

Electrochemical Technologies As A Driver Of Automotive Decarbonization

Sharifov Eldor

Master's student at Jizzakh Polytechnic institute, Uzbekistan

Eshmirzayeva Mohinur

Bachelor's student at Jizzakh Polytechnic Institute, Uzbekistan

Rashidova Nilufar Tulkinovna

PhD, Associate Professor at Department of Chemical Engineering at Jizzakh Polytechnic Institute, Uzbekistan

Received: 15 December 2025; **Accepted:** 12 January 2026; **Published:** 31 January 2026

Abstract: Decarbonizing road transport requires replacing fossil carbon at the tailpipe while simultaneously reducing upstream emissions from energy supply chains and vehicle manufacturing. Electrochemical technologies—most prominently lithium-ion batteries, hydrogen fuel cells, and water electrolyzers—form a connected technological ecosystem that enables this transition. Battery-electric powertrains directly convert electricity into motion with high efficiency, and their life-cycle climate benefit grows as electricity grids decarbonize. Hydrogen fuel-cell systems provide an alternative electrochemical route that can be particularly relevant where high utilization, fast refuelling, and long range are priorities, but their climate performance depends strongly on how hydrogen is produced. Meanwhile, electrolysis is the core electrochemical pathway for producing low-emissions hydrogen and a key enabler for coupling renewable electricity to mobility and industrial value chains. Beyond propulsion, electrochemical innovations in battery materials, manufacturing, diagnostics, and end-of-life recycling can cut the embedded emissions of vehicles and reduce dependence on high-impact primary mining. This IMRaD-structured article synthesizes current evidence on how electrochemical technologies drive automotive decarbonization across the full life cycle, identifies the principal constraints (critical materials, electricity and hydrogen carbon intensity, infrastructure, and recycling scale-up), and discusses engineering and policy levers that can accelerate net greenhouse-gas reductions.

Keywords: Automotive decarbonization; battery electric vehicles; hydrogen fuel cells; water electrolysis; life-cycle assessment; battery supply chain; recycling; circular economy.

INTRODUCTION:

Road transport is central to national decarbonization strategies because it is both emissions-intensive and technologically “actionable” through electrification and low-carbon fuels. The IPCC’s AR6 mitigation assessment identifies technology shifts toward electrified and hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles as a dominant driver of transport decarbonization in many modeled pathways, noting that electrification leverages decarbonization efforts in the power sector. Yet the decisive metric is not only tailpipe

emissions; it is the life-cycle footprint that includes electricity and fuel production, vehicle and battery manufacturing, and end-of-life handling.

Electrochemical technologies matter because they change the “chemistry of mobility.” Internal combustion engines oxidize fossil hydrocarbons and inevitably emit CO₂ at use. Battery electric vehicles (BEVs) replace combustion with electrochemical energy storage and conversion, shifting emissions upstream to the electricity system and the battery

supply chain. Hydrogen fuel-cell electric vehicles (FCEVs) also use an electrochemical conversion step in the vehicle, but the climate outcome depends on upstream hydrogen pathways. The International Council on Clean Transportation's recent EU life-cycle analysis illustrates the sensitivity: BEVs sold in Europe were estimated to have substantially lower life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions than gasoline vehicles, and FCEVs only approach similarly low levels when hydrogen is produced from renewable electricity rather than from fossil sources.

At the same time, the scale of automotive electrification makes the upstream footprint of batteries and materials impossible to ignore. The IEA's analysis of EV battery supply chain sustainability highlights that life-cycle emissions related to batteries can be reduced through cleaner electricity for manufacturing, improved energy density, and recycling that displaces primary material production. The automotive decarbonization challenge therefore becomes a systems question: which electrochemical pathways deliver the largest near-term and long-term greenhouse gas reductions when infrastructure, supply chains, and energy systems are considered together?

This article argues that electrochemical technologies are a driver of automotive decarbonization in three interacting ways. First, they enable direct replacement of combustion with high-efficiency electric drivetrains through batteries and, in specific niches, fuel cells. Second, they connect transport to low-carbon electricity through electrolysis and power-to-X value chains that can supply hard-to-electrify segments and industrial co-demand. Third, they reduce embedded emissions through circularity—especially via electrochemical and related recycling routes that recover critical materials and lower the carbon footprint of future batteries.

A narrative evidence synthesis was conducted to evaluate how electrochemical technologies contribute to automotive decarbonization across the full life cycle. Literature and authoritative reports were identified using web searches in January 2026 with combinations of terms including “automotive decarbonization,” “life-cycle emissions BEV FCEV,” “EV battery supply chain emissions,” “water electrolysis capacity,” “hydrogen production

outlook,” and “electrochemical battery recycling.” Priority was given to sources that provide (i) life-cycle assessment (LCA) results for vehicles or fuel pathways, (ii) system-level analyses of EV adoption and battery supply chains, (iii) reviews or mechanistic studies connecting electrochemical processes to emissions reductions, and (iv) recent evidence on recycling and circular economy impacts.

Included sources comprised IPCC AR6 WGIII transport assessment material, IEA reports on EV markets and battery supply chains, IEA hydrogen tracking, independent LCA work comparing powertrains, and peer-reviewed reviews and research articles on electrochemical recycling and fuel-cell LCAs. Evidence was synthesized qualitatively by mapping each electrochemical technology to its principal emissions levers (energy efficiency, carbon intensity of electricity or hydrogen, manufacturing footprint, and end-of-life circularity), and then identifying constraints and mitigation strategies reported across the sources.

Electrochemical technologies drive automotive decarbonization through coupled effects on use-phase emissions, upstream energy emissions, and embedded manufacturing emissions.

The first and most direct decarbonization mechanism is powertrain electrification via batteries. BEVs remove tailpipe CO₂ emissions entirely and generally convert energy to motion more efficiently than combustion powertrains, which means that as electricity production becomes cleaner, life-cycle emissions fall rapidly. In the European context, a recent ICCT life-cycle assessment estimated that BEVs have markedly lower life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions than gasoline vehicles, with the magnitude reflecting both vehicle efficiency and the evolving electricity mix. The IEA's Global EV Outlook 2025 underscores that EV deployment is expanding globally and provides analysis of battery manufacturing and trade that is increasingly relevant for the net climate impact of scaling electrification.

A second electrochemical pathway is hydrogen fuel cells. The vehicle itself emits no CO₂, but upstream emissions can vary widely. Multiple LCA syntheses emphasize that fuel-cell climate performance is dominated by hydrogen production routes and

regional energy systems, with methodological differences across studies but a consistent conclusion that low-emissions hydrogen is required for deep decarbonization benefits. In the ICCT EU analysis, FCEVs using hydrogen produced from natural gas pathways were estimated to reduce emissions only modestly relative to gasoline, while renewable-electricity-based hydrogen could yield life-cycle emissions comparable to BEVs, illustrating the centrality of electrolysis powered by low-carbon electricity.

The third result concerns water electrolysis as an enabling technology. Electrolysis is the principal electrochemical method for producing low-emissions hydrogen when powered by renewable or other low-carbon electricity. The IEA's Global Hydrogen Review and its production tracking show that electrolyser capacity has been growing but also that announced projects greatly exceed those reaching final investment decision or construction, indicating a deployment and economics bottleneck rather than a purely scientific one. A recent EU-focused technology observatory report similarly tracks electrolysis capacity and manufacturing scale-up, reinforcing that industrial readiness is accelerating but still uneven.

A fourth result is that decarbonization gains depend increasingly on the battery supply chain. The IEA's dedicated battery supply chain sustainability report argues that embedded battery emissions can be reduced through cleaner electricity in mining, refining, and cell manufacturing, through higher energy density (which reduces materials per kWh delivered), and through recycling that displaces primary production. It also quantifies a pathway in which battery life-cycle emissions decrease materially by the mid-2030s under scenarios with grid decarbonization and increased recycled material input. This supply-chain lens matters because EV battery demand continues to rise, and the IEA projects multi-terawatt-hour annual demand within the next decade, making the upstream footprint and circularity of battery materials a major determinant of net climate benefit.

The fifth result is that electrochemical and related recycling technologies are moving from peripheral to central decarbonization levers. Battery recycling reduces the need for new mining and refining, which

can be emissions-intensive and geographically concentrated. A Nature-published review of lithium-ion battery recycling surveys mainstream approaches and highlights direct and hydrometallurgical pathways as routes with potential environmental advantages depending on energy sources and process design. Complementing that broader view, recent reviews focusing on electrochemical recycling describe mechanisms such as electrochemical leaching, separation, and regeneration that can be integrated into lower-temperature, potentially lower-emissions flowsheets, particularly when powered by low-carbon electricity. A 2025 Scientific Reports study evaluating recycling and reuse scenarios in China concludes that recycling can meaningfully reduce material demand and carbon emissions at scale, connecting circularity directly to decarbonization outcomes rather than treating it as waste management alone.

Finally, regulatory and market signals increasingly tie decarbonization to electrochemical circularity. For example, reporting on upcoming European battery content requirements has highlighted mandates for minimum recycled content in certain battery materials over time, which, if enforced, would make recycling capacity and material recovery performance a compliance variable for automakers.

The results indicate that electrochemical technologies are not merely components within vehicles; they are infrastructural primitives that reshape the emissions profile of mobility. Their decarbonization impact can be understood by examining where CO₂ is removed, where it is shifted, and where it can be permanently reduced through system coupling and circularity.

For battery electrification, the primary mechanism is replacing combustion with efficient electric propulsion and decarbonizing the energy input. The life-cycle advantage of BEVs is therefore dynamic: it improves as the grid becomes cleaner and as battery manufacturing decarbonizes. The ICCT's EU assessment provides a concrete example of how this dynamic plays out in a major market, while the IPCC transport chapter frames electrification as a dominant mitigation pathway because it inherits emissions reductions from the power sector. From an engineering perspective, this means that the "carbon

performance” of an electric car is partly an electrical-system parameter, not only a vehicle parameter. Battery chemistry and cell design influence efficiency and materials intensity, but the marginal emissions of charging electricity and the emissions intensity of manufacturing power can be equally important for the life-cycle outcome.

This coupling produces an important decarbonization feedback. As more renewable electricity is built to support electrified transport, the same clean power also reduces emissions in battery manufacturing, recycling, and hydrogen electrolysis, amplifying total system benefits. The IEA’s battery supply chain analysis effectively formalizes this idea by highlighting grid decarbonization as a key lever for reducing battery life-cycle emissions, alongside higher energy density and recycled content. The implication is that policy and investment strategies that treat EV deployment and grid decarbonization as separate agendas risk under-delivering on the achievable emissions reductions.

Hydrogen fuel cells represent a complementary electrochemical pathway, but the discussion must begin with upstream hydrogen. If hydrogen is produced from fossil pathways without deep emissions control, the vehicle-level benefits are constrained, as illustrated by life-cycle comparisons that show markedly better results only for renewable-electricity-based hydrogen. In other words, fuel cells are an electrochemical decarbonization technology only to the extent that hydrogen production is itself decarbonized. This is precisely where electrolysis becomes decisive. Electrolysis translates low-carbon electricity into a chemical energy carrier that can support applications where batteries face constraints such as weight, utilization patterns, or refuelling time requirements. The IEA’s hydrogen tracking shows rapid growth in installed electrolyser capacity and very large announced pipelines toward 2030, but it also highlights that only a small fraction of announced capacity has reached final investment decision or construction in many regions, revealing a gap between aspiration and bankable deployment.

This deployment gap matters for automotive decarbonization because it shapes what hydrogen can realistically contribute within typical 2030–2040

horizons. If low-emissions hydrogen remains scarce or expensive, fuel-cell pathways may remain limited to specific fleets or regions where hydrogen supply is demonstrably low-carbon. Conversely, if electrolysis scales with clean power and supply chains mature, fuel-cell systems could play a larger role in heavy-duty, long-distance or high-uptime segments where operational constraints favor rapid refuelling and high range. Scenario-based transport studies evaluating mixes of battery and fuel-cell vehicles for freight illustrate that infrastructure and supply system assumptions strongly influence the optimal pathway, reinforcing the idea that electrochemical technology choice is context-dependent rather than universally hierarchical.

Electrochemical technologies also influence decarbonization through embedded emissions and material flows. For EVs, the battery is the most material-intensive component and often the largest contributor to manufacturing emissions. The IEA’s battery supply chain report emphasizes that the emissions intensity of refining and manufacturing electricity, and the degree of recycling, can significantly alter the battery footprint, and it anticipates that recycling will play a larger role once more batteries reach end-of-life after the mid-2030s. This timing point is critical: circularity benefits are not instantaneous because end-of-life volumes lag the initial growth of EV sales. It suggests a strategic “build-ahead” requirement: recycling capacity, standards, and collection systems must be prepared years before the largest material return flows appear.

In this context, electrochemical and related recycling routes become a decarbonization technology, not only an end-of-life solution. Recycling reduces the demand for primary mining and refining, which can be associated with substantial energy use and emissions, and it can shorten supply chains and reduce geopolitical exposure. Reviews in the peer-reviewed literature describe how direct recycling and advanced hydrometallurgical routes can reduce thermal intensity relative to traditional pyrometallurgical approaches, although real-world emissions depend heavily on process energy sources and chemical inputs. The more specifically electrochemical recycling literature emphasizes additional opportunities such as electrochemical

leaching and selective recovery that can, in principle, be powered by low-carbon electricity and integrated into modular process designs. Empirical modeling work on large markets has begun quantifying the system impact of these choices, suggesting that recycling and reuse can reduce both raw material demand and carbon emissions when scaled, thereby linking circularity directly to decarbonization.

A deeper technical point is that electrochemistry is also a decarbonization lever outside the vehicle. Electrochemical processes, when powered by renewable electricity, can replace certain thermochemical routes and reduce the carbon footprint of industrial production, which includes the upstream industries that feed the automotive value chain. A widely cited ACS Au review frames electrochemical processing as a pathway to lower-carbon chemical transformations when clean electricity is available, offering conceptual support for treating electrochemistry as a cross-sector mitigation platform rather than a single application. In the automotive context, this perspective strengthens the argument that decarbonization requires harmonizing clean power deployment, electrochemical manufacturing, and circular material systems.

The discussion also surfaces constraints that determine whether electrochemical technologies deliver real decarbonization at scale. Electricity carbon intensity remains the governing parameter for BEV use-phase emissions and for electrolysis. Hydrogen and battery supply chains face material constraints and industrial bottlenecks that can slow deployment. Policy design can either accelerate or dilute climate benefits depending on whether it rewards verified life-cycle performance or only tailpipe metrics. News reporting on European recycled content requirements illustrates how regulation is beginning to incorporate material circularity into compliance, which aligns with a life-cycle decarbonization approach but also creates near-term demand for reliable recycling output and traceability.

A practical synthesis is that electrochemical technologies drive automotive decarbonization most effectively when three conditions are met. The first is clean electricity at scale, because it improves BEV charging emissions, reduces manufacturing

emissions, and enables low-emissions hydrogen through electrolysis. The second is targeted deployment matching technology to duty cycles, recognizing that batteries and fuel cells can be complementary depending on operational constraints and regional energy systems. The third is circularity infrastructure that reduces embedded emissions and mitigates material risks, with recycling positioned as an industrial strategy rather than an end-of-life afterthought. These conditions are mutually reinforcing, and the strength of their coupling is the central reason electrochemical technologies can be a “driver” rather than merely a “tool” of decarbonization.

Electrochemical technologies enable automotive decarbonization by replacing combustion with electrochemical energy conversion, by connecting mobility to low-carbon electricity via batteries and electrolysis, and by lowering embedded emissions through cleaner manufacturing and circular material flows. BEVs deliver strong life-cycle climate benefits that improve as grids decarbonize, while fuel-cell pathways can contribute meaningfully when hydrogen is produced from low-carbon electricity and scaled infrastructure exists. Electrolysis is the pivotal bridge technology that converts clean electricity into hydrogen, but its decarbonization value depends on both electricity carbon intensity and the pace at which announced capacity becomes operational. Battery supply chain emissions and recycling determine the long-term sustainability of electrification, making electrochemical recycling and circular economy policies increasingly central to net emissions reductions. Overall, electrochemical technologies function as a decarbonization platform whose full benefit emerges only when power systems, industrial supply chains, and end-of-life systems are co-optimized around verified life-cycle performance.

REFERENCES

1. IPCC. Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Working Group III Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report. Chapter 10: Transport. Geneva: IPCC, 2022.
2. International Energy Agency. Global EV Outlook 2025. Paris: IEA, 2025.

3. International Energy Agency. EV Battery Supply Chain Sustainability. Paris: IEA, 2024.
4. Negri M. et al. Life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions from passenger cars sold in the European Union. Washington, DC: ICCT, 2025.
5. International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT). Life-cycle emissions of electric and fuel-cell cars in the EU (summary page). 2025.
6. Chong J. W. et al. A review of life cycle assessment for fuel cell technologies // Cleaner Engineering and Technology. 2025.
7. Burchart D., Przytuła I. Review of Environmental Life Cycle Assessment for Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles in Road Transport // Energies. 2025. Vol. 18.
8. Rinaldi A. et al. Optimal pathways for the decarbonisation of the transport sector // iScience. 2023.
9. Tembo P. M. et al. Lithium-ion battery recycling—a review of the material, environmental, and economic landscape // npj Materials Degradation. 2024.
10. Xu S. et al. A review on electrochemical recycling of spent lithium-ion batteries // Journal of Power Sources. 2025.
11. Van Beek C. B. et al. Sustainable hydrometallurgical LFP battery recycling (electrochemical leaching perspectives). 2025.
12. Jiang R. et al. Impact of electric vehicle battery recycling on reducing raw material demand and carbon emissions // Scientific Reports. 2025.
13. Xia R. et al. Emerging Electrochemical Processes to Decarbonize the Chemical Industry // JACS Au. 2022.
14. International Energy Agency. Global Hydrogen Review 2024. Paris: IEA, 2024.
15. International Energy Agency. Global Hydrogen Review 2024: Hydrogen production (electrolyser deployment tracking). 2024.
16. European Commission, Joint Research Centre. Water Electrolysis and Hydrogen in the European Union (Clean Energy Technology Observatory). 2025.
17. Reuters. European EV battery material startups make recycling breakthroughs (regulatory recycled-content context). 2025.