The OSCAR PUBLISHING Services
American Journal of Applied Science and Technology

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue 08 2025
PAGE NO. 90-95

Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Mergers & Acquisitions: A Paradigm Shift in Deal Origination, Valuation, and Integration

Dilnoza Zubayd qizi Ismoilova
Assistant of the Department of Medical and Biological Chemistry, Bukhara State Medical Institute, Uzbekistan

Received: 01 August 2025; **Accepted:** 16 August 2025; **Published:** 31 August 2025

Abstract: The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) in corporate finance and mergers & acquisitions (M&A) represents a transformative shift in the way deals are sourced, evaluated, structured, and integrated. This study synthesizes insights from recent industry analyses, academic research, and sector reports to examine how AI is reshaping the M&A lifecycle—target identification, due diligence, business valuation, deal structuring, and post-merger integration. The article explores not only the operational efficiencies and improved decision-making capabilities unlocked by AI, but also the evolving human capital requirements, governance challenges, and risks of overreliance on algorithmic outputs. We highlight key mechanisms by which AI adds value, evaluate empirical and anecdotal evidence for improved deal performance, and identify critical challenges including data privacy, bias, transparency, workforce reskilling, and regulatory compliance. The analysis concludes with a roadmap for future research and practice, arguing that successful AI-driven M&A demands a hybrid model in which human expertise, ethical judgment, and AI-powered insight are tightly integrated.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Mergers and Acquisitions; Deal Valuation; Due Diligence; Workforce Reskilling; Post-merger Integration

INTRODUCTION

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have long been a cornerstone of corporate growth strategies, enabling firms to achieve scale, enter new markets, acquire competencies, and achieve synergies (Jemison & Sitkin, 1986; Larsson & Finkelstein, 1999). Yet, despite decades of scholarly and practical refinement, M&A remains fraught with challenges: high failure rates, integration difficulties, valuation errors, misaligned culture, and suboptimal synergy realization (King et al., 2004; Loderer & Martin, 1992; Martynova & Renneboog, 2008; Mehta & Hirschheim, 2007). Traditional M&A processes are often manual, labor-intensive, slow, and heavily reliant on subjective judgments.

Into this context arises a new force: artificial intelligence (AI). Recent advances in machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), predictive analytics, and generative AI offer the potential to automate tedious tasks, analyze vast datasets, reveal patterns imperceptible to human analysts, and accelerate decision-making. Industry practitioners increasingly view AI as a strategic enabler across the entire M&A lifecycle—from deal origination and valuation to due diligence, contract drafting, negotiation, and post-merger integration (Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025; WTW, 2024; Deloitte, 2025).

While the potential is vast, the transformation also raises fundamental questions: Does AI meaningfully improve deal outcomes and value capture? Which aspects of M&A benefit most? How do human roles and skills evolve in response? What risks—technical, ethical, regulatory—emerge, and how can they be mitigated? In short: can AI increase the success rate of M&A, or will it merely accelerate fundamentally flawed processes?

This article seeks to address these questions. By integrating evidence from recent empirical studies, sector reports, and theoretical literature, we offer a comprehensive, nuanced view of AI's role in M&A. Our aim is not to summarize the state of the art, but to provide a deep, critical analysis of how AI is reshaping M&A—its benefits, trade-offs, and future trajectories.

We contribute to the literature by: (i) articulating the mechanisms through which AI adds value across deal stages; (ii) assessing evidence on improved efficiency, risk reduction, valuation accuracy, and integration;

(iii) discussing human capital and governance implications; and (iv) mapping the key challenges, limitations, and research gaps going forward.

METHODOLOGY

Given the nascent and evolving nature of AI in M&A, this research adopts a qualitative, integrative approach. We draw on a broad set of sources including peer-reviewed articles, white papers, industry reports, and professional analyses published between 2021 and 2025. Key sources include empirical studies on AI adoption in finance and accounting, practitioner surveys of M&A professionals, and analyses of AI's impact on workforce skills and organizational performance (Khanna, 2021; Maple et al., 2023; Morandini et al., 2023; Routhu et al., 2023).

We systematically coded and thematically analysed recurring patterns and findings concerning (a) process efficiency, (b) valuation and predictive analytics, (c) due diligence and risk assessment, (d) post-merger integration, (e) human skills and workforce transformation, and (f) governance, compliance, and ethical risks. In addition, we reviewed evidence from recent empirical research that connects digital transformation and M&A outcomes (e.g., performance, deal structure changes) to validate and contextualize claims about AI's effects (e.g., studies of digital transformation and M&A premiums in China).

Our analysis deliberately eschews numeric meta-analysis or new empirical modelling: data heterogeneity, differing methodologies, and rapidly changing technologies make aggregation across studies unreliable. Instead, we provide richly detailed, theoretically grounded narrative synthesis—highlighting where evidence is robust, where it remains tentative, and where further research is needed.

RESULTS

AI's Value Addition Across the M&A Lifecycle

Our thematic analysis reveals that AI adds value at virtually every stage of a merger or acquisition, though the magnitude and nature of that value varies significantly across stages.

Deal Origination and Target Identification

One of the earliest and most visible impacts of AI is in deal sourcing and identification of acquisition targets. Traditional methods require manual screening of companies based on financial reports, market performance, qualitative judgments, and competitor analysis—an inherently slow and subjective process (Acquisition-International, 2023). In contrast, AI-powered tools can aggregate and analyse structured and unstructured data from multiple sources—financial databases, news articles, social media sentiment, regulatory filings, and alternative data—allowing firms to quickly identify targets that meet pre-defined criteria (Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025; Acquisition-International, 2023).

By significantly expanding the universe of potential targets and reducing reliance on human memory or heuristics, AI enhances both the efficiency and the quality of target identification (WTW, 2024; Talbot West, 2025). Early adopters report dramatic increases in deal flow and a shorter time-to-screen per target (Deloitte, 2025).

Due Diligence and Risk Assessment

Due diligence—financial, legal, operational—is among the most labor-intensive parts of M&A. Traditional due diligence requires lawyers, accountants, and analysts to manually review thousands of documents, contracts, and datasets. AI transforms this process: NLP-driven contract analysis, anomaly detection in financial data, automated extraction of key financial metrics, and predictive analytics to uncover hidden liabilities or risks (Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025; WTW, 2024; Talbot West, 2025).

By automating document review, flagging inconsistencies, and highlighting red flags, AI reduces both time and human error, enabling faster and more thorough diligence (Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025). According to practitioner surveys, AI-enabled data rooms can halve review times, allowing teams to focus on strategic analysis rather than rote work (M&A Leadership Council, 2025).

Valuation and Deal Structuring

Valuation remains a notoriously subjective and error-prone aspect of M&A. Even experienced analysts often rely on limited data, simplified models, and heuristics. The integration of AI offers a fundamental shift: models can now incorporate thousands of variables—macroeconomic indicators, industry trends, firm-specific metrics, intangible assets, and non-financial data such as customer sentiment or brand strength—enabling more comprehensive and dynamic valuations (M&A Leadership Council, 2025; CMS 2024-25 report; Tribal.ai, 2025).

As a result, valuation becomes less art, more data-driven science, reducing the reliance on ad-hoc assumptions and improving confidence in price-setting and deal structuring (M&A Leadership Council, 2025). For firms pursuing aggressive growth or buy-and-build strategies, such precision can be a competitive advantage in bid pricing and syndication.

Contract Drafting, Negotiation, and Execution

Beyond analysis, AI is increasingly being used to assist in drafting contracts, generating first-pass legal documents, standardizing clause libraries, and comparing different versions to highlight risks or deviations (Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025). During negotiation, AI-driven platforms can help parties assess alternative terms, simulate outcomes, and optimize deal structure—potentially reducing time, legal fees, and human oversight burden. This represents a significant evolution in how deals are executed: what once required multiple rounds of drafting and red-lining can now be accelerated with algorithmic support (Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025; Acquisition-International, 2023).

Post-merger Integration and Value Realization

Perhaps the most challenging phase of M&A is integration. Many mergers fail to deliver expected synergies due to cultural clashes, incompatible systems, poor communication, and misaligned processes (Larsson & Finkelstein, 1999; Marks & Mirvis, 2011). AI can mitigate some of these risks by enabling data-based integration: connecting disparate systems, mapping workflows, migrating data intelligently, and identifying priority integration points—the so-called "low-hanging fruit" for synergy capture (Talbot West, 2025).

AI can also support culture- and people-analytics: sentiment analysis of communications, surveys, internal feedback; detection of patterns that may signal misalignment; assessment of employee engagement; and predictive modeling to anticipate attrition risk or identify key talent. As a result, acquirers are better positioned to manage human risks, retain talent, and structure integration in a phased or modular manner that preserves institutional knowledge and minimizes disruption (M&A Leadership Council, 2025; Norton Rose Fulbright, 2025).

Efficiency Gains, Risk Reduction, and Strategic Flexibility: Empirical and Industry Evidence

Industry reports and practitioner surveys paint a compelling picture of emerging performance advantages associated with AI-driven M&A. According to a recent 2025 study by a major consulting firm, approximately 86% of surveyed organizations have incorporated generative AI into some part of their M&A workflows, with 40% using it in more than half of their deals. Nearly three-quarters of those adopters made inroads within the last year, reflecting rapid acceleration (Deloitte, 2025).

Adopters report substantial efficiency gains: data rooms powered by AI reportedly cut document review time by 50% or more, freeing up human capital for strategic tasks (M&A Leadership Council, 2025). Valuation models built on AI purportedly improve accuracy by as much as 40%, reducing the risk of overpaying and improving confidence among stakeholders (M&A Leadership Council, 2025).

On integration outcomes, firms that employed AI early in their process reportedly saw transaction success rates rise from a baseline 60–65% to as high as 75–80% (IJFMR, 2025). Speed of target screening shifted from weeks to real-time or hours; due diligence error rates dropped from 5–10% to below 1%; and legal review hours for contracts plummeted from 80–100 hours to under 20 (IJFMR, 2025). These figures, while from industry and practitioner sources rather than academic empirical studies, illustrate the transformative potential of AI-enabled M&A workflows.

Moreover, broad evidence from corporate finance suggests that AI adoption significantly improves operational efficiency, treasury management, dynamic budgeting, fraud detection, and strategic forecasting (Workday, 2025). As finance teams embrace AI-driven automation, they increasingly shift toward higher-order, strategic functions—reshaping organizational capabilities and value creation potential.

Transformation of Human Capital: Skills, Roles, and Workforce Dynamics

The widespread integration of AI into M&A does not simply eliminate work; it reshapes it. Across finance and accounting, empirical research indicates that AI adoption leads to reduced demand for routine tasks and increased demand for AI-complementary skills such as digital literacy, critical thinking, domain knowledge, ethical awareness, and social skills (Mäkelä & Stephany, 2024; Morandini et al., 2023; Gulati et al., 2025). In M&A specifically, industry practitioners note that junior analysts are transitioning from manual data collection and number-crunching toward "AI-enabled specialists"—those who can validate AI outputs, craft strategy based on AI insights, and provide narrative, judgment, and oversight (Shounik, 2025).

Training and skill-building are evolving accordingly. Traditional credentials such as degrees are becoming less central; instead, proficiency in AI tools, prompt engineering, model validation, data ethics, and interpretive judgment matter more (Bone et al., 2023; Swiss Institute of Artificial Intelligence, 2025). In effect, firms are redefining job descriptions: the "analyst" of the past becomes a hybrid role

combining financial acumen, technological fluency, and critical human judgment (Shounik, 2025; Brookings, 2025).

This shift has profound implications for talent pipelines, hiring practices, and corporate training strategies. Organizations that adapt rapidly may gain lasting competitive advantage; those that cling to legacy skill models risk obsolescence.

DISCUSSION

The integration of AI into M&A processes signals not merely an incremental improvement in efficiency, but a structural transformation of how deals are done. At its core, AI addresses two fundamental limitations of traditional M&A: information overload and human cognitive constraints. By automating data ingestion, cleaning, and initial analysis—across financial, legal, operational, market, and sentiment data—AI enables dealmakers to operate at a scale and speed previously unattainable.

At the same time, AI introduces new sources of value: predictive insights, probabilistic risk assessment, dynamic valuation, projection of post-merger operational scenarios, and culturally informed integration planning. For acquirers pursuing aggressive growth or frequent acquisitions, these capabilities can significantly enhance deal throughput, reduce time to close, and improve post-deal value realization.

Moreover, the human capital dimension is perhaps the most significant long-term effect. As AI tools become mainstream, the value of roles shifts from manual execution to strategic interpretation, oversight, governance, and narrative-building. This reflects a broader trend across the finance sector—what commentators term "hybrid jobs" (Brookings, 2025)—in which AI augments rather than replaces human work. As a result, firms that proactively invest in reskilling, governance frameworks, and AI literacy will likely outperform those stuck in legacy paradigms (Morandini et al., 2023; Mäkelä & Stephany, 2024).

Yet this transformation is not without risks and challenges. First, data privacy and security: M&A deals often involve highly sensitive information. Loading deal data into cloud-based AI tools—especially public or vendor-managed platforms—raises serious confidentiality, compliance, and regulatory concerns. Many organizations currently lack robust mitigation strategies (Mercer survey) (Mercer, 2025; WTW, 2024).

Second, algorithmic bias and lack of context-awareness: AI tools can only process the data they are given; if data is incomplete, biased, or unstructured in ways that obscure cultural or qualitative nuance, the models may produce misleading or overconfident outputs. In due diligence and valuation, this could lead to overvaluation, underestimation of contingent liabilities, or misjudgment of human and cultural risks—areas historically prone to failure in M&A (Larsson & Finkelstein, 1999; King et al., 2004).

Third, overreliance and complacency: there is a danger that decision-makers may treat AI output as authoritative, reducing critical human oversight. This is particularly perilous in legal, regulatory, and cultural dimensions of M&A, where human judgment and ethical evaluation are indispensable.

Fourth, workforce disruption and reskilling challenges: while demand for AI-complementary skills is rising, many professionals may lack such skills, leading to talent shortages or displacement. Moreover, firms may struggle to redesign training, career paths, and incentives in line with redefined roles (Bone et al., 2023; Swiss Institute of AI, 2025).

Finally, empirical validation remains modest: much of the "evidence" for AI-driven value creation in M&A comes from industry reports and practitioner surveys; rigorous academic studies linking AI adoption to long-term deal success, integration quality, or sustained value creation are still lacking. For example, while recent research shows that general digital transformation increases M&A activity and affects deal structure (e.g., cash vs. stock, payment mechanisms), it also suggests that short-term performance—e.g., ROA and cash flow—may suffer in the 2–3 years post-merger (Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 2025). This finding suggests that while digital (and by extension AI-driven) M&A may increase deal volume and opportunity, it does not guarantee short-term or long-term value capture, particularly if integration is mismanaged.

LIMITATIONS

This study's qualitative, integrative methodology reflects the early and fragmented state of research on AI in M&A. As such, it inherits several limitations. First, reliance on industry reports and practitioner surveys may introduce selection bias: firms that have successfully adopted AI are more likely to publicize their outcomes, while failures may remain anecdotal or unreported. Second, causality is difficult to establish. Improvements in deal speed, due diligence quality, or valuation accuracy may stem from broader digital transformation, improved governance, or favorable macroeconomic conditions—not AI per se. Third, long-term performance data post-merger are still sparse, limiting the ability to assess sustained impact on firm performance, cultural integration,

employee retention, and synergy realization. Finally, the technical details of AI tools—model architecture, data sources, algorithmic governance, explainability, bias mitigation—are rarely disclosed publicly, limiting transparency and replicability.

Future Research and Practice

Given these limitations, we suggest several directions for future research and practical implementation:

- Longitudinal empirical studies that track AI-enabled M&A deals over multiple years, assessing financial performance, synergy realization, employee turnover, culture integration, and risk outcomes.
- Comparative studies between AI-assisted deals and traditional M&A to isolate the incremental value of AI adoption, controlling for deal size, industry, region, and other confounding factors.
- Research into AI governance frameworks—how firms implement data privacy, model transparency, bias mitigation, and human oversight in M&A contexts.
- Human capital and reskilling studies focusing on how firms manage workforce transformation, design new roles, assess skills, and align incentives for "AI-enabled specialists."
- Ethical and regulatory research exploring how AI use in M&A interacts with compliance, antitrust, labor law, data protection, and corporate governance.

For practitioners, we recommend adopting a hybrid model: use AI to enhance speed, breadth, and objectivity—especially in target screening, due diligence, and initial valuation—but retain human oversight for strategic judgment, cultural assessment, contract negotiation, and integration planning. Building internal AI capacity, rather than outsourcing to public tools, can mitigate data security risks and maintain confidentiality. Investing in workforce upskilling—digital literacy, AI governance, prompt engineering, interpretive judgment—will be critical in realizing the long-term benefits of AI-driven M&A.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence is not a future possibility for mergers and acquisitions—it is already reshaping the terrain of deal-making. From target identification and due diligence to valuation, contract drafting, and post-merger integration, AI-powered tools promise unprecedented speed, scale, and analytical depth. Early evidence—drawn from industry reports, practitioner surveys, and adjacent finance research—suggests that AI can significantly enhance operational efficiency, improve valuation accuracy, reduce risk, and increase transaction success rates.

Equally important, AI is transforming the human side of M&A. Junior analysts are evolving into hybrid professionals with data literacy, model-validation skills, strategic thinking, and narrative capabilities. Organizations that embrace this shift—investing in AI talent, governance, and training—stand to gain a lasting competitive edge.

However, the transition also brings new challenges: data privacy, algorithmic bias, overreliance on automated outputs, workforce disruption, and a lack of long-term empirical evidence on value realization. As a result, the most prudent approach is not wholesale automation, but a hybrid model that combines AI's computational power with human experience, judgment, and ethical awareness.

Ultimately, the firms that succeed in the AI-enabled era of M&A will be those that view AI not as a replacement for human capital, but as an amplifier of human insight — enabling better deals, faster execution, and more sustainable value creation.

REFERENCES

1. Khanna, P. (2021). Evaluating the impact of artificial intelligence on investment decision: Making in Finance. International Journal of Research in Finance and Management, 4(1), 78. https://doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2021.v4.i1a.248
2. Maple, C., Szpruch, Ł., Epiphaniou, G., Staykova, K., Singh, S. B., Penwarden, W., Wen, Y., Wang, Z., Hariharan, J., & Avramović, P. (2023). The AI Revolution: Opportunities and Challenges for the Finance Sector. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2308.16538
3. Morandini, S., Fraboni, F., Angelis, M. D., Puzzo, G., Giusino, D., & Pietrantoni, L. (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Workers' Skills: Upskilling and Reskilling in Organisations. Informing Science, 26, 39. https://doi.org/10.28945/5078
4. Shounik, S. (2025). Redefining Entry-Level Analyst Roles in M&A: Essential Skillsets in the Age of AI-Powered Diligence. The American Journal of Applied Sciences, 7(7), 101–110. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajas/Volume07Issue07-11
5. KishanKumar Routhu, Vasu Velaga, Chethan Sriharsha Moore, Suneel Babu Boppana, Purna Chandra Rao Chinta & Krishna Madhav Jha. (2023). Leveraging Machine Learning Techniques for Predictive Analysis in Merger and Acquisition (M&A). Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Big

Data.

6. "Integrating artificial intelligence in M&A processes: A new strategic era – Part 1: Leveraging AI and its advantages." (2025). Norton Rose Fulbright.
7. "AI in M&A: A paradigm shift for dealmakers." (2024). WTW.
8. "AI accelerates M&A into the future." (2024). WTW.
9. "AI's Impact on M&A Transactions." (2025). M&A Leadership Council.
10. "AI Accelerates M&A Into The Future." (2024). Forbes.
11. IJFMR (2025). International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research: AI integration results (data on success rates, timeline reductions, error rate decline).
12. Talbot West. (2025). How AI makes mergers and acquisitions more efficient.
13. Workday. (2025). How AI Is Changing Corporate Finance in 2025.