

A Nanoparticle-Mediated Framework for Optimizing Seed Germination and Crop Growth in Sustainable Agriculture

Dr. Nguyen Minh Anh

Faculty of Agronomy, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Hanoi, Vietnam

Dr. Tran Thi Lan

Department of Horticultural Sciences, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Received: 07 August 2026; **Accepted:** 05 September 2026; **Published:** 01 October 2026

Abstract: Nanotechnology has emerged as a promising approach for improving agricultural productivity through targeted delivery of nutrients, modulation of plant physiological processes, and enhancement of tolerance to environmental stresses. This review-research paper develops a nanoparticle-mediated framework for optimizing seed germination and subsequent crop growth by synthesizing evidence from the provided literature on zinc, zinc oxide, silicon, silica, copper, silver, and metallic oxide nanoparticles. The proposed framework conceptually connects nanoparticle selection, application strategy, seed germination response, physiological regulation, nutrient utilization, stress tolerance, vegetative development, and yield-related outcomes. The literature indicates that nanoparticle responses are strongly dependent on particle composition, concentration, application route, crop species, and environmental conditions. Zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles demonstrate potential for improving nutrient uptake, growth, quality, and stress adaptation, while silicon- and silica-based nanoparticles are particularly relevant to abiotic stress management. Copper nanoparticles have demonstrated relevance to broccoli growth and yield, whereas metallic oxide nanoparticles have shown effects on tomato and eggplant development. At the same time, evidence concerning silver nanoparticles highlights the possibility of phytotoxic effects, emphasizing the importance of dose optimization and risk assessment. Based exclusively on the supplied studies, the framework positions nanoparticle application as a context-dependent optimization problem rather than a universally beneficial intervention. The proposed model provides a structured basis for integrating germination performance, physiological indicators, nutrient dynamics, stress responses, and productivity into sustainable nanoparticle-assisted agricultural management.

Keywords: Nanoparticles, Seed Germination, Sustainable Agriculture, Nanofertilizers, Crop Growth, Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles, Plant Stress Tolerance, Nutrient Uptake, Nanotechnology, Agricultural Optimization.

1. Introduction:

Agricultural production increasingly requires approaches capable of improving crop productivity while maintaining efficient resource utilization and reducing vulnerability to environmental stress. Nanotechnology has attracted attention in this context because nanoparticles can interact with plants at

physiological and biochemical levels and may facilitate more targeted delivery or regulation of essential elements. Applications of nanotechnology in agriculture encompass nutrient management, crop growth enhancement, stress mitigation, and productivity improvement, establishing a technological basis for nanoparticle-mediated agricultural interventions (Chhipa, 2019; Elizabeth et al., 2019).

Seed germination represents a particularly important stage for nanoparticle application because early physiological development determines the establishment and subsequent growth potential of a crop. However, nanoparticle effects cannot be interpreted solely in terms of whether an application produces a positive or negative response. The response is influenced by nanoparticle composition, application method, crop genotype, environmental stress, and dose. The phytotoxicity assessment of silver nanoparticles, for example, demonstrates that nanoparticles can adversely affect seed germination and plant growth, indicating that nanotechnology must be approached through controlled optimization rather than indiscriminate application (Budhani et al., 2019).

The agricultural relevance of nanoparticles is also demonstrated through crop-specific investigations. Zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles have been associated with changes in growth, yield, nutrient uptake, and quality in tomato, while silicon and silica-based materials have demonstrated potential under salinity and water-deficit conditions (Ahmed et al., 2023; Almutairi, 2016; Alsaeedi et al., 2019). Similarly, copper nanoparticle application has been examined in relation to broccoli growth characteristics and yield (Abd Al-Shammari & Abbas, 2023). These findings collectively suggest that nanoparticles can influence multiple stages of plant development rather than functioning exclusively as nutrient supplements.

The central problem addressed in this paper is therefore the absence of a unified conceptual structure connecting nanoparticle characteristics and application decisions with germination, physiological development, stress adaptation, and productivity outcomes. The objective is to develop a nanoparticle-mediated framework capable of organizing these relationships into an integrated optimization model for sustainable agriculture. The scope is limited strictly to the studies supplied by the author, with emphasis on seed germination, vegetable crops, nutrient utilization, physiological responses, abiotic stress, and crop productivity.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The supplied literature establishes nanotechnology as a multidimensional agricultural intervention. Ali et al. (2018) characterize the broader perspectives of nanotechnology in agriculture, while Chhipa (2019) and Elizabeth et al. (2019) describe its applications across agricultural systems. Babu et al. (2022) further position nanofertilizers within the context of agricultural and environmental sustainability. Collectively, these studies provide the theoretical foundation for considering nanoparticles not simply as conventional

fertilizers in smaller dimensions but as components of a technologically mediated nutrient and crop-management system.

Evidence concerning nutrient-oriented applications demonstrates substantial potential. Ahmed et al. (2023) examined foliar zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles in tomato and linked their application with growth, yield, nutrient uptake, and quality. This is important for the proposed framework because it demonstrates that nanoparticle application can be evaluated through multiple outcome dimensions simultaneously. Similarly, Davarpanah et al. (2016) investigated zinc and boron nano-fertilizers in pomegranate and focused on fruit yield and quality. These studies support the proposition that nanoparticle-mediated interventions should be evaluated using both physiological and productivity indicators rather than a single growth variable.

Silicon-based nanoparticles provide another important dimension of the literature. Almutairi (2016) examined nano-silicon in germinating tomato seedlings under salt stress, directly connecting nanoparticle treatment with germination-stage responses and salt-tolerance mechanisms. Alsaeedi et al. (2019) extended the relevance of silica nanoparticles to cucumber under water-deficit and salinity stresses, reporting relationships involving growth, productivity, and nutrient balance. These studies support a framework in which nanoparticle treatment is connected to both early establishment and later stress-adaptive performance.

Zinc oxide nanoparticles have also received attention in stress physiology. Anwar et al. (2023) investigated ZnO nanoparticles and melatonin in *Solanum melongena* under salinity stress, emphasizing physiological adjustments and growth. The study is particularly relevant to the proposed framework because it illustrates the interaction between nanoparticle treatment and stress-associated physiological regulation rather than treating crop growth as an isolated outcome. The importance of physiological adjustment suggests that successful optimization should include intermediate indicators between nanoparticle application and final yield (Anwar et al., 2023).

Further evidence from eggplant demonstrates that nanoparticle effects may extend into physiological and metabolic processes. Baskar et al. (2018) assessed metal oxide nanoparticles in in vitro-grown eggplant and examined growth, physiology, and metabolic responses. Elmer and White (2016) similarly investigated metallic oxide nanoparticles in tomato and eggplant under disease-infested soil or soilless

conditions. Together, these studies indicate that nanoparticle-mediated responses can depend strongly on the production environment and biological condition of the crop.

The literature also demonstrates that nanoparticle effects are not uniformly beneficial. Budhani et al. (2019) specifically examined the phytotoxic effects of silver nanoparticles on seed germination and terrestrial plant growth. This finding is theoretically significant because it introduces a risk dimension into the optimization problem. A nanoparticle formulation that improves one physiological parameter at a particular concentration may produce undesirable effects at another concentration or in another crop. Therefore, an effective framework must simultaneously consider efficacy and phytotoxicity.

Copper nanoparticles provide an additional crop-specific example. Abd Al-Shammari and Abbas (2023) examined their influence on broccoli growth characteristics and yield. This supports the broader proposition that nanoparticles may be integrated into crop-specific management strategies, although the transferability of results from one vegetable species to another cannot be assumed.

The literature therefore reveals a research gap in integration. Individual studies have investigated specific nanoparticles, crops, application strategies, stress conditions, or outcomes, but the supplied evidence does not itself provide a unified framework that systematically connects these dimensions. The proposed model addresses this gap by positioning nanoparticle selection, application optimization, physiological response, stress tolerance, growth, and productivity as interconnected components.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design and Theoretical Orientation

This study adopts a structured analytical review and framework-development methodology based exclusively on the fifteen references supplied. It does not claim to generate new experimental measurements. Instead, the methodology extracts recurring relationships from the literature and organizes them into a conceptual nanoparticle-mediated agricultural optimization framework.

The theoretical foundation combines three related principles: targeted nutrient and element management, physiological regulation, and stress-adaptive crop development. Under this orientation, nanoparticle application is treated as an intervention whose effectiveness depends on the interaction between nanoparticle properties, crop characteristics, environmental conditions, and application parameters.

3.2 Framework Architecture

The proposed framework contains six interconnected layers:

Input layer → Application layer → Germination layer → Physiological response layer → Growth and productivity layer → Sustainability and risk layer.

The input layer identifies the nanoparticle type, crop species, growth environment, and principal agricultural constraint. Nanoparticles represented in the literature include zinc, zinc oxide, silicon, silica, copper, silver, and other metallic oxides.

The application layer considers the route and timing of nanoparticle delivery. The supplied literature demonstrates foliar application, seed/germination-stage exposure, and applications within soil or soilless systems. Because responses vary across application contexts, the framework treats delivery strategy as a controllable variable rather than a fixed condition.

The germination layer evaluates early establishment through germination performance and seedling development. Nano-silicon research in germinating tomato under salt stress provides a direct example of this stage (Almutairi, 2016). The framework proposes that germination should be assessed before interpreting later crop-growth effects because poor establishment can constrain subsequent productivity.

The physiological response layer includes nutrient uptake, physiological adjustment, metabolic response, and stress tolerance. This layer is supported particularly by studies of zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles, silica nanoparticles, and metallic oxide nanoparticles. In *Solanum melongena*, ZnO nanoparticles were examined in relation to physiological adjustments and growth under salinity stress, demonstrating the importance of considering physiological processes as intermediate mechanisms (Anwar et al., 2023).

The growth and productivity layer translates physiological improvements into observable agricultural outcomes, including vegetative growth, yield, fruit quality, and nutrient-related characteristics. Evidence from tomato, cucumber, pomegranate, broccoli, and eggplant supports the inclusion of these variables (Abd Al-Shammari & Abbas, 2023; Ahmed et al., 2023; Alsaeedi et al., 2019; Davarpanah et al., 2016).

Finally, the sustainability and risk layer evaluates whether the intervention produces beneficial outcomes without unacceptable phytotoxicity or inefficient resource use. The evidence concerning silver nanoparticles makes this layer essential (Budhani et al., 2019).

3.3 Optimization Logic

The framework can be represented conceptually as:

Optimal nanoparticle strategy = $f(\text{nanoparticle type, dose, application route, crop, developmental stage, environmental stress, physiological response, yield, and phytotoxicity})$.

The model does not assume that maximum nanoparticle exposure produces maximum agricultural benefit. Instead, optimization occurs when the selected intervention produces a favorable balance between crop performance and risk.

For example, under saline conditions, a silicon- or ZnO-based intervention may be assessed primarily through germination, nutrient balance, physiological adjustment, and growth. Evidence from cucumber and eggplant supports such a stress-specific approach (Alsaeedi et al., 2019; Anwar et al., 2023). Conversely, a silver nanoparticle treatment should require stronger toxicity monitoring because germination and growth inhibition may become significant considerations (Budhani et al., 2019).

3.4 Analytical Evaluation Criteria

The framework evaluates nanoparticle interventions across four principal dimensions: establishment, physiological efficiency, productivity, and risk. Establishment concerns germination and seedling development. Physiological efficiency incorporates nutrient uptake and metabolic or stress-related responses. Productivity includes growth, yield, and quality. Risk incorporates phytotoxicity and the possibility that nanoparticle exposure may generate adverse biological responses.

This multidimensional approach prevents an intervention from being classified as successful solely because it improves one measured characteristic. A nanoparticle strategy that increases vegetative growth but compromises germination or generates phytotoxicity would not represent an optimized sustainable solution.

3.5 Hypothetical Application

Consider tomato cultivated under moderate salt stress. The framework would first identify the stress condition and developmental stage, followed by selection of a nanoparticle strategy supported by relevant evidence. Nano-silicon may be considered during germination because its application has been investigated in salt-stressed tomato seedlings (Almutairi, 2016). During later development, zinc or zinc oxide nanoparticles could be evaluated through nutrient uptake, growth, yield, and quality indicators, as demonstrated in tomato research (Ahmed et al., 2023). The resulting assessment would not simply ask whether the treatment increased growth; it would determine

whether germination, physiological performance, nutrient status, productivity, and risk collectively improved.

4. RESULTS

The synthesis of the supplied literature identifies a consistent but conditional relationship between nanoparticle application and agricultural performance. The first major finding is that nanoparticle effects are strongly crop- and context-dependent. Evidence spans tomato, cucumber, broccoli, pomegranate, and eggplant, with different nanoparticles and application strategies producing different response profiles (Abd Al-Shammari & Abbas, 2023; Ahmed et al., 2023; Alsaeedi et al., 2019; Davarpanah et al., 2016).

The second finding concerns the importance of physiological mechanisms. Studies do not restrict nanoparticle outcomes to final yield; they also investigate nutrient uptake, physiological adjustment, metabolic responses, and stress tolerance. The ZnO nanoparticle study involving *Solanum melongena* under salinity stress is particularly relevant because physiological adjustment and growth are examined together, supporting the framework's intermediate-response layer (Anwar et al., 2023). Similarly, metal oxide nanoparticle research in eggplant considers growth alongside physiological and metabolic responses (Baskar et al., 2018).

The third finding is that nanoparticles have particular relevance to stress-management strategies. Nano-silicon has been examined during tomato germination under salt stress, while silica nanoparticles have been associated with cucumber growth and productivity under water deficit and salinity (Almutairi, 2016; Alsaeedi et al., 2019). ZnO nanoparticles have likewise been investigated under salinity stress in eggplant (Anwar et al., 2023). This convergence suggests that nanoparticle-mediated agriculture may be especially valuable where conventional crop productivity is constrained by environmental stress.

A fourth finding is the importance of nutrient and productivity outcomes. Zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles have been evaluated for their influence on tomato growth, yield, nutrient uptake, and quality, while zinc and boron nano-fertilizers have been investigated in relation to pomegranate fruit yield and quality (Ahmed et al., 2023; Davarpanah et al., 2016). Copper nanoparticles have similarly been studied in relation to broccoli growth and yield (Abd Al-Shammari & Abbas, 2023).

The final finding is that optimization must incorporate biological risk. Silver nanoparticles have demonstrated phytotoxic potential in relation to seed germination and terrestrial plant growth (Budhani et al., 2019).

Consequently, the framework identifies a non-linear response principle: nanoparticle application should be optimized within an effective range rather than maximized. The literature therefore supports a framework based on balanced performance, in which improved establishment, physiological function, productivity, and sustainability are evaluated jointly.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings position nanoparticle-mediated agriculture as a systems-level intervention rather than a simple extension of conventional fertilization. The proposed framework integrates evidence from studies that vary considerably in crop, nanoparticle composition, environmental conditions, and outcome measures. Its principal theoretical contribution is therefore the organization of these heterogeneous findings into a sequential causal structure connecting application decisions with biological and agricultural outcomes.

A central implication is that nanoparticle selection should be aligned with the agricultural constraint. Where salinity or water deficit represents the principal limitation, silicon, silica, or ZnO-based approaches may be considered because the supplied literature specifically connects these materials with stress-related physiological or productivity outcomes (Almutairi, 2016; Alsaedi et al., 2019; Anwar et al., 2023). Where nutrient deficiency or productivity is the primary concern, zinc- or boron-containing nanofertilizers may be more directly relevant (Ahmed et al., 2023; Davarpanah et al., 2016).

The framework also highlights the distinction between direct and indirect outcomes. A nanoparticle may not improve yield through a single mechanism. Instead, enhanced nutrient uptake or physiological adjustment may improve plant development, which subsequently contributes to productivity. The literature on eggplant and tomato supports this multistage interpretation (Baskar et al., 2018; Elmer & White, 2016). Such a model is more analytically appropriate than treating yield as an isolated response variable.

The interaction between efficacy and risk represents another important theoretical consideration. The positive agricultural effects reported for several nanoparticle types should not be interpreted as evidence of universal safety. Silver nanoparticle research demonstrates that nanoparticle exposure can produce phytotoxic consequences for germination and plant growth (Budhani et al., 2019). Thus, the proposed framework incorporates risk as a parallel outcome rather than an afterthought.

The findings also reveal important limitations. The supplied studies differ in experimental conditions, crop

species, nanoparticle formulations, and measurement approaches, limiting direct quantitative comparison. Consequently, the proposed framework is conceptual rather than a statistically validated predictive model. It can organize decision variables and outcomes, but validation would require standardized experiments involving controlled concentrations, particle characteristics, application timing, environmental conditions, and common response indicators.

Another limitation is the incomplete evidence base concerning long-term sustainability. Although nanofertilizers and agricultural nanotechnology are explicitly associated with sustainability in the literature (Babu et al., 2022; Chhipa, 2019), the supplied references do not provide sufficient evidence to establish universal long-term ecological outcomes. Future research should therefore validate the framework through multi-season experiments and standardized risk assessment.

Overall, the framework's practical value lies in encouraging researchers and agricultural practitioners to evaluate nanoparticle interventions holistically. A successful treatment should simultaneously improve establishment or growth, support physiological efficiency, contribute to productivity, and remain within an acceptable phytotoxicity range. The evidence involving ZnO nanoparticles and physiological adjustment under salinity particularly reinforces the importance of this integrated perspective (Anwar et al., 2023).

6. CONCLUSION

This study developed a nanoparticle-mediated framework for optimizing seed germination and crop growth in sustainable agriculture using only the supplied literature. The synthesis demonstrates that nanoparticles can influence several interconnected dimensions of crop performance, including germination, nutrient uptake, physiological regulation, stress tolerance, vegetative growth, yield, and quality. However, the direction and magnitude of these effects are dependent on nanoparticle type, crop, developmental stage, environmental conditions, and application strategy.

The proposed framework contributes a structured model in which nanoparticle selection is connected sequentially with application, germination, physiological response, crop growth, productivity, and sustainability-risk assessment. The literature on nano-silicon, silica, zinc oxide, zinc, copper, and metallic oxides supports the relevance of this multidimensional approach, while evidence of silver nanoparticle phytotoxicity demonstrates why optimization must incorporate risk rather than focus exclusively on

productivity.

Future research should experimentally validate the framework through standardized dose-response studies, crop-specific optimization, comparative application methods, and multi-season assessment. Particular attention should be given to identifying effective nanoparticle ranges that maximize agricultural benefits while minimizing phytotoxic effects. Such research could transform the conceptual framework into a quantitative decision-support model for precision and sustainable nanoparticle-assisted agriculture.

REFERENCES

1. Abd Al-Shammari, A. M., & Abbas, D. K. (2023). Response of Growth Characteristics and Yield of Broccoli Hybrids to Foliar Spraying with Copper Nanoparticles. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 1158, No. 4, p. 042064). IOP Publishing.
2. Ahmed, R., Uddin, M. K., Quddus, M. A., Samad, M. Y. A., Hossain, M. M., & Haque, A. N. A. (2023). Impact of foliar application of zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of tomato. *Horticulturae*, 9(2), 162.
3. Ahmed, S., Fatima, M., Ansari, M., Baba, S., Sardar, R., Ahmad, M. N., ... & Zaman, N. (2023). Evaluation of the curative effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles on *Solanum melongena* L. under fluoride stress. *Fluoride*, 56.
4. Ali, S., Shafique, O., Mahmood, T., Hanif, M. A., Ahmed, I., & Khan, B. A. (2018). A review about perspectives of nanotechnology in agriculture. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 31(2), 116-121.
5. Almutairi, Z. M. (2016). Effect of nano-silicon application on the expression of salt tolerance genes in germinating tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) seedlings under salt stress. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 9(1), 106–114.
6. Alsaedi, A., El-Ramady, H., Alshaal, T., El-Garawany, M., Elhawat, N., & Al-Otaibi, A. (2019). Silica nanoparticles boost growth and productivity of cucumber under water deficit and salinity stresses by balancing nutrients uptake. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 139, 1-10.
7. Anwar, T., Qureshi, H., Fatimah, H., Siddiqi, E. H., Anwaar, S., Moussa, I. M., & Adil, M. F. (2023). Elucidating effect of ZnO-Nanoparticles and melatonin on physiological adjustments and growth of *Solanum melongena* under salinity stress. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 322, 112455.
8. Babu, S., Singh, R., Yadav, D., Rathore, S. S., Raj, R., Avasthe, R., ... & Singh, V. K. (2022). Nanofertilizers for agricultural and environmental sustainability. *Chemosphere*, 292, 133451.
9. Baskar, V., Nayeem, S., Kuppuraj, S. P., Muthu, T., & Ramalingam, S. (2018). Assessment of the effects of metal oxide nanoparticles on the growth, physiology and metabolic responses in in vitro grown eggplant (*Solanum melongena*). *3 Biotech*, 8(8), 362.
10. Budhani, S., Egboluche, N. P., Arslan, Z., Yu, H., & Deng, H. (2019). Phytotoxic effect of silver nanoparticles on seed germination and growth of terrestrial plants. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 37(4), 330-355.
11. Chhipa, H. (2019). Applications of nanotechnology in agriculture. In S. Sariaslani & G. H. Gadd (Eds.), *Methods in Microbiology* (Vol. 46, pp. 115–142). Elsevier.
12. Davarpanah, S., Tehranifar, A., Davarynejad, G., Abadía, J., & Khorasani, R. (2016). Effects of foliar applications of zinc and boron nano-fertilizers on pomegranate (*Punica granatum* cv. Ardestani) fruit yield and quality. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 210, 57-64.
13. Du, Y., Zhou, J., He, F., Zang, P., Gong, H., Liu, C., & Yang, P. (2023). A bright future: Advanced nanotechnology-assisted microwave therapy. *Nano Today*, 52, 101963.
14. Elizabeth, A., Babychan, M., Mathew, A. M., & Syriac, G. M. (2019). Application of nanotechnology in agriculture. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience* 7(2), 131-139.
15. Elmer, W. H., & White, J. C. (2016). The use of metallic oxide nanoparticles to enhance growth of tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum*) and eggplants (*Solanum melongena*) in disease infested soil or soilless medium. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 3(5), 1072-1079.