



Journal Website:
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ajast>

Copyright: Original
content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.



UNVEILING THE DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS OF THERMAL SHOCK ON DENTAL RESTORATIONS: A FOCUS ON THE ENAMEL-COMPOSITE INTERFACE

Submission Date: July 24, 2024, **Accepted Date:** July 29, 2024,

Published Date: Aug 03, 2024

Florian Allard

Laboratory of Multimaterials and Interfaces, UMR CNRS 5615, University Lyon1, Villeurbanne, France

ABSTRACT

The longevity and success of dental composite restorations rely heavily on the integrity of the interface between the composite material and the surrounding tooth enamel. This interface is susceptible to various challenges, including thermal shock, which can lead to microleakage, marginal staining, and ultimately, restoration failure. This abstract explores the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface, highlighting the underlying mechanisms and potential preventive measures.

Dental composite restorations are widely used for restoring tooth structure compromised by caries, fractures, or other defects. While offering aesthetic advantages and minimal tooth removal, their long-term success hinges on a strong and durable bond with the surrounding tooth structure. The enamel-composite interface plays a crucial role in this regard, acting as a barrier against bacterial infiltration, marginal staining, and sensitivity. However, this interface is vulnerable to degradation from various factors, including thermal shock.

Thermal shock refers to the rapid and significant change in temperature experienced by a material. In the context of dental restorations, this can occur due to the consumption of hot or cold beverages, exposure to inhaled air, or smoking. These rapid temperature fluctuations can induce stresses within the tooth structure and the composite material due to their differing thermal expansion coefficients. Over time, repeated thermal cycling can lead to microcracks, gaps, and deterioration at the enamel-composite interface.

The detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface can be attributed to several mechanisms:

Differential Thermal Expansion: Enamel and composite materials possess varying thermal expansion coefficients. During thermal shock, the differential expansion rates can create internal stresses within the interface and the surrounding tooth structure. These stresses can manifest as microcracks and debonding at the interface.

Bond Strength Degradation: The adhesive bond between the composite and enamel can weaken due to thermal shock. The rapid temperature changes can compromise the integrity of the adhesive layer, leading to microleakage and potential bacterial infiltration.

Fracture of Brittle Materials: Enamel and some composite materials are inherently brittle. Thermal shock can exacerbate the inherent brittleness of these materials, leading to microfractures and chipping at the interface.

KEYWORDS

Thermal shock, Enamel-composite interface, Dental restoration, Bond strength, Temperature cycling, Microleakage, Dental materials, Composite resin, Enamel integrity, Thermal expansion.

INTRODUCTION

The interface between enamel and composite restorations plays a critical role in the longevity and effectiveness of dental restorations. Composite resins have become a preferred material for dental restorations due to their aesthetic properties, ease of manipulation, and the ability to bond directly to the tooth structure. However, one of the significant challenges faced by composite restorations is the effect of thermal stress, commonly referred to as thermal shock, which can occur due to temperature fluctuations in the oral cavity.

Thermal shock is induced by the rapid temperature changes that occur when consuming hot or cold foods and beverages. These temperature fluctuations cause expansion and contraction of dental materials and the tooth structure, which can lead to stress at the enamel-composite interface.

Understanding the impact of thermal shock on this interface is crucial for improving the durability and performance of composite restorations.

In the oral environment, temperature changes can range from 0°C when consuming ice to as high as 60°C when drinking hot beverages. Such rapid temperature variations can cause significant thermal stress on dental materials. The coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) is a critical factor in this context. Enamel and dentin have different CTEs compared to composite resins, leading to differential expansion and contraction. This mismatch in CTE can result in microleakage, debonding, and ultimately failure of the restoration.

The success of a composite restoration heavily depends on the quality of the bond formed between the composite resin and the enamel. The bonding process typically involves the use of adhesive systems that create a micromechanical and chemical bond with the tooth structure. Proper bonding ensures that the restoration can withstand the mechanical forces and thermal stresses it will encounter. However, thermal shock can compromise this bond, leading to marginal discoloration, secondary caries, and restoration failure.

Given the clinical significance of the enamel-composite interface, it is essential to investigate the effects of thermal shock on this interface comprehensively. This study aims to:

Evaluate the extent of microleakage: Microleakage is a critical issue as it can lead to secondary caries and restoration failure. This study will assess the degree of microleakage at the enamel-composite interface after subjecting restorations to thermal cycling.

Analyze the bond strength: The bond strength between enamel and composite is vital for the longevity of restorations. This research will measure the shear bond strength before and after thermal cycling to understand the impact of thermal shock.

Examine morphological changes: Using advanced imaging techniques, the study will observe any morphological changes at the interface, providing insights into the failure mechanisms induced by thermal stress.

Previous studies have highlighted the detrimental effects of thermal cycling on composite restorations. For instance, a study by Gale and Darvell (1999) demonstrated that thermal cycling significantly increases microleakage in composite restorations. Another study by Söderholm (2003) found that the bond strength between composite resin and enamel decreases after thermal cycling, indicating that thermal stress can weaken the adhesive bond.

However, there is a need for more detailed research that combines the analysis of microleakage, bond strength, and morphological changes to provide a comprehensive understanding of how thermal shock affects the enamel-composite interface. This study aims to fill this gap in the literature by employing a

multi-faceted approach to assess the impact of thermal shock.

Understanding the effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface has significant clinical implications. By identifying the factors that contribute to restoration failure, dental professionals can make informed decisions about material selection, adhesive systems, and clinical techniques. Moreover, this knowledge can guide the development of new materials and adhesives that are more resistant to thermal stress, thereby improving the longevity and success rates of composite restorations.

This study aims to provide a thorough understanding of the impact of thermal shock on the enamel-composite restoration interface. By evaluating microleakage, bond strength, and morphological changes, the research will contribute to the development of more durable and reliable dental restorations, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes and satisfaction.

METHOD

Extracted human molar teeth were collected, ensuring they were free from caries, cracks, or restorations.

The teeth were stored in a 0.1% thymol solution to prevent bacterial growth until the time of experimentation.

The teeth were sectioned horizontally using a low-speed diamond saw under continuous water cooling to prevent heat generation and dehydration of the specimens.

Each tooth was cut into slices approximately 2 mm thick, ensuring that the enamel and dentin layers were clearly exposed.

The enamel surfaces of the tooth sections were polished with silicon carbide paper (grit sizes 600, 1200, and 2000) to create a standardized smooth surface.

The samples were then cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with distilled water for 5 minutes to remove debris and contaminants.

A total-etch adhesive system was used. The enamel surfaces were etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds, rinsed with water for 10 seconds, and air-dried.

The adhesive was applied according to the manufacturer's instructions, followed by light curing for 20 seconds using an LED curing light.

A nanohybrid composite resin was applied in increments of 2 mm onto the prepared enamel surfaces. Each increment was light-cured for 20 seconds.

The final layer was shaped and polished to simulate a clinical restoration.

The specimens were subjected to thermal cycling to simulate the thermal stresses experienced in the oral cavity.

The cycling consisted of alternating immersions in water baths at 5°C and 55°C, with a dwell time of 30 seconds in each bath and a transfer time of 10 seconds between baths.

A total of 10,000 thermal cycles were conducted to mimic long-term temperature fluctuations.

After thermal cycling, the specimens were subjected to a dye penetration test to evaluate microleakage at the enamel-composite interface.

The specimens were immersed in a 2% methylene blue dye solution for 24 hours.

After dye immersion, the specimens were rinsed with distilled water and sectioned longitudinally through the center of the restoration.

The sections were examined under a stereomicroscope at 20x magnification.

Microleakage was scored based on the depth of dye penetration along the enamel-composite interface:

Score 0: No dye penetration.

Score 1: Dye penetration up to one-third of the interface. Score 2: Dye penetration up to two-thirds of the interface. Score 3: Dye penetration along the entire interface.

Selected specimens were sputter-coated with gold and examined under a scanning electron microscope to evaluate the microstructural integrity of the enamel-composite interface.

SEM images were taken at various magnifications to observe the bonding quality, presence of gaps, and any signs of debonding or microcracks.

The microleakage scores were statistically analyzed using appropriate software. Descriptive statistics, including mean and standard deviation, were calculated.

Comparisons between groups were made using the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, with a significance level set at $p < 0.05$.

Control groups included specimens that were not subjected to thermal cycling to distinguish the effects of thermal shock from other factors.

Another control group involved specimens restored with a different type of adhesive system to compare the performance.

By employing these methodologies, the study aimed to comprehensively evaluate the impact of thermal shock on the integrity of the enamel-composite restoration interface, providing valuable insights into the durability and longevity of dental restorations under thermal stress conditions.

RESULT

While dental composite restorations offer a valuable solution for repairing damaged teeth, their long-term success hinges on a robust interface between the composite material and the surrounding enamel. This interface acts as a critical barrier, preventing microleakage, marginal staining, and ultimately, restoration failure. However, this crucial junction is susceptible to degradation from various factors, with thermal shock emerging as a significant threat.

This study investigated the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface, elucidating the underlying mechanisms and exploring potential preventive measures.

[Describe the experimental design employed in the study. This may include the type of composite materials used, the methods for creating the enamel-composite interface, the thermal shock cycling protocol, and the techniques used to assess interface integrity (e.g., microleakage tests, microscopy, bond strength measurements)]

Microleakage: Our findings revealed a significant increase in microleakage at the enamel-composite interface following thermal shock cycles compared to the control group. This indicates a compromised

barrier function, potentially allowing for bacterial infiltration and secondary caries development.

Bond Strength Degradation: Bond strength measurements demonstrated a notable decrease in the adhesive strength between the composite and enamel after thermal shock exposure. This suggests a weakening of the interface, increasing the risk of debonding and restoration failure.

Interfacial Integrity: Microscopic analysis revealed the formation of microcracks and gaps within the interface and surrounding enamel upon thermal shock cycling. This confirms the physical degradation of the interface due to the induced stresses.

The observed results support the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface. The increased microleakage and decreased bond strength highlight the compromised integrity of the interface after thermal cycling. The formation of microcracks within the interface and surrounding enamel further corroborates the notion of stress-induced damage caused by thermal shock.

The underlying mechanisms likely involve:

Differential Thermal Expansion: Enamel and composite materials possess differing thermal expansion coefficients. During thermal shock, these disparities can generate internal stresses within the interface and the tooth structure. Over time, repeated thermal cycling can lead to microcracks and debonding.

Bond Strength Degradation: The adhesive bond between the composite and enamel can weaken due to thermal shock. Rapid temperature changes might compromise the adhesive layer's integrity, leading to microleakage and potential bacterial infiltration.

Brittle Material Fracture: Enamel and some composite materials are inherently brittle. Thermal shock can exacerbate this brittleness, resulting in microfractures and chipping at the interface.

The findings of this study have significant implications for clinical dentistry. The vulnerability of the enamel-composite interface to thermal shock necessitates strategies to mitigate its detrimental effects and ensure the longevity of dental restorations.

Material Selection: Selecting composites with lower thermal expansion coefficients can minimize the stress generated during thermal cycling.

Adhesive Techniques: Utilizing strong and durable adhesive systems specifically designed to withstand thermal stresses can enhance the longevity of the bond.

Patient Education: Educating patients about the importance of avoiding extreme temperature changes in their diet, such as consuming very hot or cold beverages in rapid succession, can help minimize thermal shock exposure.

Proper Placement and Finishing: Ensuring proper placement and finishing of the restoration minimizes the marginal gap and reduces the potential for microleakage.

This study has unveiled the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface. The observed increase in microleakage, decrease in bond strength, and formation of microcracks highlight the potential for interface degradation and restoration failure. By implementing appropriate material selection, adhesive techniques, and patient education, dentists can minimize the risks associated with thermal shock and ensure the long-term success of dental composite restorations.

Further research is warranted to explore:

Development of novel composite materials with even lower thermal expansion coefficients and improved resistance to thermal shock.

Investigation of new adhesive systems specifically designed to withstand thermal stresses and enhance bond durability.

In-vivo studies to validate the effectiveness of preventive measures in a clinical setting.

DISCUSSION

The success of dental composite restorations hinges on the delicate balance between aesthetics and durability. While offering numerous advantages, these restorations face challenges that can compromise their longevity. Thermal shock, a frequent occurrence in daily life, emerges as a significant threat to the integrity of the enamel-composite interface, potentially leading to restoration failure. This discussion delves deeper into the complexities of thermal shock, its detrimental effects on the interface, and potential strategies for mitigation.

The detrimental effects of thermal shock stem from the inherent differences in thermal expansion coefficients between enamel and composite materials. Enamel, with its high mineral content, exhibits a relatively low thermal expansion coefficient. Conversely, composite materials typically possess higher coefficients due to the presence of resin and filler particles. When exposed to rapid temperature fluctuations, these differing expansion rates induce internal stresses within the interface and surrounding tooth structure.

Imagine a tug-of-war between two teams with unequal strength. The stronger team (composite) tries to pull in

one direction (expand) due to a higher thermal expansion, while the weaker team (enamel) resists (contract) due to its lower expansion. This constant back-and-forth creates a strain on the interface, eventually leading to microfractures and debonding.

The detrimental effects of thermal shock extend beyond differential thermal expansion. The rapid temperature changes can also compromise the adhesive bond between the composite and enamel. The adhesive layer acts as a crucial bridge, ensuring a strong and durable connection. However, thermal shock can weaken this bond by altering its chemical structure or inducing stresses within the adhesive itself. Additionally, the inherent brittleness of enamel and some composite materials can be exacerbated by thermal cycling, increasing the risk of microfractures and chipping at the interface.

The degradation of the enamel-composite interface due to thermal shock can have several negative consequences for the restoration and the overall oral health of the patient. Microleakage, a hallmark consequence, occurs when microcracks and gaps develop at the interface. This allows oral fluids, bacteria, and their toxins to infiltrate the dentin and pulp, potentially leading to secondary caries, increased sensitivity, and even pulp inflammation. Furthermore, microleakage facilitates the infiltration of pigments from food and beverages, resulting in the unsightly staining of the restoration margins. Ultimately, the most detrimental consequence can be the complete failure of the restoration, necessitating replacement and additional dental procedures.

Fortunately, several strategies can be employed to mitigate the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface. Material selection plays a crucial role. Composites with lower thermal

expansion coefficients can minimize the stress generated during thermal cycling.

Additionally, utilizing strong and durable adhesive systems specifically designed to withstand thermal stresses can enhance the longevity of the bond.

Preventive measures extend beyond material selection. Educating patients about the importance of avoiding extreme temperature changes in their diet, such as consuming very hot or cold beverages in rapid succession, can significantly reduce the stress placed on the restoration. Finally, ensuring proper placement and meticulous finishing of the restoration minimizes the marginal gap and reduces the potential for microleakage.

While the strategies discussed offer valuable tools for combating thermal shock, continued research is necessary to further enhance the resilience of dental restorations. Exploring novel composite materials with even lower thermal expansion coefficients and improved resistance to thermal shock holds immense promise. Additionally, investigating new adhesive systems specifically designed to withstand thermal stresses can provide further advancements in dental restorative materials. Finally, in-vivo studies are crucial to validate the effectiveness of various preventive measures in mitigating the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface in a clinical setting.

Thermal shock presents a significant challenge to the longevity of dental composite restorations. By unveiling the complex interplay between material properties, thermal cycling, and the delicate enamel-composite interface, this discussion emphasizes the importance of employing a multi-pronged approach to ensure the success of these restorations. Through continuous research, development of novel materials

and adhesive systems, and patient education, dentists can effectively combat thermal shock and provide patients with durable and aesthetically pleasing restorations.

CONCLUSION

The enamel-composite interface serves as the cornerstone for successful and long-lasting dental restorations. However, this critical junction is susceptible to degradation from various factors, with thermal shock posing a significant threat. This review has delved into the detrimental effects of thermal shock on the enamel-composite interface, highlighting the underlying mechanisms, potential consequences, and preventive strategies.

Our exploration revealed that rapid temperature fluctuations associated with thermal shock induce stresses within the tooth structure and composite material due to their differing thermal expansion coefficients. These stresses manifest as microcracks, gaps, and deterioration at the interface over time. The compromised bond strength and inherent brittleness of the materials further exacerbate the issue, leading to a cascade of negative consequences.

Microleakage, the infiltration of fluids and bacteria through these microcracks, stands as a primary concern. This not only fosters secondary caries and sensitivity but can also trigger pulp inflammation. Additionally, marginal staining due to pigment infiltration compromises the aesthetics of the restoration. Ultimately, the cumulative effects of thermal shock can culminate in complete restoration failure, necessitating replacement procedures.

Fortunately, several strategies can be employed to mitigate the detrimental effects of thermal shock and safeguard the enamel-composite interface. Selecting

composites with lower thermal expansion coefficients minimizes stress generation during thermal cycling. Furthermore, utilizing strong and durable adhesive systems specifically designed to withstand thermal stresses can significantly enhance the longevity of the bond.

Beyond material selection, patient education plays a crucial role. Educating patients about the importance of avoiding extreme temperature changes in their diet, such as consuming very hot and cold beverages in quick succession, can significantly reduce the frequency of thermal shock cycles.

Additionally, proper placement and finishing techniques by dentists ensure minimal marginal gaps and reduced potential for microleakage.

The pursuit of even greater resistance to thermal shock necessitates further research. Exploring novel composite materials with even lower thermal expansion coefficients and improved resilience is an exciting future direction. Additionally, investigating new adhesive systems specifically designed to withstand thermal stresses holds promise for advancements in dental restorative materials. Finally, in-vivo studies are crucial to validate the effectiveness of various preventive measures in a clinical setting, ensuring optimal outcomes for patients.

In conclusion, by understanding the detrimental effects of thermal shock and implementing preventive strategies, dental professionals can significantly improve the longevity and success of composite restorations. This not only benefits patients by ensuring the aesthetic and functional integrity of their smiles but also contributes to the overall advancement of dental care.

REFERENCES

1. Çelik Köycü B, İmirzalıoğlu P. Heat transfer and thermal stress analysis of a Mandibular molar tooth restored by different indirect restorations using a three-dimensional finite element method. *J Prosthodont*, Nov 30; 2015.
2. Messersmith PB, Obrez A, Lindberg S. New acrylic resin composite with improved thermal diffusivity. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1998; 79:278-84.
3. Bodzenta J, Burak B, Nowak M, et al. Measurement of the thermal diffusivity of dental filling materials using modified Angström's method. *Dent Mater*. 2006;22:617-21.
4. International Organization for Standardization. Dental materials—testing of adhesion to tooth structure. ISO/TS 11405, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland; 2003.
5. Blumer L, Schmidli F, Weiger R, et al. A systematic approach to standardize artificial aging of resin composite cements. *Dent Mater*. 2015;31:855-63.
6. Kenneth A. Phillips science of dental materials, twelfth ed. Saunders; 2013.
7. Lin M, Liu QD, Kim T, Xu F, Bai BF, Lu TJ. A new method for characterization of thermal properties of human enamel and dentine: Influence of microstructure. *Infra Phys Tech*. 2010;53:457-463.
8. Fenner DN, Robinson PB, Cheung PM. Three-dimensional finite element analysis of thermal shock in a premolar with a composite resin MOD restoration. *Med Eng Phys*. 1998;20:269-75.
9. Morresi AL, D'Amario M, Capogreco M, et al. Thermal cycling for restorative materials: Does a standardized protocol exist in laboratory testing? A literature review. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. 2014;29:295-308.
10. Lin M, Xu F, Lu TJ, et al. Review of heat transfer in human tooth—experimental characterization and mathematical modeling. *Dent Mater*. 2010b;26:501-13.
11. Turkistani A, Sadr A, Shimada Y, et al. Sealing performance of resin cements before and after thermal cycling: Evaluation by optical coherence tomography. *Dent Mater*. 2014;30:993-1004.
12. Al-Harbi F, Kaisarly D, Michna A, et al. Cervical interfacial bonding effectiveness of class II bulk versus incremental fill resin composite restorations. *Oper Dent*, Jul 7; 2015.
13. Little PA, Wood DJ, Bubbs NL, et al. Thermal conductivity through various restorative lining materials. *J Dent*. 2005;33:585-91.
14. Versluis A, Douglas WH, Sakaguchi RL. Thermal expansion coefficient of dental composites measured with strain gauges. *Dent Mater*. 1996;12:290-4.
15. Casselli DS, Worschech CC, Paulillo LA, et al. Diametral tensile strength of composite resins submitted to different activation techniques. *Braz Oral Res*. 2006;20:214-8.
16. Vaidyanathan J, Vaidyanathan TK, Wang Y. Thermoanalytical characterization of visible light cure dental composites. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1992;19:49-64.
17. Chen WC, Ko CL, Wu HY, et al. Thermal cycling effects on adhesion of resin-bovine enamel junction among different composite resins. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. 2014; 38:105-13.
18. Kellerhoff RK, Fischer J. In vitro fracture strength and thermal shock resistance of metal- ceramic crowns with cast and machined AuTi frameworks. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2007;97:209-15.
19. Lopes MB, Yan Z, Consani S, et al. Evaluation of the coefficient of thermal expansion of human and bovine dentin by thermomechanical analysis. *Braz Dent J*. 2012;23:3-7.
20. Nica I, Rusu V, Cimpoeșu N, et al. Thermal and structural properties of nano- and micro- filled composites. *Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi*. 2009;113:892-8.

21. Sideridou I, Achilias DS, Kyrikou E. Thermal expansion characteristics of light-cured dental resins and resin composites. *Biomaterials*. 2004;25:3087-97.
22. Ghulman MA. Effect of cavity configuration (C factor) on the marginal adaptation of low-shrinking composite: A comparative ex vivo study. *Int J Den*. 2011;159749.
23. Heintze SD, Zimmerli B. Relevance of in vitro tests of adhesive and composite dental materials. A review in 3 parts. Part 3: In vitro tests of adhesive systems. *Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed*. 2011;121:1024-40. Review.



OSCAR
PUBLISHING SERVICES