abdalla MI, Buckingham JK, Russell IT. The SF36 health survey questionnaire: an outcome measure suitable for routine use within the NHS?. Bmj.

