The OSCAR PUBLISHING Services
American Journal Of Biomedical Science & Pharmaceutical Innovation

VOLUME Vol.06 Issue10 2026
PAGE NO. 1-8

# A Comparative Analysis of Dietary Coenzyme Q10 Supplementation on Haematological Indices and Splenic Tissue Architecture

Nguyen Minh Thanh

Department of Biomedical Sciences, Vietnam Institute of Medical Research, Hanoi, Vietnam

Tran Thi Mai Anh

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Southeast Asia Research Institute, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

**Received:** 02 August 2026; **Accepted:** 04 September 2026; **Published:** 01 October 2026

**Abstract:** Coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10) is an endogenous lipid-soluble quinone with an important role in mitochondrial electron transport and antioxidant protection. Its biological relevance is particularly associated with the maintenance of cellular energy metabolism and protection against oxidative injury. This article presents a comparative, literature-based analytical framework for examining the potential relationship between dietary CoQ10 supplementation, haematological indices, and splenic tissue architecture. The analysis synthesizes the supplied literature concerning CoQ10 distribution, antioxidant function, absorption, bioavailability, mitochondrial dysfunction, and cellular oxidative processes. Particular attention is given to the theoretical mechanisms through which improved CoQ10 availability may influence erythrocyte stability, systemic oxidative balance, and the structural integrity of splenic tissue. The literature indicates that CoQ10 bioavailability is strongly influenced by formulation and absorption characteristics, while its antioxidant activity provides a plausible mechanistic foundation for cellular protection. The analysis further establishes that haematological responses and splenic morphology should be interpreted as interconnected indicators rather than isolated outcomes. However, the supplied references provide substantially stronger evidence concerning CoQ10 pharmacology, antioxidant activity, bioavailability, and mitochondrial function than direct evidence concerning CoQ10-induced changes in splenic histoarchitecture. Consequently, the article identifies an important research gap and proposes a structured comparative methodology for future experimental investigation. The resulting framework may support more rigorous assessment of dose, formulation, exposure, haematological response, and tissue-level outcomes associated with dietary CoQ10 supplementation.

**Keywords:** Coenzyme Q10, dietary supplementation, haematological indices, splenic morphology, mitochondrial function, oxidative stress, antioxidant activity, bioavailability, tissue architecture.

## 1. Introduction:

Coenzyme Q10 represents an important component of cellular bioenergetics because of its association with mitochondrial electron transport and redox regulation. Its biological significance extends beyond energy production because the reduced form of CoQ10 can participate in antioxidant defence mechanisms. Bentinger, Brismar and Dallner described CoQ as an antioxidant with biological functions that extend across cellular systems, while Bentinger, Tekle and Dallner emphasized its biosynthesis and functional importance (Bentinger, 2007; Bentinger, 2010). These characteristics provide a theoretical basis for

investigating whether increased dietary availability of CoQ10 can modify physiological indicators that are particularly sensitive to oxidative and metabolic disturbances.

Haematological parameters provide a practical means of evaluating systemic physiological responses. Erythrocytes are especially relevant because their continuous exposure to oxygen and their dependence on membrane integrity make them vulnerable to oxidative damage. Bratosin's discussion of erythrocyte senescence and macrophage-mediated erythrocyte phagocytosis demonstrates the importance of cellular integrity and regulated erythrocyte removal in maintaining blood homeostasis (Bratosin, 1998). Consequently, changes in oxidative balance could theoretically influence erythrocyte survival, turnover, and associated haematological indices.

The spleen is similarly relevant because it participates in blood filtration and the removal of ageing or damaged erythrocytes. A research framework linking dietary CoQ10 with haematological indices and splenic morphology therefore has a logical biological foundation: an intervention affecting systemic oxidative balance may potentially influence both circulating blood characteristics and the tissue responsible for important aspects of erythrocyte clearance.

The pharmacological context is also important. CoQ10 has been examined in relation to cardiac disease, hypertension, and other physiological conditions, illustrating the breadth of its proposed biological applications (Kumar, 2009). Its relevance to systemic metabolic dysfunction is further supported by discussion of mitochondrial dysfunction and the potential benefits of CoQ10 supplementation in metabolic syndrome (Alam, 2014). These observations support investigation of CoQ10 as a metabolic and antioxidant intervention rather than merely as a nutritional compound.

At the same time, the response to supplementation cannot be assumed to depend solely on administered dose. CoQ10 is characterized by relatively complex absorption and tissue-distribution behaviour. Bhagavan and Chopra examined its absorption, tissue uptake, metabolism, and pharmacokinetics, while Balakrishnan et al. demonstrated the importance of formulation approaches for improving oral bioavailability (Bhagavan, 2006; Balakrishnan, 2009). Barakat et al. similarly highlighted formulation-dependent differences in oral bioavailability (Barakat, 2013). Therefore, any comparative evaluation of dietary CoQ10 must distinguish between nominal intake and biologically available exposure.

A further consideration concerns oxidative injury associated with pharmacological or metabolic stress. Research into free radicals and antioxidant systems has emphasized the significance of oxidative processes in cellular injury and therapeutic toxicity (Alexieva, 2010). Studies of chemotherapy have also examined complex interactions between treatment, cellular metabolism, and antioxidant supplementation (Adjei, 1999; Block, 2008). Adjei's analysis of chemotherapy pharmacology is particularly relevant for establishing the broader pharmacological context in which cellular stress and treatment-associated physiological changes can occur (Adjei, 1999).

The present article therefore aims to develop a comparative analytical framework for evaluating dietary CoQ10 supplementation in relation to haematological indices and splenic tissue architecture. Its principal objectives are to synthesize the theoretical mechanisms connecting CoQ10 with blood and tissue responses, evaluate the methodological considerations necessary for such an investigation, and identify limitations in the currently supplied evidence. The scope is deliberately restricted to the references provided.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 CoQ10 Distribution and Biological Function

The biological foundation of CoQ10 supplementation is closely associated with its distribution and redox behaviour. Aberg et al. investigated the distribution and redox state of ubiquinones in rat and human tissues, demonstrating the importance of tissue-specific CoQ10 availability in understanding its physiological role (Aberg, 1992). This is important for experimental interpretation because systemic supplementation does not necessarily imply equivalent concentrations across all tissues.

Bentinger et al. positioned CoQ as an antioxidant molecule, while their later work addressed CoQ biosynthesis and functions (Bentinger, 2007; Bentinger,

2010). Together, these studies support a theoretical model in which CoQ10 may influence cellular resilience through both mitochondrial and antioxidant mechanisms. This dual functionality is especially relevant to tissues and cells exposed to continuous oxidative challenges.

Mellors and Tappel investigated inhibition of mitochondrial peroxidation by ubiquinone and ubiquinol, providing a mechanistic basis for associating CoQ compounds with protection against lipid peroxidation (Mellors, 1966). Such a mechanism is relevant to erythrocyte biology because membrane lipids are susceptible to oxidative modification. However, mechanistic antioxidant activity should not automatically be interpreted as proof of improved haematological outcomes.

### 2.2 Bioavailability as a Determinant of Biological Response

The effectiveness of oral CoQ10 supplementation is dependent on absorption and subsequent tissue availability. Bhagavan and Chopra examined the absorption, tissue uptake, metabolism, and pharmacokinetics of CoQ10, highlighting the complexity of its biological disposition (Bhagavan, 2006). Beg et al. further reviewed approaches for enhancing CoQ10 bioavailability (Beg, 2010).

Formulation architecture may consequently become a major confounding factor in comparative research. Balakrishnan et al. reported enhanced oral bioavailability using self-emulsifying drug delivery systems, whereas Barakat et al. examined how formulation type influences oral bioavailability (Balakrishnan, 2009; Barakat, 2013). A scientifically rigorous investigation should therefore control formulation or explicitly incorporate it as an experimental variable.

### 2.3 Oxidative Stress, Cellular Stability and Haematological Outcomes

The potential connection between CoQ10 and haematological indices can be theoretically derived from oxidative stress biology. Alexieva et al. discussed free radicals and antioxidants in the context of cancer chemotherapy, while Bjelakovic et al. provided a broader systematic assessment of antioxidant supplementation and mortality outcomes (Alexieva, 2010; Bjelakovic, 2007). These references collectively demonstrate that antioxidant effects must be interpreted cautiously because biological supplementation does not invariably produce uniformly beneficial outcomes.

Bratosin's analysis of erythrocyte senescence provides another conceptual link. Erythrocyte ageing involves cellular recognition and removal, processes in which membrane condition and cellular integrity are important (Bratosin, 1998). A dietary antioxidant capable of modifying oxidative damage could theoretically affect these processes and thereby influence measurable indices such as erythrocyte count, haemoglobin concentration, packed cell volume, or related parameters. Nevertheless, the supplied references do not establish a direct causal relationship between CoQ10 supplementation and each of these parameters.

### 2.4 Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Systemic Metabolism

Mitochondrial dysfunction provides an additional theoretical pathway. Alam and Rahman associated mitochondrial dysfunction with obesity and discussed the potential benefits and mechanisms of CoQ10 supplementation in metabolic syndrome (Alam, 2014). Since mitochondrial performance is fundamental to cellular metabolism, an intervention affecting mitochondrial redox status could potentially influence systemic physiological indicators.

The pharmacological literature also demonstrates that cellular responses to therapeutic interventions can be highly context-dependent. Adjei reviewed the pharmacology and clinical activity of chemotherapy agents for colorectal cancer, illustrating the importance of understanding drug-induced cellular and systemic responses rather than interpreting individual biological markers in isolation (Adjei, 1999). This contextual perspective is useful when developing an analytical model for CoQ10 because haematological changes may result from nutritional status, oxidative stress, treatment exposure, metabolism, or multiple interacting mechanisms.

### 2.5 Research Gap

The principal gap emerging from the supplied literature is methodological rather than conceptual. The references establish substantial foundations concerning CoQ10 distribution, antioxidant activity,

mitochondrial function, absorption, formulation, and pharmacokinetics. However, they do not collectively provide a direct experimental comparison of dietary CoQ10 supplementation against haematological indices and splenic tissue architecture.

This gap justifies an integrated research model in which biochemical exposure, systemic blood responses, and tissue-level morphology are assessed simultaneously. Such a model would prevent overreliance on either laboratory blood measurements or histological observations alone.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Research Design

Because the supplied evidence does not contain a complete dataset from a controlled animal experiment, the present study is structured as a comparative analytical literature-based research framework, rather than presenting fabricated experimental measurements. The methodology translates the mechanisms established in the supplied references into a structured model for evaluating dietary CoQ10 effects.

The independent variable is dietary CoQ10 supplementation, operationalized through dose and formulation. The dependent variables are divided into two principal domains: haematological indices and splenic tissue architecture. A third domain, exposure and biological plausibility, includes bioavailability and mitochondrial/antioxidant mechanisms.

### 3.2 Conceptual Framework

The proposed framework follows the pathway:

Dietary CoQ10 → Absorption and Bioavailability → Tissue Availability → Antioxidant/Mitochondrial Effects → Haematological Response + Splenic Structural Response

This framework is theoretically supported by studies addressing CoQ10 pharmacokinetics and tissue uptake (Bhagavan, 2006), tissue distribution (Aberg, 1992), antioxidant activity (Bentinger, 2007), and formulation-dependent bioavailability (Balakrishnan, 2009; Barakat, 2013).

The framework assumes that dietary intake is not equivalent to biological exposure. Consequently, two groups receiving the same nominal dose but different formulations could theoretically experience different physiological responses. This is a critical methodological consideration for future comparative studies.

### 3.3 Comparative Experimental Structure

A suitable future experimental design would include a control group receiving the basal diet and one or more intervention groups receiving dietary CoQ10 at predefined concentrations. A multi-dose structure would permit assessment of whether outcomes follow a graded response rather than merely demonstrating a binary treatment effect.

Where resources permit, formulation should be standardized. If different formulations are intentionally compared, formulation type should become a separate independent factor. This recommendation follows the evidence that self-emulsifying systems and other formulation strategies can alter oral CoQ10 bioavailability (Balakrishnan, 2009; Barakat, 2013).

### 3.4 Haematological Assessment

The haematological domain should include standardized measurements of erythrocyte-related and broader blood parameters. Potential indicators include red blood cell count, haemoglobin concentration, packed cell volume, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular haemoglobin, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration, total leukocyte count, and differential leukocyte counts.

The theoretical rationale is that changes in oxidative status may influence cellular stability and erythrocyte turnover. Bratosin's work provides a conceptual foundation for examining erythrocyte senescence and clearance (Bratosin, 1998). However, each parameter should be interpreted independently before an overall haematological conclusion is made.

### 3.5 Splenic Morphological Assessment

Splenic analysis should evaluate tissue architecture using standardized histological preparation and microscopic examination. Relevant observations could include preservation of white pulp and red pulp organization, cellular density, vascular structures, evidence of congestion, and signs of structural disruption.

A semi-quantitative scoring system could be developed to reduce subjective interpretation. Multiple blinded observers could independently assess tissue sections,

followed by inter-observer reliability analysis. This approach would strengthen reproducibility and reduce observer-dependent bias.

The major analytical principle is that morphology should be assessed alongside haematological data. For example, an increase in circulating erythrocyte-related indices without corresponding evidence of splenic structural preservation would provide a different biological interpretation from simultaneous improvement across both domains.

3.6 Data Analysis Framework

For an eventual experimental study, descriptive statistics should first establish group-level distributions. Inferential analysis could then compare control and supplementation groups, with analysis selected according to the number of groups, distributional assumptions, and experimental design.

Dose-response modelling would be particularly informative. A simple comparative framework could test whether increasing CoQ10 exposure is associated with progressive changes in blood parameters or tissue scores. Correlation analysis could additionally examine relationships between haematological indices and splenic morphology.

The analysis should distinguish statistical significance from biological significance. A statistically detectable change may have limited physiological relevance, whereas a moderate structural improvement could be biologically important even when statistical power is limited.

3.7 Control of Confounding Variables

Body weight, dietary composition, age, sex, environmental conditions, duration of supplementation, and formulation should be standardized where possible. These factors can influence metabolic and haematological outcomes independently of CoQ10.

This issue is particularly important because the literature indicates that CoQ10 absorption and tissue distribution are not simple processes. Therefore, inadequate control of exposure-related factors could obscure the relationship between supplementation and biological outcomes.

**4. RESULTS**

The literature-based comparative analysis indicates that the strongest theoretical support for dietary CoQ10 supplementation derives from three interconnected properties: mitochondrial association, antioxidant activity, and tissue availability. CoQ10 is distributed across biological tissues, and its redox state is relevant to its physiological behaviour (Aberg, 1992). Its antioxidant role provides a plausible mechanism through which supplementation could influence cellular resistance to oxidative injury (Bentinger, 2007). The inhibition of mitochondrial peroxidation described by Mellors and Tappel further strengthens the mechanistic basis for this interpretation (Mellors, 1966).

A second major finding concerns bioavailability. The expected biological effect of CoQ10 cannot be inferred reliably from administered dose alone. Evidence concerning absorption, tissue uptake, and pharmacokinetics demonstrates that biological exposure is a distinct analytical variable (Bhagavan, 2006). Formulation studies reinforce this point, with self-emulsifying and other formulation approaches being investigated to improve oral availability (Balakrishnan, 2009; Barakat, 2013). Therefore, comparative studies must incorporate formulation control when interpreting haematological or morphological outcomes.

The third finding is that haematological and splenic outcomes should be considered as related components of systemic physiology. Erythrocyte senescence and removal involve cellular and macrophage-mediated mechanisms, making the spleen conceptually relevant to changes in circulating blood-cell characteristics (Bratosin, 1998). A potential CoQ10 effect on oxidative stability could therefore theoretically influence both circulating indices and tissue-level responses. However, the supplied literature does not provide sufficient direct evidence to quantify such an effect.

The fourth finding concerns mitochondrial and metabolic context. CoQ10 has been discussed in relation to mitochondrial dysfunction and metabolic syndrome, suggesting that its effects may depend substantially on the underlying physiological condition (Alam, 2014). This implies that supplementation outcomes may not be uniform across healthy and metabolically challenged experimental models.

Finally, the analysis identifies a clear evidence gap. The

supplied references strongly establish the pharmacological and biochemical rationale for investigating CoQ10, but they do not provide a complete direct experimental dataset linking dietary CoQ10 dose with both haematological indices and splenic tissue architecture. Accordingly, numerical claims regarding improvement, percentage change, or statistical significance would be scientifically unjustified without original experimental observations. The principal finding of this analysis is therefore the establishment of a defensible research pathway rather than fabrication of unobserved empirical results.

## 5. DISCUSSION

The proposed relationship between dietary CoQ10 and haematological status can be understood through oxidative protection, mitochondrial functionality, and cellular stability. CoQ10's antioxidant role provides a plausible mechanism by which increased availability might reduce oxidative pressure on cellular membranes and organelles (Bentinger, 2007). This mechanism is particularly relevant to erythrocytes because maintenance of membrane integrity is important for their physiological lifespan. Nevertheless, antioxidant capacity alone cannot establish a direct improvement in blood indices.

The distinction between mechanism and outcome is central to interpreting the literature. Mellors and Tappel demonstrated inhibition of mitochondrial peroxidation by ubiquinone and ubiquinol (Mellors, 1966), but such biochemical activity does not necessarily translate into measurable increases in haemoglobin or erythrocyte counts. Similarly, studies of CoQ10 in metabolic and cardiovascular contexts provide evidence of biological relevance but do not automatically establish a splenic morphological response (Kumar, 2009; Alam, 2014).

Bioavailability constitutes another important trade-off. A formulation producing greater systemic exposure may generate stronger biological effects than a formulation with poorer absorption even when nominal dietary doses are identical. Bhagavan and Chopra's pharmacokinetic perspective and the formulation studies by Balakrishnan et al. and Barakat et al. indicate that exposure must therefore be incorporated into interpretation (Bhagavan, 2006; Balakrishnan, 2009; Barakat, 2013). Failure to control this variable could lead to false conclusions concerning dose-response relationships.

The relevance of antioxidant supplementation also requires caution. Bjelakovic et al. reported findings from randomized trials that challenge the assumption that antioxidant supplementation is universally beneficial, while Block et al. highlighted the complexity of antioxidant supplementation during chemotherapy (Bjelakovic, 2007; Block, 2008). These observations suggest that biological context, dose, timing, and interaction with other interventions may determine whether antioxidant supplementation produces beneficial, neutral, or potentially undesirable effects.

The pharmacological literature reinforces this contextual interpretation. Adjei's review demonstrates that therapeutic agents can generate complex cellular responses dependent on pharmacological characteristics and treatment conditions (Adjei, 1999). In a CoQ10 research model, this supports careful differentiation between direct antioxidant effects and secondary changes produced by altered metabolism or other experimental conditions.

From a practical perspective, future research should integrate haematological and histological endpoints. Blood analysis can reveal systemic changes, while splenic histology can determine whether those changes correspond with tissue-level preservation or alteration. The combination provides greater biological resolution than either endpoint alone.

Several limitations remain. First, the supplied references do not directly establish a controlled dose-response relationship between dietary CoQ10 and splenic architecture. Second, differences in formulations can complicate comparison. Third, antioxidant responses may vary according to physiological condition. Fourth, histological scoring can be observer-dependent unless standardized. Finally, without primary experimental data, the present analysis cannot legitimately claim statistically significant treatment effects.

Despite these limitations, the framework provides a theoretically grounded basis for future experimentation. Its major contribution is the integration of pharmacokinetic, antioxidant, haematological, and morphological dimensions into one comparative model. Such integration may help

clarify whether observed blood changes reflect genuine tissue-level protection or merely transient systemic alterations.

## 6. CONCLUSION

Dietary CoQ10 supplementation represents a scientifically plausible intervention for investigating relationships among mitochondrial function, oxidative protection, haematological stability, and splenic tissue integrity. The supplied literature provides substantial support for the biological plausibility of CoQ10 through its antioxidant activity, tissue distribution, mitochondrial functions, and formulation-dependent bioavailability.

The comparative framework developed in this article demonstrates that supplementation dose should not be evaluated independently of biological exposure. Absorption, formulation, tissue uptake, and physiological context may substantially modify the response. Similarly, haematological indices should be interpreted together with splenic morphology because circulating blood characteristics and splenic tissue function are biologically interconnected.

The central research gap is the limited direct evidence within the supplied literature concerning simultaneous assessment of dietary CoQ10, haematological parameters, and splenic architecture. Future controlled experimental studies should therefore employ standardized dietary conditions, clearly defined CoQ10 doses, appropriate controls, validated haematological measurements, and blinded histopathological assessment.

The proposed approach offers a structured foundation for determining whether CoQ10 supplementation produces measurable systemic and tissue-level effects and whether those effects demonstrate a meaningful dose-response relationship. Further research should also investigate formulation-dependent exposure, duration of supplementation, physiological status, and relationships between blood indices and splenic structural scores. Such studies could substantially strengthen the evidence base concerning the biological consequences of dietary CoQ10 supplementation.

## REFERENCES


1. Abdel-Hamid, Sameh and Betz G. “A Novel Tool for The Prediction of Tablet Sticking During High Speed Compaction.” Pharm Dev Technol 17 (2012): 747–754.
2. Aberg, Fredrik, Appelkvist EL, Dallner G and Ernster L, et al. “Distribution and Redox State of Ubiquinones In Rat and Human Tissues.” Arch Biochem Biophys 295 (1992): 230–234.
3. Adjei, Alex A. “A Review of The Pharmacology and Clinical Activity of New Chemotherapy Agents for The Treatment of Colorectal Cancer.” Br J Clin Pharmacol 48 (1999): 265–277.
4. Aherne GW, Hardcastle A, Raynaud F and Jackman AL, et al. “Immunoreactive dUMP and TTP Pools As An Index of Thymidylate Synthase Inhibition; Effect of Tomudex (ZD1694) and a Nonpolyglutamated Quinazoline Antifolate (CB30900) in L1210 Mouse Leukaemia Cells.” Biochem Pharmacol 51 (1996): 1293–1301.
5. Alam, Ashraful M, Rahman MM. “Mitochondrial Dysfunction In Obesity: Potential Benefit and Mechanism of Coenzyme Q10 Supplementation In Metabolic Syndrome.” J Diabetes Metab Disord 13 (2014): 60.
6. Alexieva, Bistra, Markova T, Nikolova E and Aragan Y, et al. “Free Radicals, Antioxidants and Cancer Chemotherapy.” Acta Med Kinki Univ 35 (2010): 57–65.
7. Balakrishnan, Prabagar, Lee BJ, Oh DH and Kim JO, et al. “Enhanced Oral Bioavailability of Coenzyme Q10 by Self-Emulsifying Drug Delivery Systems.” Int J Pharm 374 (2009): 66–72.
8. Barakat, Abdulwahab, Shegokar R, Dittgen M and Muller RH, et al. “Coenzyme Q10 Oral Bioavailability: Effect of Formulation Type.” J Pharm Investig 43 (2013): 431–451.
9. Beg, Sarwar, Javed S and JKohli K. “Bioavailability Enhancement of Coenzyme Q10: An Extensive Review of Patents.” Recent Pat Drug Deliv Formul 4 (2010): 245–257.
10. Benz, Chris, Tillis T, Tattelman E and Cadman E, et al. “Optimal Schedule of Methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil in Human Breast Cancer.” Cancer Res 42 (1982): 2081–2086.
11. Bentinger, Magnus, Brismar K and Dallner G. “The Antioxidant Role of Coenzyme Q.” Mitochondrion 7 (2007): 41-50.
12. Bentinger, Magnus, Tekle M and Dallner G.

"Coenzyme QBiosynthesis and Functions." Biochem Biophys Res Commun 396 (2010): 74–79.

**13.** Bertino JR, Mini E and Fernandes DJ. "Sequential Methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil: Mechanisms of Synergy." Semin Oncol 10 (1983): 2–5.

**14.** Bhagavan, Hemmi N and Chopra RK. "Coenzyme Q10: Absorption, Tissue Uptake, Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics." Free Radic Res 40 (2006): 445–453.

**15.** Bjelakovic, Goran, Nikolova D, Gluud LL and Simonetti RG, et al. "Mortality in Randomized Trials of Antioxidant Supplements for Primary And Secondary Prevention: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." J Assoc Am Med Coll 297 (2007): 842–857.

**16.** Block, Keith I, Koch AC, Mead MN and Tothy PK, et al. "Impact of Antioxidant Supplementation on Chemotherapeutic Toxicity: a Systematic Review of The Evidence from Randomized Controlled Trials." Int J Cancer 123 (2008): 1227–1239.

**17.** Bratosin D. "Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Senescent by Erythrocyte Phagocytosis Macrophages." A review. Biochimie 80 (1998): 173–195.

**18.** de Pauw, Aurelia. "Mitochondrial Dysfunction In Adipocyte Dierentiation and Systemic Metabolic Alterations." Am J Pathol 175 (2009): 927-912.

**19.** Kumar, Adarsh, Kaur H, Devi P and Mohan V, et al. "Role of Coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10) In Cardiac Disease, Hypertension and Meniere-Like Syndrome." Pharmacol Therapeut 124 (2009): 259-259.

**20.** Mellors AL. Tappel. "The Inhibition of Mitochondrial Peroxidation by Ubiquinone and Ubiquinol." J Biol Chem 241 (1966): 4353–4356.