



Journal Website:  
<https://theusajournals.com/index.php/ajsshr>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

## THE NOTIONS OF WAEL HALLAQ ON AN INTRODUCTION TO ISLAMIC LAW

Submission Date: June 05, 2022, Accepted Date: June 15, 2022,

Published Date: June 27, 2022

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/ajsshr/Volume02Issue06-12>

Gulhumor S. Kahhorova

Assistant, Department of “Language Teaching”, Fergana Polytechnic Institute, Fergana, Uzbekistan

### ABSTRACT

The structural and profound nature of the changes that the professor is talking about here have achieved at least three goals that modernity has set itself: colonizing modern legal and other institutions step by step, but effectively eliminating them and At the same time, I mentioned that the process of substituting European models and introducing Islamic jurisprudence was disconnected from their socio-epistemic infrastructure of Sharia and its jurisprudence.

### KEYWORDS

Abrogation, adat, amicable settlement, peacemakers, author-jurist, legist, ijtiḥad, the caliph.

### INTRODUCTION

For over a millennium, and till the nineteenth century, the Shari‘a represented an elaborate set of social, economic, moral, educational, intellectual and cultural practices. It was once as soon as no longer definitely about the law. It pervaded social structures so deeply that no ruler ought to conceive of the chance of

efficient ruling the population barring succumbing to an extremely suitable extent to the dictates of the Shari‘an order [1,2]. Involving institutions, groups and processes that resisted, were greater tremendous and affected every other, Shari‘a as practice manifested itself as lots in the judicial technique as in writing,

studying, teaching and documenting. It manifested itself in political representation, and in methods of resistance towards political and distinct abuses, as exact as in cultural classes that meshed into moral codes and a moral view of the world. It lived and operated in a deeply ethical community which it took for granted, for it is a truism that the Shari'a itself used to be built on the assumption that its audiences and clients were, all along, moral communities and morally grounded individuals [3-7].

## THE MAIN PART

The Shari'a additionally involved a complex and sophisticated intellectual system in which the jurists and the contributors of the criminal profession were educators and thinkers who, on the one hand, had been historians, mystics, theologians, logicians, guys of letters and poets, and, on the other, contributed to the forging of a multi-layered set of family members that at times created political fact and ideology whilst at specific situations confronting power with its non-public truth. It worried the legislation of agricultural and mercantile economies that constituted the vehicle for the safety of material and cultural lives that spanned the complete gamut of "instructions" and social strata. It rested on a theological bedrock that coloured and directed much of the worldview of the populace whose inside religious lives and relationships have been in everyday contact with the law [8-11].

Indeed, this theological base encompassed the mundanely mystical, the esoterically pantheistic and the rationally philosophical, thereby creating intricate family participants between the Shari'a and the massive spiritual and mental orders in which, and alongside which, it lived and functioned. The Shari'a then used to be now not only a judicial laptop and a felony doctrine whose characteristic was once to

modify social family members and unravel and mediate disputes, but additionally, a pervasive and systemic exercise that structurally and organically tied itself to the world around it in methods that had been vertical and horizontal, structural and linear, economic and social, moral and ethical, intellectual and spiritual, epistemic and cultural, and textual and poetic, among a whole lot else. The Shari'a was as a lot a way of living and of seeing the world as it was once a body of belief and mental play.

While in its textual and technical exposition the Shari'a was, by using necessity, of an elitist tenor, very little else in it used to be elitist. As we noticed in the early chapters of this book, the Shari'a cultivated itself within and derived its ethical and ethical foundations from, the very social order which it came to serve in the first place. Its personnel hailed from throughout all social strata (especially the middle and the decrease classes), and operated and functioned inside communal and popular spaces. The qadi's court, the professor's study room and the mufti's meeting have been typically held in the mosque's yard, and when this was once not the case, in the marketplace or a private residence [12-14]. That these sites served, as they did, a multiplicity of other social and religious communal functions strongly suggests that the intersection of the legal with the communal was once a marker of the regulation's populism and communitarianism. The equal can be stated of prison knowledge, which, as we saw, may want to scarcely have been greater widespread across the whole vary of society, free of charge. The Shari'a defined, in good part (and together with Sufism), cultural knowledge. Enmeshed with local customs, ethics, and financial and cultural practices, it used to be an encompassing system of social values. Substantive Shari'a regulation gave path and technique to, however usually did not coercively superimpose itself upon, social morality. Because the

qad was an on-the-spot product of his very own social and moral universe, he was constituted – via the very nature of his feature – as the employer through which the so-called law used to be mediated and made to serve the imperatives of social harmony.

Procedurally, too, the work of the court appealed to precapitalist and non-bureaucratic social constructions of ethical integrity that sprang directly from the nearby site of social practice. The institution of witnessing would have been meaningless without local knowledge of moral values, customized and social ties. Without such knowledge, the credibility of the testimony itself – the lynchpin of the criminal procedure – would have been neither testable nor demonstrable. Rectitude and trustworthiness, themselves the foundations of testimony, constituted the personal moral investment in social ties. To fail their take a look at was to lose social standing and the privileges related to it. Thus, the communal values of honour, shame, integrity and socioreligious advantage entered and intermeshed with legal practice and the prescriptive provisions of the law. Furthermore, prison pluralism – a pervasive and fundamental function of the Shari‘a – now not solely used to be a marker of a sturdy feel of judicial relativism but also stood in stark distinction with the spirit of codification, another modern ability to homogenise the regulation and, consequently, the subject population. Nor was once Shari‘a ’ s great law restricted to being merely a mechanical and interpretive manifestation of divine will. It was once additionally a socially embedded system, a mechanism, and a process, all of which were created for the social order by using the order itself. From this perspective, then, spiritual law operated in a twin capacity: first, it furnished a mental superstructure that culturally positioned the regulation within the large subculture that conceptually defined Islam, thereby constituting it as a

theoretical link between metaphysics and theology on the one hand, and the social and physical/material world on the other; and second, it aimed discretely at the infusion of legal norms within a given social and ethical order, an infusion whose method of recognition was once generally mediation rather than imposition.

The Muslim adjudicatory method used to be consequently in no way remote from the social world of the disputants, advocating a moral logic of distributive justice as an alternative to a logic of winner-takes-all. Restoring parties to the social roles they loved before the prison manner known as a moral compromise, where each party was authorised to maintain a partial gain. Preserving social order presupposed each a courtroom and a malleable law that is acutely attuned to the gadget of social and economic cleavages. For despite the truth that cleavages – which include the type and different prerogative- constantly asserted themselves, morality used to be the lot and indeed the right of everyone. Moreover, in the world of practice, spiritual regulation did no longer constitute a totalizing declaration of what must be done, nor was it engaged in transforming actuality or managing or controlling society. Attributing to this law role of control and management would be a particularly contemporary misconception, a back-projection of our notions of regulation as a statist instrument of social engineering and coercion. This misconceived attribution perhaps explains why contemporary scholarship has for long insisted on the “divorce” between “Islamic regulation” and social and political realities because the early ninth century, saving solely for the areas of family regulation and, obviously, ritual. What this scholarship took to be a divorce used to be sincerely a nation of affairs in which the prison system allowed for the mediation of the employer of custom and social morality. In this picture, flexibility and accommodation

were not taken for their constructive values, however, were construed as symptoms of inefficiency, weakness and decline. It would be a mistake to equate the Shari'a and its so-called law with the law as we conceive and exercise it in our world of modernity. Shari'a regulation was once a manner of explicating doctrine, an mental engagement to apprehend all the possible ways of reasoning and interpretation pertaining to a specific case. It was once not the case that used to be of primary importance, but rather the principle that ruled a crew of cognate cases. On balance, the specific instances have been more illustrative than prescriptive. Individual opinions, therefore, did no longer constitute law in the same experience in which we now recognize the modern code, regulation or "case law," nor was it the "prison impact" of declaring the will of a sovereign that the Muslim jurists intended to accomplish in any way. Their law was an interpretive and heuristic project, not "a" physique of guidelines of motion or conduct prescribed by means of [a] controlling authority. "It used to be not a "solemn expression of the will of the supreme electricity of the state," for there used to be as we again and again said – no country in the first place. The spiritual law used to be the intellectual work of private individuals, jurists whose declaration to authority was primarily based totally on their erudition, legal knowledge, and spiritual and moral distinction. It used to be now not political in the contemporary experience of the world, and it did no longer involve coercive or national power. The jurists had been civilians and as such commanded neither armies nor troops. Nor was once the Shari'a subject to the fluctuations of legislation, reflecting the hobbies of a dominant class – as the modern-day state is. In its stability, however, without rigidity, the Shari'a represented an unassailable fortress inside which the rule of law compared very favourably to its much-vaunted present-day counterpart.

## CONCLUSION

Furthermore, Shari 'a' s regulation used to be not an abstraction, nor did it apply equally to "all," for men and women had been not viewed as indistinguishable members of a prevalent species, standing in best parity earlier than a blind female of justice. Each character and circumstance was deemed unique, requiring ijthad that used to be context-specific. This explains why Islam by no means accepted the idea of blind justice, for it allowed the rich and the powerful to stand on a par with the poor and the weak. In the Shari 'a, the latter had to be protected, and their disadvantage used to become into a gain in the Shari ' courts of law.

## REFERENCES

1. Wael Hallaq (2009), An Introduction to Islamic Law, Cambridge, UK; Cambridge University Press.
2. Wael Hallaq (2005), The Origins and Evolution of Islamic Law, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
3. Wael Hallaq (2003), Was The Gate of Ijtihad Closed? The Early Essays on the History of Islamic Legal Theories. Atsushi Okuda (terj.), Tokyo: Keio University Press.
4. Wael Hallaq (2001), Authority, Continuity, and Change in Islamic Law. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
5. Al-Suyuti (1993), Ibn Taymiyya Against the Greek Logicians. Wael Hallaq (terj.), New York: Oxford University Press.
6. Abdulkodirov, U. (2020). Materials development in teaching. In Мировая Наука 2020. Проблемы И Перспективы (pp. 3-5).
7. Djakhonobodovna, K. G., Nazirovich, A. U., & Yigitalieva, K. M. (2019). Innovative assessment of students' experience in higher



- educational institutions. Вестник науки и образования, (19-3 (73)), 46-48.
8. Abduquodirov, U. N. (2019). Intercultural challenges in teaching foreign languages. Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University, 1(11), 201-206.
  9. Kuzibaevna, O. G. (2021). Analysis of Effective Ways to Develop Students' Environmental Culture in Foreign Language Teaching. Central Asian Journal Of Literature, Philosophy And Culture, 2(12), 37-43.
  10. Dildora, K., Nilufar, B., Yulduz, Y., Nargiza, T., & Sevara, B. (2020). The use of acmeological insights in the history of national education in the development of creative thinking by students. Journal of Critical Reviews, 7(9), 221-225.
  11. Обидова, Г. (2021). Развитие экологической культуры в образовательных моделях развитых стран мира. Общество и инновации, 2(10/S), 251-256.
  12. Nazirovich, A. U. (2022). Intercultural communication in teaching foreign languages. International Journal Of Literature And Languages, 2(05), 16-21.
  13. Кенжаева, Д. Т., & Чориева, М. Б. (2018). Национально-духовные особенности воспитания детей дошкольного возраста в качестве акмеличностей. Бюллетень науки и практики, 4(3), 333-336.
  14. Обидова, Г. (2021). Развитие экологической культуры в образовательных моделях развитых стран мира. Общество и инновации, 2(10/S), 251-256.