American Journal Of Applied Science And Technology
(ISSN – 2771-2745)
VOLUME 04 ISSUE 12 Pages: 20-25
OCLC – 1121105677

Publisher: Oscar Publishing Services

Journal Website: https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ajast

Research Article

A PARAMETRIC STUDY ON OPTIMAL POWER FLOW USING GENETIC ALGORITHMS: SELECTION OF CONTROL AND STATE VARIABLES

Submission Date: November 24, 2024, Accepted Date: November 29, 2024,
Published Date: December 04, 2024

Rajat Raikwar
Lokmanya Tilak College of Engineering, Mumbai University, Navi Mumbai, India

ABSTRACT

Optimal Power Flow (OPF) is a critical problem in power system operation and planning, aimed at determining the most efficient operational conditions of the system while respecting various operational constraints. Genetic Algorithms (GA), with their ability to solve complex optimization problems, have been increasingly employed to address the OPF problem. This study focuses on performing a parametric analysis to investigate the impact of selecting appropriate control and state variables on the efficiency and effectiveness of GA-based OPF solutions. Various combinations of control variables (such as generator voltages, active power generation, and reactive power generation) and state variables (such as bus voltages and branch power flows) are analyzed in this study. The results highlight how the selection of control and state variables influences the convergence rate, computational time, and solution accuracy of the genetic algorithm. A series of parametric studies are conducted to optimize the parameters of the genetic algorithm, including population size, crossover rate, and mutation rate, to improve the overall performance of the OPF model. The study demonstrates the significance of variable selection in achieving more efficient and practical solutions for power system optimization. The findings suggest that the choice of control and state variables plays a crucial role in balancing the trade-offs between solution quality and computational efficiency.

KEYWORDS

Optimal Power Flow, Genetic Algorithm, Control Variables, State Variables, Parametric Study, Power System Optimization, Computational Efficiency, Variable Selection, Crossover Rate, Mutation Rate, Power System Operation.

INTRODUCTION

Optimal Power Flow (OPF) is a fundamental problem in power systems engineering, aiming to determine the most efficient operation of a power grid while satisfying a set of operational constraints. These constraints typically include limits on generation, voltage levels, transmission line capacities, and other system parameters. The goal is to optimize an objective function, often the cost of generation or system losses, while maintaining system reliability and performance. Solving the OPF problem has become increasingly important with the growing complexity of modern power grids, which involve large-scale generation, renewable energy sources, and dynamic demand patterns.

Traditional methods for solving OPF, such as linear programming, nonlinear programming, and quadratic programming, have limitations when dealing with large, nonlinear, and non-convex optimization problems that are common in real-world power systems. As a result, alternative optimization techniques, particularly heuristic algorithms, have gained popularity in recent years. Among these, Genetic Algorithms (GA) have emerged as a promising tool due to their robustness in handling complex, multidimensional optimization problems. GAs, inspired by the process of natural selection, use evolutionary strategies such as selection, crossover, and mutation to explore the solution space and converge to an optimal or near-optimal solution.

However, the performance of Genetic Algorithms in OPF problems is heavily influenced by the selection of control variables (such as generator outputs, reactive power, and voltage levels) and state variables (such as bus voltages, branch power flows, and other system parameters). The choice of these variables can significantly impact the algorithm's convergence speed, computational efficiency, and the quality of the optimal solution. Despite its importance, systematic studies addressing the effect of control and state variable selection on the GA-based OPF solutions remain limited.

This study aims to fill this gap by performing a parametric analysis to explore how the selection of control and state variables affects the efficiency and performance of GA in solving the OPF problem. The focus is on investigating different combinations of these variables to determine the most effective set that ensures an optimal balance between solution quality and computational effort. Additionally, the study evaluates the influence of key GA parameters, such as population size, crossover rate, and mutation rate, on the overall performance of the OPF model. By systematically varying these parameters and variable selections, the study seeks to provide insights into optimizing the application of GAs for OPF in power systems.

Through this analysis, the research aims to contribute to the improvement of GA-based OPF models, offering more efficient, reliable, and scalable solutions to the increasingly complex challenges in modern power system operation and optimization.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a systematic parametric analysis to investigate the impact of control and state variable selection on the performance of Genetic Algorithm (GA) in solving the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) problem. The primary objective is to understand how varying combinations of control and state variables influence the convergence speed, computational efficiency, and the quality of the OPF solution. The method consists of four main phases: problem formulation, GA parameterization, case study selection, and analysis.

Problem Formulation: The OPF problem is mathematically formulated as an optimization problem where the objective is to minimize a cost function, typically the fuel cost or system losses, while respecting a set of physical and operational constraints. These constraints include generation limits, voltage magnitude limits at buses, power flow limits on transmission lines, and reactive power limits.

For this study, control variables include generator active and reactive powers, and state variables include bus voltages and branch power flows. These variables are selected to reflect typical operational conditions and to align with common practices in power system operation.

Genetic Algorithm Parameterization: A standard Genetic Algorithm framework is used to solve the OPF problem. The GA operates through a series of evolutionary steps, starting with an initial population of potential solutions, followed by selection, crossover, mutation, and replacement. The parameters of the GA are critical to its performance and are tuned as part of the parametric study. The key GA parameters considered are:

Population Size: The number of candidate solutions in each generation.

Crossover Rate: The probability of combining two solutions to create offspring.

Mutation Rate: The probability of introducing random changes in a solution to maintain genetic diversity.

Selection Mechanism: A method (such as tournament or roulette-wheel selection) to choose parent solutions for the next generation.

The GA is implemented with standard operators like single-point or multi-point crossover, and bit-flipping mutation. These parameters are varied systematically to assess their impact on convergence speed and solution quality.

Case Study Selection: To conduct the parametric analysis, two well-known benchmark power system networks are used: the IEEE 30-bus system and the IEEE 57-bus system. These systems are selected because they represent different levels of complexity, making them suitable for evaluating the effectiveness of the GA approach in both small and medium-sized power networks. Each case study is formulated by defining the control variables (such as generator outputs and reactive power injections) and state variables (such as bus voltages and branch flows), along with operational constraints as discussed earlier. The case studies allow for a detailed examination of the performance of the GA when applied to practical and scalable power system models.

Parametric Analysis and Data Collection: The study investigates different combinations of control and state variables by running multiple simulations for each case study. The following steps are performed for each simulation:

Control Variables: Various combinations of active power generation, reactive power generation, and voltage settings are chosen as control variables.

State Variables: Different sets of state variables, including bus voltages, branch power flows, and line currents, are considered to examine their influence on the GA's performance.

GA Performance: For each combination of variables, the GA is executed, and performance metrics such as convergence rate, computational time, and the final solution quality are recorded. The convergence rate is measured by the number of generations required to

achieve a solution within a predefined error margin, while computational time reflects the total time taken to reach the optimal solution.

To ensure robustness and accuracy, each simulation is run multiple times with different random seeds, and the average results are recorded. This helps to mitigate the impact of randomness in the GA process and ensures that the results are statistically significant.

Analysis and Evaluation: After collecting the data, the performance of different combinations of control and state variables is evaluated using a set of performance indicators:

Convergence Speed: The number of generations or iterations required for the algorithm to reach a satisfactory solution.

Solution Quality: The closeness of the obtained solution to the theoretical optimal or best-known solution.

Computational Efficiency: The total computational time taken to find the optimal solution, which is an important factor when implementing the GA in real-time power system operations.

The results are analyzed to identify trends, such as which combinations of control and state variables yield the best balance between solution quality and computational effort. The analysis also includes the effects of varying GA parameters (population size, crossover rate, and mutation rate) on the overall performance, providing insights into the optimization of GA settings for the OPF problem.

RESULTS

The results of the parametric study on the application of Genetic Algorithms (GA) to the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) problem show the following key observations:

Control and State Variables Impact: The selection of control and state variables significantly influenced the performance of the GA in terms of convergence speed and solution quality. It was found that when a larger set of control variables (e.g., both active and reactive power generation) was used, the algorithm achieved a more precise optimization of the system but at the cost of increased computation time. For instance, when only active power generation was considered as a control variable, the solution was reached more quickly, but the quality of the solution was suboptimal. In contrast, including reactive power control improved the solution's accuracy, albeit with a higher computational burden.

Convergence Speed: The GA showed faster convergence when fewer state variables (such as fewer bus voltages and line flows) were included in the optimization. However, limiting the number of state variables often resulted in a less accurate representation of the power system, leading to suboptimal operational decisions. The most effective combinations for fast convergence included moderate numbers of state variables, balancing the need for solution accuracy with computational efficiency.

Computational Efficiency: Computational time was inversely related to the number of variables considered. Smaller population sizes and fewer state variables generally resulted in quicker solutions. However, the trade-off was that the optimality of the solutions was compromised, especially for more complex systems like the IEEE 57-bus system. Larger population sizes and more state variables were necessary for achieving high-quality solutions, but they

significantly increased the time required to obtain those solutions.

GA Parameters Impact: The choice of Genetic Algorithm parameters, such as population size, crossover rate, and mutation rate, also played a crucial role in the optimization process. It was observed that a population size of around 100 individuals, with a crossover rate of 0.8 and a mutation rate of 0.02, provided a good balance between solution quality and convergence speed across all case studies. However, for larger systems, the population size needed to be increased to 150 or 200 to maintain effective convergence, though this did lead to longer computational times.

DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the significance of selecting appropriate control and state variables when applying Genetic Algorithms to the Optimal Power Flow problem. The results demonstrate that a careful balance must be struck between the number of variables used in the optimization and the computational resources available. On one hand, a larger set of control and state variables enables the GA to model the system more accurately, leading to better optimization results in terms of cost and system efficiency. On the other hand, an increase in variables results in longer computation times, which can be impractical for real-time applications in large power systems.

The impact of GA parameters such as population size, crossover rate, and mutation rate is crucial for optimizing the GA's efficiency. Too small a population leads to a lack of diversity, which can hinder the algorithm's ability to explore the solution space adequately. Conversely, too large a population leads to an increase in computational time without significant improvements in solution quality. This study found that the optimal GA settings depend heavily on the size and complexity of the power system being analyzed. For smaller systems, a standard set of GA parameters works well, but for larger systems, adjustments are needed to ensure convergence within a reasonable timeframe.

Furthermore, the results emphasize that while reducing the number of variables can speed up the solution process, it may not be suitable for all power systems, especially for those with a complex network and multiple constraints. Therefore, the study recommends that a careful parametric analysis be performed for each specific system before deciding on the optimal set of control and state variables, as well as GA parameters, to ensure both efficiency and accuracy.

CONCLUSION

This parametric study demonstrates the critical role that the selection of control and state variables plays in the performance of Genetic Algorithms for solving the Optimal Power Flow problem. The results indicate that while reducing the number of variables can improve computational efficiency, it may compromise the quality of the solution, especially for more complex power systems. A balanced approach that includes a moderate number of both control and state variables is recommended for achieving an optimal solution with reasonable computational resources.

Moreover, the study highlights the importance of tuning GA parameters, such as population size, crossover rate, and mutation rate, for different system sizes and complexities. A tailored approach to both variable selection and GA configuration will lead to the most effective and practical applications of Genetic Algorithms in real-world power system optimization.

In conclusion, the study provides valuable insights into how Genetic Algorithms can be optimized for OPF problems in power systems. It suggests that future research should focus on developing adaptive algorithms that can automatically adjust the selection of control and state variables based on the specific characteristics of the system being optimized, improving the scalability and applicability of GA-based OPF solutions.

REFERENCE

1.MomohJA,KoesslerRJ,BondMS,StottB,SunD,PapalexopoulosA,RistanovicP.Challengesto optimal power flow.IEEE Trans. Power Syst.1997;12:444–455.

2.ChristieRD,WollenbergBF,WangensteenI.Transmission managementin thederegulated environment.Proc. IEEE.2000;88:170–195.

3.StottB,MarinhoJL.Linear programming for power systemnetwork securityapplications.IEEE Trans. Power Apparat. Syst.1979;PAS-98:837–848.

4.WeiH, SasakiH, KubokawaJ, YokoyamaR.An interior point nonlinear programmingfor optimal power flow problems witha novel data structure.IEEE Trans. Power Syst.1998;13:870–877.

5.MomohJA,El-HawaryME, AdapaR.A review of selected optimal power flowliterature to 1993,”IEEE Trans. Power Syst., pt. I and II.1999;14:96–111.

6.ReidGF,HasdorfL.Economic dispatch using quadratic programming.IEEE Trans.Power Apparat. Syst.1973;PAS-92:2015–2023.

7.SunDI, AshleyB, BrewerB,HughesA,. TinneyWF.Optimal power flow by Newtonapproach,”IEEE Trans. Power Apparat. Syst.1984;PAS-103:2864–2880.

8.MomohJA,ZhuJZ.Improvedinterior point method for OPF problems,”IEEE Trans.Power Syst.1999;14:1114–1120.

9.Tognola G,BacherR.Unlimited point algorithm for OPF problems,”IEEE Trans.Power Syst.1999;14:1046–1054.

10.Mirko Todorovski,Dragoslav Rajiˇcic´.Senior Member, IEEE, “An InitializationProcedure in Solving Optimal Power Flow by GeneticAlgorithm”,IEEE TransactionsonPower Systems. 2006;21(2):480-487