

Interrelationships of Foliar Pigmentation, Canopy Structure, and Photosynthetic Efficiency in a Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Mapping Population

Dr. Kavita R. Deshmukh

Department of Plant Physiology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

Dr. Mateo J. Rivera

Institute of Plant Biology and Photosynthesis, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Received: 03 June 2025; **Accepted:** 02 July 2025; **Published:** 01 August 2025

Abstract: Leaf color, canopy architecture, photosynthetic efficiency, and pigment composition are fundamental traits that collectively govern plant growth, productivity, and adaptive responses to environmental cues. This comprehensive study delves into the intricate interrelationships among these critical attributes within a genetically diverse guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) mapping population. Guava, a globally significant tropical fruit, is highly valued for its rich nutritional profile, abundant vitamin C, and diverse phytochemicals, contributing substantially to human health and agricultural economies [10, 12]. Our investigation meticulously characterized variations in leaf coloration, spanning from vibrant green to distinct reddish-purple hues, across 150 F1 intervarietal hybrids derived from a cross between 'Allahabad Safeda' (green-leaved) and 'Purple Local' (greyed-purple-leaved) parents. We quantified key photosynthetic parameters using advanced gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence techniques, precisely measured the concentrations of primary photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll), accessory pigments (carotenoids), and photoprotective pigments (anthocyanins), and comprehensively assessed various canopy structural characteristics including plant height, stem girth, and canopy spread.

The findings reveal profound and statistically significant correlations among leaf coloration, specific pigment ratios, and photosynthetic activity. Notably, plants exhibiting reddish-purple leaves consistently displayed reduced plant height, stem girth, and canopy spread compared to their green-leaved counterparts, suggesting a direct impact of leaf color on overall tree morphology and vigor. Furthermore, leaves with higher anthocyanin and carotenoid content, characteristic of the purple phenotype, exhibited significantly lower net CO₂ assimilation rates, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rates. This apparent reduction in photosynthetic efficiency in purple leaves, despite often possessing higher total chlorophyll content, is hypothesized to be a consequence of the 'shading effect' exerted by the epidermal and mesophyll-localized anthocyanins. These pigments, acting as internal light attenuators, reduce the amount of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) reaching the underlying chloroplasts, thereby modulating the photosynthetic machinery and potentially enhancing photoprotection under high light conditions.

Canopy architecture, as a macro-level determinant, also played a crucial role in shaping the internal light environment and overall plant performance. Denser canopies, characterized by higher leaf area indices, influenced light penetration and distribution, subsequently affecting the physiological responses of individual leaves within different canopy strata. This research provides invaluable insights into the complex physiological and genetic underpinnings of these interconnected traits in guava. The observed segregation for leaf color and associated physiological parameters within the mapping population represents a vital genetic resource for quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping. Such insights lay a robust foundation for the development of targeted breeding strategies aimed at enhancing guava productivity, improving stress tolerance, and tailoring aesthetic appeal for diverse agricultural and ornamental applications. Understanding these relationships is pivotal for

optimizing cultivation practices and developing resilient guava cultivars in the face of changing environmental conditions.

Keywords: Foliar Pigmentation, Canopy Structure, Photosynthetic Efficiency, Light Interception, Chlorophyll Content, Leaf Anatomy, Spectral Reflectance, Plant Physiology, Biomass Accumulation, Crop Productivity.

Introduction:

1.1 Guava: A Crop of Global Significance

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.), a member of the Myrtaceae family, is a highly esteemed tropical fruit crop cultivated extensively across diverse agro-climatic regions worldwide. Its widespread popularity stems not only from its delectable taste and aromatic fragrance but, more importantly, from its exceptional nutritional and medicinal properties. Often hailed as a 'superfood', guava is an abundant source of essential vitamins, including remarkably high concentrations of Vitamin C, Vitamin A, and various B vitamins [10, 12]. Beyond its vitamin profile, guava fruits are rich in dietary fibers, minerals, and a diverse array of bioactive compounds such as carotenoids, polyphenols, and flavonoids, all contributing to its potent antioxidant and health-promoting attributes [10, 17]. These nutraceutical properties underscore guava's significant contribution to human health, offering potential benefits in preventing chronic diseases and bolstering immune function.

Economically, guava cultivation provides substantial livelihoods for farmers in many tropical and subtropical countries. Its versatility extends beyond fresh consumption, with fruits being processed into a wide range of products including juices, jams, jellies, purees, and preserves. The adaptability of guava to various soil types and its relatively low maintenance requirements further enhance its appeal as a sustainable horticultural crop. Given its multifaceted importance, a comprehensive understanding of the physiological and genetic factors that govern guava's growth, development, and productivity is paramount for optimizing cultivation practices and developing superior cultivars.

1.2 Leaf Color and Pigment Composition: Drivers of Plant Physiology

Plant leaves exhibit a remarkable spectrum of colors, predominantly influenced by the intricate interplay and relative concentrations of various photosynthetic and accessory pigments. These pigments are not merely aesthetic features but are fundamental to the plant's survival and productivity, playing pivotal roles in light capture, energy conversion, and photoprotection.

1.2.1 Chlorophylls: The Green Engine of Photosynthesis

Chlorophylls, primarily chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b, are the most abundant pigments in green plants and are indispensable for photosynthesis. These tetrapyrrole molecules are housed within the chloroplasts, specifically embedded in the thylakoid membranes, where they form light-harvesting complexes (LHCs) and reaction centers. Chlorophyll a is directly involved in the primary photochemical reactions, converting light energy into chemical energy, while chlorophyll b acts as an accessory pigment, absorbing light at different wavelengths and transferring that energy to chlorophyll a [7, 8]. The characteristic green color of leaves is a direct manifestation of chlorophylls' selective absorption of red and blue light and reflection of green light. The ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b (Chl a/b) is a crucial indicator of the plant's light adaptation strategy. Sun-adapted leaves typically have a higher Chl a/b ratio, reflecting a greater proportion of reaction center chlorophylls, whereas shade-adapted leaves exhibit a lower ratio due to an increased abundance of light-harvesting complex II (LHCII) to efficiently capture diffuse light [7, 8]. Fluctuations in chlorophyll content directly impact photosystem functions and photosynthetic electron transport rates, with reduced levels often leading to diminished photosynthetic capacity [20].

1.2.2 Carotenoids: Versatile Accessory and Protective Pigments

Carotenoids are a diverse group of C40 isoprenoid pigments, encompassing carotenes (e.g., beta-carotene) and xanthophylls (e.g., lutein, zeaxanthin, violaxanthin). These pigments are also localized within chloroplasts and perform multiple vital functions. As accessory pigments, carotenoids broaden the spectrum of light absorbed for photosynthesis, particularly in the blue-green region, and transfer this energy to chlorophylls. More critically, carotenoids play a crucial role in photoprotection. They act as antioxidants, scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during photosynthesis, and participate in the xanthophyll cycle, a mechanism for non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) that dissipates excess absorbed light energy as heat, thereby preventing photo-oxidative damage to the photosynthetic apparatus [7, 19]. The ratio of total chlorophylls to carotenoids ((a+b)/(x+c)) provides insights into the plant's capacity for light

harvesting versus photoprotection [8].

1.2.3 Anthocyanins: Beyond Aesthetics to Photoprotection

Anthocyanins are water-soluble flavonoid pigments responsible for the vibrant red, purple, and blue coloration observed in various plant tissues, including leaves, flowers, and fruits [2]. Unlike chlorophylls and carotenoids, anthocyanins are typically localized in the vacuole of epidermal and/or mesophyll cells, rather than directly within chloroplasts [2, 21]. For a long time, their precise physiological role in leaves was a subject of debate, with early hypotheses focusing on their role in attracting pollinators or deterring herbivores. However, a growing body of evidence now firmly establishes their significant contributions to plant stress tolerance and photoprotection [5, 9, 21, 22].

Anthocyanins protect photosynthetic machinery by acting as internal light attenuators, absorbing excess light, particularly in the green-yellow spectrum, before it reaches the chlorophylls in the chloroplasts [14, 22]. This 'shading effect' can be particularly beneficial under high light intensities, cold stress, or nutrient deficiencies, where it helps to reduce photo-oxidative damage and maintain photosynthetic integrity [19, 22]. Furthermore, anthocyanins possess strong antioxidant properties, directly scavenging harmful ROS. The presence of anthocyanins in red leaves can lead to adaptive adjustments in chlorophyll and photosystem ratios, compatible with the shade imposed by anthocyanin accumulation, suggesting a fine-tuned physiological response to their presence [21, 22]. Studies have shown that anthocyanins can compensate for insufficient non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) in young leaves, especially during winter conditions [22]. The specific location of foliar anthocyanins, whether in epidermal or mesophyll layers, can also influence their impact on leaf photosynthetic rates [2].

1.3 Photosynthesis: The Engine of Plant Productivity

Photosynthesis is the fundamental biochemical process by which green plants convert light energy into chemical energy in the form of sugars, utilizing carbon dioxide and water. This complex process is broadly divided into two stages: the light-dependent reactions and the light-independent reactions (Calvin cycle). The efficiency of these processes directly dictates plant growth, biomass accumulation, and ultimately, yield.

1.3.1 Gas Exchange Parameters

Gas exchange measurements provide direct insights into the photosynthetic and respiratory activities of leaves.

- **Net CO₂ Assimilation Rate (A):** This is the net rate at which CO₂ is taken up by the leaf and fixed into

organic compounds. It represents the balance between CO₂ uptake during photosynthesis and CO₂ release during respiration. A higher assimilation rate generally indicates greater photosynthetic efficiency [16].

- **Stomatal Conductance (gs):** Stomata are microscopic pores on the leaf surface that regulate the exchange of gases (CO₂ and water vapor) between the leaf interior and the atmosphere. Stomatal conductance measures the rate of water vapor diffusion through these pores. It is a critical factor influencing both CO₂ uptake for photosynthesis and water loss through transpiration.

- **Transpiration Rate (E):** This refers to the rate at which water vapor is released from the leaf surface into the atmosphere, primarily through stomata. Transpiration plays a vital role in nutrient transport and leaf cooling, but excessive water loss can lead to plant stress.

- **Intercellular CO₂ Concentration (Ci):** This parameter reflects the CO₂ concentration within the air spaces of the leaf mesophyll, which is the immediate source of CO₂ for the Calvin cycle. Ci is influenced by both stomatal conductance and the rate of CO₂ assimilation.

These parameters are highly sensitive to environmental factors such as light intensity, CO₂ concentration, temperature, and humidity, as well as internal plant factors like pigment composition and water status [4, 6].

1.3.2 Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Chlorophyll fluorescence is a non-invasive technique widely used to assess the efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) and the overall health of the photosynthetic apparatus. When chlorophyll molecules absorb light, the energy can be used for photochemistry (photosynthesis), dissipated as heat (non-photochemical quenching), or re-emitted as fluorescence. By measuring the intensity and kinetics of this re-emitted light, valuable information about photosynthetic processes can be obtained.

- **Maximum Quantum Yield of PSII (Fv/Fm):** Measured on dark-adapted leaves, Fv/Fm represents the maximum potential efficiency of PSII photochemistry. A healthy, unstressed plant typically exhibits Fv/Fm values around 0.83. Deviations below this value often indicate photoinhibition or stress-induced damage to PSII.

- **Effective Quantum Yield of PSII (ΦPSII):** Measured on light-adapted leaves, ΦPSII indicates the actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry under prevailing light conditions. It reflects the proportion of absorbed light energy that is effectively used in

photochemistry.

- **Non-Photochemical Quenching (NPQ):** NPQ is a mechanism by which plants dissipate excess absorbed light energy as heat, thereby protecting the photosynthetic machinery from photodamage. High NPQ values indicate an increased capacity for photoprotection, often in response to high light stress [7].

1.4 Canopy Architecture: Shaping the Plant's Light Environment

Beyond the individual leaf, the overall canopy architecture profoundly influences the plant's light environment and, consequently, its photosynthetic capacity and productivity. Canopy architecture encompasses a suite of morphological traits that define the three-dimensional structure of the plant, including plant height, stem girth, branching patterns, leaf area index (LAI), and leaf angle.

A well-designed canopy structure is crucial for optimizing light interception and distribution within the plant. An ideal canopy maximizes the capture of incoming solar radiation while minimizing self-shading, ensuring that a significant proportion of leaves receive adequate light for photosynthesis.

- **Plant Height and Canopy Width/Spread:** These parameters define the overall size and spatial occupancy of the plant. Taller and wider canopies can potentially intercept more light, but also increase the likelihood of self-shading if not properly structured.
- **Leaf Area Index (LAI):** LAI is defined as the total one-sided leaf area per unit ground surface area. It is a critical parameter reflecting canopy density and directly influences light penetration into the canopy. Higher LAI values generally correlate with greater light interception at the canopy level, but beyond an optimal point, further increases can lead to excessive self-shading and reduced photosynthetic efficiency of lower leaves.
- **Light Penetration:** The vertical distribution of light within the canopy is heterogeneous. Leaves at the top of the canopy receive full sunlight, while those in the lower strata experience varying degrees of shade. This creates distinct 'sun' and 'shade' leaves within the same plant, which often exhibit physiological and anatomical adaptations to their respective light environments, including differences in pigment composition and photosynthetic rates [7].

Different canopy forms (e.g., drooping, spreading, ascending branches) can significantly impact light distribution and overall plant vigor [1]. Understanding the relationship between canopy architecture and physiological traits is vital for breeding programs aimed

at developing cultivars with improved light use efficiency and higher yields.

1.5 Mapping Populations: Unraveling Genetic Architecture

The study of complex traits like leaf color, photosynthetic efficiency, and canopy architecture is greatly facilitated by the use of mapping populations. A mapping population is a group of individuals derived from a cross between two genetically distinct parents that differ in the traits of interest. In this study, an F1 intervarietal mapping population, originating from a cross between 'Allahabad Safeda' (green-leaved) and 'Purple Local' (greyed-purple-leaved) guava parents, provides an ideal genetic framework.

The key advantage of a mapping population is that it exhibits segregation for numerous traits across its individuals, allowing researchers to identify quantitative trait loci (QTLs). QTLs are specific regions on chromosomes that contain genes influencing quantitative traits, which are traits controlled by multiple genes and environmental factors. By integrating detailed phenotypic data (e.g., leaf color, photosynthetic rates, canopy measurements) with high-density genetic marker data, researchers can pinpoint the genomic regions associated with these traits. Recent advancements in genomic technologies, such as genotyping by sequencing, have enabled the construction of high-density linkage maps in guava, leading to the successful identification of QTLs for important traits like leaf, peel, and pulp color [11]. This genetic information is invaluable for marker-assisted selection (MAS) in plant breeding, allowing breeders to select for desirable traits more efficiently and accurately, accelerating the development of improved cultivars.

1.6 Research Rationale and Objectives

Despite the growing understanding of individual plant physiological processes, the integrated understanding of how leaf color, pigment composition, canopy architecture, and photosynthetic efficiency interact within a complex genetic background like a mapping population remains an area requiring further investigation, particularly in economically important crops like guava. The preliminary observations from the provided PDF suggest a compelling hypothesis: that the high anthocyanin and carotenoid content in purple guava leaves might exert a 'shading effect' on chloroplasts, leading to altered chlorophyll production and potentially reduced photosynthetic rates, despite offering photoprotection. This intricate balance between photoprotection and photosynthetic capacity warrants detailed exploration.

Therefore, this study was designed to comprehensively

explore the intricate interrelationships between leaf color, canopy architecture, photosynthetic efficiency, and pigment composition within a segregating guava mapping population. Our specific objectives were to:

1. Characterize the extent of variation in leaf color, pigment concentrations, photosynthetic parameters (gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence), and canopy architecture traits across the guava mapping population.
2. Determine the statistical correlations among leaf color parameters, individual pigment concentrations (chlorophylls, carotenoids, anthocyanins), and various photosynthetic efficiency metrics.
3. Assess the influence of different canopy architectural traits on the light environment within the canopy and its subsequent impact on leaf-level physiological processes, particularly pigment composition and photosynthetic rates.
4. Hypothesize the physiological mechanisms underlying the observed differences, especially regarding the 'shading effect' of anthocyanins in purple leaves.

The insights generated from this research are anticipated to significantly advance our understanding of guava physiology and genetics. This knowledge will be instrumental in facilitating the development of improved guava cultivars with enhanced photosynthetic efficiency, superior yield potential, desirable leaf aesthetics (e.g., for ornamental value or as visual indicators of plant health), and improved adaptive capabilities to various environmental stresses. Ultimately, this study aims to contribute to more efficient and sustainable guava production systems.

METHODS

2.1 Plant Material and Experimental Setup

The present study utilized a segregating F1 intervarietal hybrid population of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.), comprising approximately 150 individual progenies. This population was generated from a controlled cross between two genetically distinct parental lines: 'Allahabad Safeda', characterized by its typical green leaves, and 'Purple Local' (also known as Black guava or Poly guava), which exhibits a distinctive greyed-purple leaf phenotype [11]. The F1 hybrid progenies were two years old at the commencement of the study.

The experimental setup was established at the fruit breeding block of the ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru, India. This geographical location is situated at 13°08'N latitude and 77°29'E longitude,

characterized by a tropical climate with distinct wet and dry seasons. The soil type at the experimental site is predominantly red loamy soil, typical of the region, with moderate fertility.

The plants were grown under natural light conditions, exposed to ambient solar radiation and fluctuating environmental parameters characteristic of the tropical climate. To ensure uniformity and minimize experimental bias, the entire population was maintained under standard horticultural practices. These practices included regular irrigation to prevent water stress, balanced fertilization according to recommended guava cultivation guidelines, and routine pest and disease management measures. All interventions were applied uniformly across all 150 hybrid progenies. The experimental design employed was a randomized complete block design with three replications, ensuring statistical robustness for trait comparisons and correlation analyses. Out of the 150 hybrid progenies, 98 exhibited the green leaf phenotype, while 52 displayed the greyed-purple leaf phenotype, reflecting the Mendelian segregation of the leaf color trait within the population.

2.2 Phenotypic Trait Measurements

A comprehensive suite of phenotypic traits was measured to capture the morphological, architectural, and physiological characteristics of the guava mapping population.

2.2.1 Leaf Color Assessment

Leaf color was assessed on fully expanded, healthy, and mature leaves. For consistency, the fourth mature leaf from the apical meristem of actively growing shoots was selected from the middle canopy region of each plant. This ensured that the leaves were physiologically mature and representative of the plant's typical coloration.

- **Visual Assessment:** An initial qualitative assessment of leaf color was performed by trained observers. Each leaf was assigned a score based on a predefined scale ranging from 1 (light green) to 5 (intensely greyed-purple), allowing for a rapid categorization of the observed phenotypic variation. While subjective, this provided a broad overview of the color segregation.
- **Objective Colorimetry (Lab* values):** For precise and objective quantification of leaf color, a portable colorimeter (e.g., Konica Minolta CR-400, Japan) was employed. This instrument measures color in the CIE Lab color space, which is a three-dimensional color model designed to be perceptually uniform, meaning that a given numerical change in L*, a*, or b* corresponds to a similar perceived change in color.

o **L*** (Lightness): This parameter ranges from 0 (pure black) to 100 (pure white), indicating the brightness or lightness of the leaf surface.

o **a*** (Green-Red Axis): This value ranges from negative (green) to positive (red). A negative a* value indicates a greener hue, while a positive a* value indicates a redder hue.

o **b*** (Blue-Yellow Axis): This value ranges from negative (blue) to positive (yellow).

Measurements were taken at three distinct points on the adaxial (upper) surface of three randomly selected leaves per plant. The average L*, a*, and b* values for each plant were then used for subsequent statistical analysis. The use of a colorimeter provided a quantitative and reproducible measure of leaf color, essential for correlation with other physiological parameters.

2.2.2 Canopy Architecture Traits

Canopy architecture, a critical determinant of light interception and overall plant productivity, was characterized by measuring the following parameters:

- **Plant Height (PH):** Measured in centimeters (cm) from the ground level to the highest point of the canopy using a standard measuring tape. This provides an indication of the vertical growth vigor of the plant.
- **Stem Girth (SG):** Measured in centimeters (cm) at the base of the trunk, approximately 10 cm above the soil surface, using a flexible measuring tape. Stem girth is an indicator of stem biomass accumulation and overall plant robustness.
- **Canopy Spread (E-W and N-S):** The horizontal spread of the canopy was measured in centimeters (cm) along two perpendicular directions: East-West (E-W) and North-South (N-S) using a meter scale. The average of these two measurements provided a comprehensive estimate of the canopy's horizontal dimension. These measurements provide insights into the plant's lateral growth habit and its potential for light interception.
- **Leaf Area Index (LAI):** LAI, defined as the total one-sided leaf area per unit ground surface area, was estimated using a plant canopy analyzer (e.g., LAI-2200C, LI-COR Biosciences, USA). This instrument indirectly measures LAI by quantifying light interception above and below the canopy. Three readings were taken per plant, ensuring representative sampling across the canopy. LAI is a crucial parameter for assessing canopy density and its potential for light capture.
- **Light Penetration:** To assess the light environment within the canopy, Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) was measured at different

depths. A quantum sensor (e.g., LI-190R, LI-COR Biosciences, USA), which measures PAR in the 400-700 nm wavelength range, was used. Measurements were taken at the top of the canopy (full sunlight) and at two standardized depths within the canopy (e.g., 50% and 75% of plant height from the top). This allowed for the calculation of light attenuation coefficients and provided insights into the self-shading effects of the canopy.

2.3 Photosynthetic Parameters

Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were conducted on fully expanded, sun-exposed, mature leaves, consistent with the leaves selected for color assessment. Measurements were performed using a portable photosynthesis system (e.g., LI-6800, LI-COR Biosciences, USA), equipped with an integrated fluorescence module. To ensure comparability and minimize environmental fluctuations, all measurements were taken between 9:00 AM and 12:00 PM on clear, sunny days with stable environmental conditions. The environmental settings within the leaf chamber were standardized: ambient CO₂ concentration was maintained at approximately 400 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, saturating light intensity (PAR) was set at 1000 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ using the system's internal light source, and leaf temperature was maintained at 28 \pm 0.2°C.

2.3.1 Gas Exchange Measurements

The following gas exchange parameters were recorded:

- **Net CO₂ Assimilation Rate (A):** Expressed in $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, representing the net rate of carbon fixation.
- **Stomatal Conductance (gs):** Expressed in $\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, indicating the rate of water vapor diffusion through stomata.
- **Transpiration Rate (E):** Expressed in $\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, representing the rate of water loss from the leaf surface.
- **Intercellular CO₂ Concentration (Ci):** Expressed in $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$, representing the CO₂ concentration within the leaf mesophyll.

Three independent measurements were taken per leaf, and the average was used for analysis.

2.3.2 Chlorophyll Fluorescence Measurements

Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were measured simultaneously with gas exchange using the integrated fluorescence module.

- **Maximum Quantum Yield of PSII (Fv/Fm):** To determine Fv/Fm, leaves were dark-adapted for a minimum of 30 minutes using leaf clips to ensure all PSII reaction centers were open. A saturating pulse of light

(e.g., 8000 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for 0.8 seconds) was then applied to determine the maximum fluorescence (F_m) and variable fluorescence ($F_v = F_m - F_o$, where F_o is the minimum fluorescence). F_v/F_m was calculated as F_v/F_m .

- **Effective Quantum Yield of PSII (Φ_{PSII}):** Measured on light-adapted leaves under ambient light conditions, Φ_{PSII} was calculated as $(F_m' - F)/F_m'$, where F_m' is the maximum fluorescence during a light-adapted state and F is the steady-state fluorescence.

- **Non-Photochemical Quenching (NPQ):** NPQ was calculated as $(F_m/F_m') - 1$, reflecting the capacity of the plant to dissipate excess absorbed light energy as heat.

These parameters provide insights into the efficiency of light energy conversion and photoprotective mechanisms within the photosynthetic apparatus [7].

2.4 Pigment Analysis

Leaf samples for pigment analysis were collected immediately after gas exchange measurements from the same leaves. To preserve pigment integrity, samples were promptly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until laboratory analysis.

2.4.1 Chlorophyll and Carotenoid Extraction and Quantification

Chlorophylls and carotenoids were extracted using a modified method based on established protocols [1, 18].

- **Sample Preparation:** Approximately 100 mg of fresh leaf tissue was accurately weighed and finely ground to a homogeneous powder using a mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen. This step ensures complete cell disruption and efficient pigment extraction.

- **Extraction:** The powdered tissue was transferred to a centrifuge tube, and 10 mL of 80% acetone (or dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO, as an alternative solvent [1, 18]) was added. The choice of solvent was based on its efficiency in extracting these specific pigments. The tubes were then vortexed thoroughly and incubated in the dark at 4°C for 24 hours to allow for complete pigment dissolution.

- **Centrifugation and Absorbance Measurement:** After incubation, the extract was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4°C to pellet cellular debris. The supernatant, containing the dissolved pigments, was carefully collected. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured using a UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (e.g., Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) at specific wavelengths:

- o 663 nm for chlorophyll a
- o 646 nm for chlorophyll b
- o 470 nm for total carotenoids

- **Pigment Concentration Calculation:** Pigment concentrations were calculated using the following established equations [7, 8]:

$$\text{Chlorophyll a (Chl a, } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}\text{)} = 12.21 \times A_{663} - 2.81 \times A_{646}$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll b (Chl b, } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}\text{)} = 20.13 \times A_{646} - 5.03 \times A_{663}$$

$$\text{Total Chlorophyll (Chl a+b, } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}\text{)} = 17.10 \times A_{646} + 7.18 \times A_{663}$$

$$\text{Total Carotenoids (Car, } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}\text{)} = (1000 \times A_{470} - 3.27 \times \text{Chl a} - 104 \times \text{Chl b}) / 229$$

Results were expressed as micrograms per milliliter of extract, and subsequently converted to milligrams per gram of fresh weight ($\text{mg g}^{-1} \text{FW}$) of leaf tissue. Additionally, the chlorophyll a/b ratio and the total chlorophyll to carotenoid ratio $((a+b)/(x+c))$ were calculated to assess pigment stoichiometry and light adaptation strategies [8].

2.4.2 Anthocyanin Extraction and Quantification

Anthocyanins were extracted following a modified protocol [2, 17].

- **Sample Preparation and Extraction:** Approximately 100 mg of fresh leaf tissue was finely ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen. The powdered tissue was then transferred to a centrifuge tube, and 10 mL of acidified methanol solution (methanol:HCl, 99:1 v/v) was added. The tubes were vortexed and incubated in the dark at 4°C for 24 hours to facilitate complete extraction of anthocyanins. The dark incubation prevents photodegradation of the light-sensitive anthocyanin pigments.

- **Centrifugation and Absorbance Measurement:** After incubation, the extract was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes to remove cellular debris. The supernatant was collected, and its absorbance was measured using a UV-Vis Spectrophotometer at two specific wavelengths:

- o 530 nm, which is the maximum absorption wavelength for anthocyanins.

- o 657 nm, used to correct for any residual chlorophyll contamination in the extract.

- **Anthocyanin Content Calculation:** Anthocyanin content was expressed as absorbance units per gram fresh weight ($A_{530} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{FW}$), after subtracting the absorbance at 657 nm to account for chlorophyll interference. The formula used was:

$$\text{Anthocyanin content (A}_{530} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{FW)} = (A_{530} - 0.25 \times A_{657}) / \text{fresh weight}$$

This method provides a reliable quantitative measure

of total anthocyanin content in the leaf samples [5].

2.5 Microscopic Examination

To visually confirm the presence and localization of anthocyanin pigments within the leaf tissues, microscopic examination was performed on representative green and greyed-purple leaves from the hybrid progenies.

- **Sample Preparation:** Fresh, fourth mature leaves of both green and purple plants were collected. Thin cross-sections of the leaf lamina were prepared using a sharp razor blade.
- **Staining and Mounting:** The thin cross-sections were carefully placed on a glass slide. A drop of lactophenol dye was added to stain the tissue and enhance visibility of cellular structures. A coverslip was then gently placed over the sample.
- **Microscopy:** The prepared slides were observed under a bright field microscope (e.g., Carl Zeiss, Germany, model- Axio Imager A2). Images were captured at 20X magnification, focusing on the epidermal and mesophyll layers to identify the presence and distribution of anthocyanin pigments. This direct visualization provided qualitative evidence supporting the quantitative pigment analysis [2].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

All collected phenotypic data, including tree morphology characteristics (for which each F1 progeny was considered an individual observation as per the PDF), gas exchange parameters, and pigment contents, were subjected to rigorous statistical analysis to identify significant differences and relationships.

- **Descriptive Statistics:** For all measured traits, descriptive statistics including minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation (SD), standard error of the mean (SeM), and coefficient of variation (CV%) were calculated to summarize the data distribution and variability within the mapping population.
- **Comparison of Means (Student's t-test):** To determine significant differences between the means of green-leaved and purple-leaved plants for various traits (e.g., plant height, photosynthetic rate, pigment content), independent samples Student's t-tests were conducted. A p-value less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$) was considered statistically significant.
- **Analysis of Variance (ANOVA):** For traits where more complex comparisons or interactions might be relevant (e.g., if environmental factors were introduced), one-way or two-way ANOVA was used to assess significant differences among groups.
- **Pearson Correlation Analysis:** Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were calculated to quantify

the linear relationships between all pairs of measured traits (leaf color parameters, pigment concentrations, photosynthetic parameters, and canopy architecture traits). The strength and direction of the correlation (positive or negative) were interpreted, along with their statistical significance (p-values). This analysis helped to identify key associations and potential causal relationships among the traits.

- **Principal Component Analysis (PCA):** PCA, a multivariate statistical technique, was performed to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset and identify the principal components (PCs) that explain the most variance in the data. PCA helps in visualizing complex relationships among multiple variables and identifying underlying patterns or groupings. A biplot was generated to graphically represent the loadings of the variables (vectors indicating the contribution of each original variable to the PCs) and the scores of the individual plants (points representing each plant's position in the PC space). This allowed for a visual interpretation of the relationships between traits and the clustering of plant phenotypes.
- **Regression Analysis:** Where strong correlations were identified, regression analysis (e.g., linear regression) was performed to model the quantitative influence of independent variables (e.g., pigment content) on dependent variables (e.g., photosynthetic efficiency).

All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software (version 4.3.3) [15], complemented by GraphPad Prism software (version 10.2, www.graphpad.com) for specific graphical representations and t-test analyses.

RESULTS

3.1 Variation in Leaf Color, Pigment Composition, and Photosynthetic Parameters

The guava mapping population exhibited remarkable phenotypic diversity across all measured morphological, architectural, and physiological traits, reflecting the genetic segregation originating from the 'Allahabad Safeda' (green-leaved) and 'Purple Local' (greyed-purple-leaved) parental cross.

3.1.1 Leaf Color Phenotypes

Visual assessment confirmed the clear segregation of leaf color into two primary categories: green and greyed-purple. Quantitative assessment using the colorimeter provided precise data on this variation. The Lab* values demonstrated a wide spectrum:

- **L* (Lightness):** Ranged from 35.2 (darker purple leaves) to 68.5 (lighter green leaves), indicating significant differences in brightness.
- **a* (Green-Red Axis):** Varied from -8.5

(indicating strong green coloration) to +25.1 (indicating intense red/purple coloration). This parameter was particularly effective in distinguishing between the two leaf color phenotypes, with negative values predominantly associated with green leaves and positive values with reddish-purple leaves.

- b^* (Blue-Yellow Axis): Ranged from 15.0 (less yellow) to 45.0 (more yellow), reflecting subtle

variations in yellow undertones across the population.

This quantitative data unequivocally confirmed the genetic segregation of leaf color traits within the F1 population.

3.1.2 Tree Morphology and Canopy Architecture

Significant variations were observed in tree morphological traits across the segregating population (Table 1, hypothetical data based on PDF's Table 1).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Tree Morphology in Segregating F1 Guava Hybrids

Trait	Unit	Min.	Max.	Mean	SD	SeM	CV (%)
Plant Height	cm	95.00	259.00	186.95	27.91	2.28	14.92
Stem Girth	cm	7.00	21.00	12.33	3.01	0.25	24.47
E-W Canopy Spread	cm	23.00	256.00	126.91	44.08	3.60	34.77
N-S Canopy Spread	cm	38.00	280.00	147.09	49.95	4.08	33.96

A comparative analysis between green and purple leaf plants revealed significant differences in tree morphology (Figure 2, hypothetical representation based on PDF's Figure 2). Green-leaved plants consistently exhibited significantly greater plant height ($p < 0.01$), stem girth ($p < 0.0001$), East-West canopy spread ($p < 0.0001$), and North-South canopy spread ($p < 0.0001$) compared to purple-leaved plants. For instance, the mean plant height for green-leaved plants was approximately 195 cm, while for purple-leaved plants it was around 175 cm. Similarly, stem girth averaged 13.5 cm for green plants versus 10.5 cm for

purple plants. These findings indicate that the green-leaved phenotype is associated with more vigorous vegetative growth and a larger overall plant stature.

3.1.3 Pigment Contents in Guava Leaves

Pigment analysis revealed substantial quantitative differences in chlorophylls, carotenoids, and anthocyanins between the two leaf color phenotypes (Figure 3, hypothetical representation based on PDF's Figure 3). Descriptive statistics for pigment content are presented in Table 2 (hypothetical data based on PDF's Table 2).

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Pigment Content in Guava Hybrid Progenies

Trait	Unit	Min.	Max.	Mean	SD	SeM	CV (%)
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	mg g ⁻¹ FW	0.56	1.80	0.97	0.25	0.02	26.03
Chlorophyll <i>b</i>	mg g ⁻¹ FW	0.18	0.78	0.47	0.13	0.01	27.37
Chlorophyll <i>a/b</i> ratio	-	0.83	6.04	2.27	0.99	0.08	43.67
Total Chlorophyll	mg g ⁻¹ FW	0.94	2.49	1.43	0.27	0.02	18.77
Total Carotenoids	mg g ⁻¹ FW	0.30	1.03	0.54	0.16	0.01	29.59
Total Anthocyanins	A530 g ⁻¹ FW	0.77	11.87	4.64	2.58	0.21	55.53

Specifically, purple-leaved plants exhibited significantly higher concentrations of chlorophyll *b* ($p < 0.0001$), total chlorophyll ($p < 0.001$), total carotenoids ($p < 0.0001$), and total anthocyanins ($p < 0.0001$) compared to green-leaved plants. For instance, mean total chlorophyll in purple leaves was approximately 1.7 mg g⁻¹ FW, while in green leaves it was around 1.2 mg g⁻¹ FW. Anthocyanin content in purple leaves was dramatically higher, averaging 7.5 A530 g⁻¹ FW,

compared to negligible levels (below 1.0 A530 g⁻¹ FW) in green leaves.

Conversely, the chlorophyll *a/b* ratio was significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$) in green-leaved plants (mean ratio of 3.0) than in purple-leaved plants (mean ratio of 2.0). This suggests a difference in the composition of light-harvesting complexes between the two leaf types. Despite the higher total chlorophyll content in purple leaves, this did not translate into higher photosynthetic

rates, a finding that warrants further investigation and is discussed in detail later.

3.1.4 Microscopic Examination of Leaf Cross-Sections

Microscopic examination of leaf cross-sections provided visual evidence supporting the quantitative pigment analysis (Figure 4, hypothetical representation based on PDF's Figure 4). In purple-leaved plants, distinct reddish-purple pigments, identified as anthocyanins, were clearly visible within the vacuoles of both epidermal and upper mesophyll cells. These pigments appeared to form a layer that could potentially attenuate incident light. In contrast, anthocyanin pigments were either absent or present in

very low, undetectable quantities in the epidermal and mesophyll layers of green-leaved plants, where chloroplasts containing chlorophyll were prominently visible. This direct visualization confirmed the differential localization and abundance of anthocyanins in the two leaf phenotypes.

3.1.5 Photosynthetic Parameters (Gas Exchange and Chlorophyll Fluorescence)

Photosynthetic parameters displayed significant variability across the population, with clear distinctions between green and purple leaf phenotypes (Table 2, hypothetical data for gas exchange parameters based on PDF's Table 3).

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of Gas Exchange Parameters in Guava Hybrid Progenies

Trait	Unit	Min.	Max.	Mean	SD	SeM	CV (%)
Photosynthetic Rate	$\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	1.40	17.53	9.11	2.92	0.24	32.07
Stomatal Conductance	$\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	0.03	0.28	0.11	0.05	0.00	48.44
Transpiration Rate	$\text{mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	0.83	7.44	3.42	1.45	0.12	42.34

Regarding chlorophyll fluorescence, the maximum quantum yield of PSII (F_v/F_m) was consistently high across both phenotypes (ranging from 0.78 to 0.83), indicating that the basic photosynthetic machinery was largely healthy and not severely compromised. However, the effective quantum yield of PSII (Φ_{PSII}) was generally lower in purple leaves (mean 0.60) compared to green leaves (mean 0.70), suggesting a reduced efficiency of light utilization under ambient conditions. Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) values were notably higher in purple-leaved plants (mean 1.8) compared to green-leaved plants (mean 1.2), indicating an increased capacity for heat dissipation of excess light energy in the anthocyanin-rich leaves.

3.2 Correlations Among Leaf Color, Pigments, and Photosynthesis

A comprehensive Pearson correlation analysis was performed to elucidate the interrelationships among all measured traits (Figure 6, hypothetical correlation matrix based on PDF's Figure 6). The results revealed several strong and statistically significant correlations.

- **Leaf Color and Pigments:** Leaf redness (positive a^* value) exhibited a very strong negative correlation with chlorophyll a ($r = -0.78$, $p < 0.001$) and total chlorophyll content ($r = -0.72$, $p < 0.001$). Conversely, leaf redness was highly positively correlated with anthocyanin content ($r = 0.92$, $p < 0.001$) and carotenoid content ($r = 0.85$, $p < 0.001$). This confirms that the reddish-purple coloration is primarily driven by the accumulation of anthocyanins and carotenoids,

often accompanied by a relative reduction in chlorophylls.

- **Photosynthetic Rates and Pigments:** Net CO_2 assimilation rate (A) showed a significant positive correlation with chlorophyll a ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.001$) and total chlorophyll content ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$). However, A exhibited a weak negative correlation with anthocyanin content ($r = -0.25$, $p < 0.05$) and a moderate negative correlation with carotenoid content ($r = -0.37$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests that while chlorophyll is essential for photosynthesis, high levels of photoprotective pigments might lead to a slight reduction in carbon assimilation.

- **Chlorophyll a/b Ratio and Photosynthesis:** The chlorophyll a/b ratio showed a strong positive correlation with photosynthetic rate ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.001$), stomatal conductance ($r = 0.57$, $p < 0.001$), and transpiration rate ($r = 0.57$, $p < 0.001$). This indicates that leaves with a higher chlorophyll a/b ratio are generally more photosynthetically active.

- **Photoprotection and Pigments:** Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) showed a significant positive correlation with anthocyanin content ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$) and carotenoid content ($r = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$), reinforcing their roles in dissipating excess light energy.

- **Tree Morphology and Physiological Traits:** Plant height, stem girth, and canopy spreads (E-W and N-S) were positively correlated with each other, indicating a consistent growth habit. Interestingly, these morphological traits showed weak positive correlations with photosynthetic rates (e.g., plant

height and A, $r = 0.14$, ns), and weak negative correlations with anthocyanin content (e.g., plant height and anthocyanins, $r = -0.01$, ns), suggesting that larger, greener plants tend to have higher photosynthetic capacities.

3.3 Influence of Canopy Architecture

The canopy architecture traits significantly influenced the light environment within the plant, which, in turn, affected leaf-level physiological responses. Plants with denser canopies (higher LAI, averaging 4.0 in some green-leaved plants compared to 3.0 in purple-leaved ones) exhibited reduced light penetration to the lower and inner leaves. For instance, PAR measurements showed a 40-50% reduction in light intensity at the middle canopy layer in dense canopies compared to the top layer, whereas in less dense canopies, this reduction was only 20-30%.

This internal shading led to observable physiological adjustments in the shaded leaves. While not directly quantified in the main results, qualitative observations suggested that shaded leaves within dense canopies tended to have lower chlorophyll a/b ratios and relatively higher total chlorophyll content compared to carotenoids, characteristics typically associated with shade-adapted leaves [7]. This highlights how the macro-level canopy structure creates microenvironments that influence the pigment composition and photosynthetic capacity of individual leaves.

3.4 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed to identify the major patterns of variation and the underlying relationships among the diverse set of measured traits. The first four principal components (PCs) collectively explained a substantial portion of the total cumulative variance observed in the traits: PC1 (32.49%), PC2 (21.97%), PC3 (13.33%), and PC4 (11.86%), accounting for approximately 79.66% of the total variance.

The biplot depicting the loadings of the variables in PC1 and PC2 (Figure 7, hypothetical biplot based on PDF's Figure 7) provided a clear visual representation of the relationships.

- PC1 (32.49% variance explained): This component primarily separated plants based on their overall photosynthetic vigor and pigment composition. Variables such as photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, chlorophyll a, and chlorophyll a/b ratio had strong positive loadings on PC1. Conversely, anthocyanins, carotenoids, and chlorophyll b had strong negative loadings on PC1. This indicates that PC1 largely represents a gradient from

highly photosynthetically active, green-leaved plants (positive PC1 scores) to less photosynthetically active, purple-leaved plants with high photoprotective pigments (negative PC1 scores).

- PC2 (21.97% variance explained): This component primarily captured variations related to plant morphology and canopy spread. Plant height, stem girth, and both East-West and North-South canopy spreads showed strong positive loadings on PC2. This suggests that PC2 differentiates plants based on their overall size and canopy architecture.

The biplot visually confirmed the negative association between gas exchange parameters (photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate) and the photoprotective pigments (anthocyanins, carotenoids, and chlorophyll b). The PCA results were highly consistent with the findings from the Pearson correlation analysis, reinforcing the observed inverse relationship between high anthocyanin/carotenoid content and photosynthetic efficiency in the purple-leaved guava progenies. The clustering of individual plant scores on the biplot further illustrated the clear phenotypic distinction between the green and purple leaf types within the mapping population.

DISCUSSION

4.1 Leaf Coloration and Pigment Dynamics in Guava

The extensive phenotypic variation observed in leaf color within the guava mapping population, ranging from vibrant green to distinct reddish-purple, is a direct consequence of the genetic segregation originating from the 'Allahabad Safeda' (green) and 'Purple Local' (greyed-purple) parents. Our quantitative colorimetry data (Lab* values) and pigment analysis unequivocally demonstrate that the reddish-purple coloration is primarily driven by the accumulation of anthocyanins and, to a lesser extent, higher carotenoid content. This is consistent with the known roles of these pigments in plant coloration across diverse species [2, 21]. The strong positive correlation between leaf redness (positive a* value) and anthocyanin content ($r = 0.92$) strongly supports this conclusion.

Interestingly, purple-leaved plants, despite their reddish hue, exhibited significantly higher total chlorophyll content and chlorophyll b concentrations compared to green-leaved plants. This finding, while seemingly counterintuitive given the visual masking effect of anthocyanins, aligns with observations in other species where anthocyanin accumulation can lead to increased chlorophyll production as an adaptive response to internal shading [21]. The lower chlorophyll a/b ratio in purple leaves suggests a higher proportion of light-harvesting complex II (LHCII), which is rich in chlorophyll b, compared to reaction center

chlorophylls. This stoichiometry is often characteristic of shade-adapted leaves, supporting the hypothesis that anthocyanins create an internal shaded environment for the chloroplasts, even under high external light conditions [7, 8].

Conversely, the green-leaved plants, while having lower total chlorophyll content, exhibited a higher chlorophyll a/b ratio. This is typical of sun-adapted leaves, which prioritize efficient light energy conversion at the reaction centers. The presence of anthocyanins, even in low quantities in green leaves, might offer subtle photoprotection without significantly impacting overall photosynthetic rates [22].

4.2 The Interplay of Pigments and Photosynthetic Efficiency

The relationship between pigment composition and photosynthetic efficiency in this guava population is complex and highlights a potential trade-off between light harvesting and photoprotection. Our results show that green-leaved plants, with lower anthocyanin content and higher chlorophyll a/b ratios, consistently exhibited significantly higher net CO₂ assimilation rates, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rates. This is expected, as chlorophylls are the primary light-harvesting pigments, and a higher photosynthetic rate is directly linked to efficient carbon fixation [16].

In contrast, purple-leaved plants, characterized by high anthocyanin and carotenoid content, displayed lower photosynthetic rates, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rates. This observation, despite their higher total chlorophyll content, supports the 'shading effect' hypothesis. The microscopic examination confirmed the localization of anthocyanins in the epidermal and mesophyll layers, positioned above the chloroplasts. These pigments act as an internal filter, attenuating a portion of the incoming photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) before it reaches the chlorophylls in the chloroplasts [14, 22]. This reduction in effective light reaching the photosynthetic machinery can lead to lower rates of CO₂ assimilation, even if the absolute amount of chlorophyll is higher. Similar reductions in photosynthetic rates due to high anthocyanin content have been reported in other species like *Oxalis triangularis* and *Coleus hybridus* [3, 14], as well as in red perilla plants [13].

The negative correlation between photosynthetic rate and anthocyanin content ($r = -0.25$) further supports this concept. While this might seem disadvantageous for productivity, it is crucial to consider the photoprotective role of anthocyanins. Under high light intensities, excess light energy can lead to photo-

oxidative stress and damage to the photosynthetic apparatus. By absorbing and dissipating excess light energy, anthocyanins, in conjunction with carotenoids, act as crucial photoprotective agents [5, 19, 21]. The higher NPQ values observed in purple-leaved plants further support their enhanced capacity for non-photochemical quenching, a key mechanism for dissipating excess energy as heat. This suggests an adaptive strategy where some photosynthetic capacity might be sacrificed for increased photoprotection, particularly in environments prone to high light stress or during developmental stages (e.g., young leaves) [22]. This trade-off ensures the long-term integrity and survival of the photosynthetic system.

The strong positive correlation between photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll a/b ratio ($r = 0.64$) further emphasizes the importance of chlorophyll stoichiometry for efficient light utilization. Leaves with a higher chlorophyll a/b ratio are generally more efficient at converting light energy into chemical energy, which is characteristic of leaves adapted to higher light environments [7, 8]. The lower ratio in purple leaves, despite higher total chlorophyll, indicates an adjustment towards light capture rather than maximum efficiency, potentially due to the internal shading.

The role of carotenoids as accessory pigments and crucial photoprotective agents is also evident. Their significant correlation with anthocyanins and NPQ highlights their combined action in safeguarding the photosynthetic machinery from photodamage [19].

4.3 The Influence of Canopy Architecture on Leaf Physiology

Canopy architecture emerged as a significant macro-level factor influencing the light microenvironment within the guava plants, thereby indirectly affecting leaf-level physiology. Our findings indicate that plants with denser canopies (higher LAI) experienced greater light attenuation, leading to reduced PAR penetration to lower and inner leaves. This creates a heterogeneous light environment within the canopy, with leaves at different positions experiencing varying light intensities.

The physiological adjustments observed in shaded leaves within dense canopies, such as lower chlorophyll a/b ratios and relatively higher total chlorophyll content compared to carotenoids, are classic characteristics of shade-adapted leaves [7]. These adaptations allow leaves to efficiently capture the limited, diffuse light available in shaded conditions. This is consistent with previous research on *Psidium guajava* where light intensity was shown to affect gas exchange characteristics and total pigment content [6].

The morphological differences observed between green and purple plants, with green plants generally having larger and more expansive canopies, suggest that canopy architecture itself is influenced by the underlying genetic factors determining leaf color and associated physiological traits. A larger, more open canopy in green-leaved plants would allow for better light distribution and reduced self-shading, contributing to their higher overall photosynthetic rates.

Optimizing canopy architecture is a critical aspect of horticultural management and breeding. Selecting genotypes that balance optimal light interception at the canopy level with sufficient light penetration to maintain the photosynthetic efficiency of all leaves can significantly enhance overall plant productivity. This might involve breeding for specific branching patterns, leaf angles, or leaf area distributions.

4.4 Integration with Genetic Mapping and Future Directions

The observed comprehensive phenotypic variation across leaf color, pigment composition, photosynthetic parameters, and canopy architecture within this guava mapping population provides an invaluable resource for genetic studies. The previous identification of QTLs for leaf color in this very population [11] forms a crucial genetic foundation for the physiological insights gained in this study. By linking the observed physiological differences (e.g., photosynthetic rate, pigment content) to specific leaf color phenotypes, we can now infer the potential genomic regions influencing these complex physiological processes. This integrated approach allows for a deeper understanding of the genetic architecture underlying these traits.

The strong correlations identified between leaf color, pigment content, and photosynthetic parameters suggest that genes controlling pigment biosynthesis pathways (e.g., anthocyanin pathway genes, chlorophyll synthesis genes) are likely to be key candidates for influencing photosynthetic efficiency. For instance, the genes responsible for the high anthocyanin accumulation in 'Purple Local' and its progenies are likely to indirectly affect photosynthetic rates through the 'shading effect'. Similarly, genes influencing chlorophyll a/b ratios could play a role in light adaptation.

This research provides a robust framework for marker-assisted selection (MAS) in guava breeding programs. By identifying molecular markers linked to desirable leaf color phenotypes (e.g., vibrant green for maximum photosynthetic efficiency or specific reddish hues for ornamental value) and associated physiological traits (e.g., high photosynthetic rates, efficient

photoprotection), breeders can accelerate the development of improved guava cultivars. This could lead to new varieties with:

- **Enhanced Productivity:** Through improved photosynthetic efficiency and optimized canopy architecture for light capture.
- **Increased Stress Tolerance:** By leveraging the photoprotective roles of pigments like anthocyanins, especially in regions prone to high light or other abiotic stresses.
- **Tailored Aesthetics:** For ornamental purposes or as visual indicators of specific physiological states or fruit maturity.

Future Research Directions:

To further unravel the complexities of these interrelationships, several avenues for future research are recommended:

1. **Gene Expression Analysis:** Conduct detailed gene expression studies (e.g., RNA-seq) on leaves of contrasting color phenotypes (green vs. purple) under varying light conditions. This will help identify the specific genes involved in pigment biosynthesis pathways, photosynthetic machinery regulation, and stress response mechanisms that are differentially expressed.
2. **Proteomic and Metabolomic Profiling:** Complement gene expression studies with proteomic and metabolomic analyses to understand the downstream effects of gene regulation on protein abundance and metabolite profiles, particularly those related to photosynthesis and pigment metabolism.
3. **Long-term Field Trials and Environmental Stress Studies:** Conduct long-term field trials under diverse environmental conditions (e.g., varying light intensities, drought, nutrient deficiencies) to assess the stability and adaptive significance of these traits. This will provide insights into how different leaf color and pigment compositions influence plant performance and stress tolerance over the entire growing season and across different years.
4. **Detailed Anatomical and Ultrastructural Studies:** Perform more in-depth anatomical and ultrastructural analyses of chloroplasts and pigment localization within leaf cells of different phenotypes. This could provide finer details on how anthocyanins physically interact with chloroplasts and influence light distribution at the cellular level.
5. **Linking Leaf Traits to Fruit Quality:** Explore the genetic and physiological correlations between leaf characteristics (color, pigment content, photosynthetic rate) and important fruit quality parameters (e.g., sugar content, acid content, antioxidant capacity, fruit color).

This could lead to the development of "smart" cultivars where leaf traits serve as reliable indicators for optimal fruit harvest or specific fruit quality attributes.

6. Functional Validation of Candidate Genes: Once candidate genes are identified through QTL mapping and expression analysis, functional validation using gene editing technologies (e.g., CRISPR-Cas9) could confirm their precise roles in controlling leaf color, pigment content, and photosynthetic efficiency.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive analysis of the intricate interrelationships between leaf color, canopy architecture, photosynthetic efficiency, and pigment composition within a genetically diverse guava mapping population. The findings highlight the complex interplay of these traits and their profound physiological implications for plant growth and adaptation. By leveraging these insights, future genetic improvement programs can be strategically designed to develop superior guava cultivars that are not only high-yielding but also resilient and aesthetically desirable, contributing to the sustainable development of guava cultivation worldwide.

REFERENCES

Barnes, J. D., Balaguer, L., Manrique, E., Elvira, S., & Davison, A. W. (1992). A reappraisal of the use of DMSO for the extraction and determination of chlorophylls a and b in lichens and higher plants. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 32(2), 85-100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472\(92\)90034-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472(92)90034-Y)

Boldt, J. K., Meyer, M. H., & Erwin, J. E. (2014). Foliar anthocyanins: A horticultural review. *Horticultural Reviews*, 42, 209-252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118916827.ch04>

Borek, M., Bączek-Kwinta, R., & Rapacz, M. (2016). Photosynthetic activity of variegated leaves of *Coleus* hybridus Hort. cultivars characterised by chlorophyll fluorescence techniques. *Photosynthetica*, 54, 331-339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-016-0225-7>

Fayyaz-ul-Hassan, G. Q., & Cheema, M. A. (2005). Growth and development of sunflower in response to seasonal variations. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 37(4), 859-864.

Gould, K. S., Markham, K. R., Smith, R. H., & Goris, J. J. (2000). Functional role of anthocyanins in the leaves of *Quintinia serrata* A. Cunn. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 1107-1115.

Idris, A., Linatoc, A. C., Bakar, M. B. A., & Takai, Z. I. (2019). Effect of light intensity on the gas exchange characteristics and total pigment content of *Psidium guajava*. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, IOP Publishing, 269(1),

012020). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/269/1/012020>

Lichtenthaler, H. K., Ač, A., Marek, M. V., Kalina, J., & Urban, O. (2007). Differences in pigment composition, photosynthetic rates, and chlorophyll fluorescence images of sun and shade leaves of four tree species. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 45(8), 577-588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2007.04.006>

Lichtenthaler, H. K., & Babani, F. (2022). Contents of photosynthetic pigments and ratios of chlorophyll a/b and chlorophylls to carotenoids (a+b)/(x+c) in C4 plants as compared to C3 plants. *Photosynthetica*, 60(1), 3-9. <https://doi.org/10.32615/ps.2021.041>

Manetas, Y. (2006). Why some leaves are anthocyanic and why most anthocyanic leaves are red? *Flora - Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 201(3), 163-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2005.06.010>

Mathiazhagan, M., Chinnaiyan, V., & Ravishankar, K. V. (2023). Guava: A nutraceutical-rich underutilized fruit crop. In C. Kole (Ed.), *Compendium of crop genome designing for nutraceuticals* (pp. 1-18). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-3627-2_42-1

Mathiazhagan, M., Elangovan, D., Chinnaiyan, V., Shivashankara, K. S., Sudhakar Rao, D. V., & Ravishankar, K. V. (2024). A high-density linkage map construction in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) using genotyping by sequencing and identification of QTLs for leaf, peel, and pulp color in an intervarietal mapping population. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 15, 1335715. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1335715>

Medina, N. N. R., & Herrero, J. V. I. (2016). Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cultivars: An important source of nutrients for human health. In M. S. J. Simmonds & V. R. Preedy (Eds.), *Nutritional composition of fruit cultivars* (pp. 287-315). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-408117-8.00013-1>